



School of Law
UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

Digital Commons @ Georgia Law

Scholarly Works

Faculty Scholarship

1-1-2007

Bibliography of Law Review Articles on Disability Law

Ann Puckett

University of Georgia School of Law



Repository Citation

Ann Puckett, *Bibliography of Law Review Articles on Disability Law* (2007),
Available at: https://digitalcommons.law.uga.edu/fac_artchop/564

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Scholarship at Digital Commons @ Georgia Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Scholarly Works by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Georgia Law. [Please share how you have benefited from this access](#) For more information, please contact tstriep@uga.edu.

Bibliography of Law Review Articles on Disability Law

Professor E. Ann Puckett

Table of Contents

- [Accessibility/Universal Design](#)
- [Accommodations](#)
- [ADA Jurisprudence](#)
- [Community-Based Care/Assisted Living](#)
- [Crime](#)
- [Defenses and Remedies](#)
- [Defining Disability/Major Life Activities](#)
- [Education](#)
- [Employment/Employment Discrimination](#)
- [General](#)
- [Health](#)
- [History](#)
- [Housing](#)
- [Insurance](#)
- [International](#)
- [Learning Disabilities](#)
- [Medical](#)
- [Mental Health](#)
- [Nursing Home/For-Profit Providers](#)
- [Prisons](#)
- [Resources for People with Disabilities](#)
- [Social Security](#)
- [Sports](#)
- [State Laws](#)
- [Right to Die/Physician-Assisted Suicide](#)

Accessibility/Universal Design

Symposium, "Children with special needs: the intersection of health care, education, & the law." Introduction by editor-in-chief Michael J. Pappas, foreword by Susan P. Levitaon; articles by Teresa Glennon, Donna H. Lehr, Jill Greene, Tera K. LaMaster, John J. O'Brien, Leslie Seid Margolis, Gerard A. Gioia, Peter K. Isquith, Ellen A. Callegary, Ian Hill, Renee Schwalberg, Beth Zimmerman, Wilma Tilson and Henry Ireys; note by Lucy W. Shum, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 1 (2002).

Symposium, *Lead Articles: The Americans with Disabilities Act in Cyberspace: Applying the "Nexus" Approach to Private Internet Websites*, 55 Mercer L. Rev. 963 (2004).

Peter Blanck, Ann Wilichowski, & James Schmeling, DISABILITY CIVIL RIGHTS LAW AND POLICY: ACCESSIBLE COURTROOM TECHNOLOGY, 12 William & Mary Bill of Rights Journal 825 (2004).

Erin M. Davis, Comment. A New York perspective regarding access and usability for the disabled and elderly in private residential dwellings: what can be done on a local level to provide basic access and usability in private dwellings utilizing cost effective and efficient methods, 12 Alb. L.J. Sci. & Tech. 917 (2002).

Erin M. Davis, Comment. A new york perspective regarding access and usability for the disabled and elderly in private residential dwellings: what can be done on a local level to provde basic access

and usability in private dwellings utilizing cost effective and efficient methods., 12 Alb. L. J. Sci. & Tech. 917 (2002).

Michael D. Driver, Is the ADA Short-Sighted? An Analysis of Sightline Regulations in Movie Theaters, 8 Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment Law and Technology Law Spring, 2006 399 (2006).

Felicia H. Ellsworth, The worst seats in the house: Stadium-style movie theatres and the Americans with Disabilities Act., 71 Chi. L. Rev. 1109 (2004).

Evgenia Fkiaras, LIABILITY UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT FOR PRIVATE WEB SITE OPERATORS, 2 Shidler Journal of Law, Commerce, & Technology Autumn, 2005 6 (2005).

Ellen Aubrey Fred, Note. Outdoor accessibility requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act: must holders of conservation easements provide ADA access?, 54 Hastings L.J. 243 (2002).

Michael Goldfarb, Comment: *Access now, inc. v. southwest airlines, co.* -using the "nexus" approach to determine whether a website should be governed by the americans with disabilities act, 79 St. John's L. Rev. 1313 (2005).

Kara Grimes, Casenote: Discrimination - americans with disabilities act - united states district court for the southern district of florida holds that an internet website is not a place of public accommodation:, 69 J. Air L. & Com. 203 (2004).

David Heffernan, The US Government prepares to make non-US airlines subject to new rules regardin the transportation of disabled passenger., 29 Air & Space L. 245 (2004).

David Heffernan, The US Government prepares to make non-US airlines subject to new rules regarding the transportaion of disabled passenger., 29 Air & Space L. 245 (2004).

Michael S. Heyl, Note. Circumventing environmental policy: does the Americans with Disabilities Act provide protection where environment statues don't?, 18 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 323 (2001).

William C. Hollis, III, Rights is people with disabilities to emergency evacuation under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990., 5 J. Health Care L. Pol'y 524 (2002).

Russell D. Hymas & Brett R. Parkinson, Architectural barriers under the ADA: an answer to the judiciary's struggle with technical non-compliance., 39 Cal. W. L. Rev. 349 (2003).

Maryann Jones, And access for all: accommodating individuals with disabilities in the California courts, 32 U.S.F. L. Rev. 75 (1997).

Maryann Jones, And access for all: accomodating individuals with disabilities in the California courts., 32 U.S.F. L. Rev. 75 (1997).

Nancy J. King, Website Access for Customers with Disabilities: Can We Get There from Here?, 2003 UCLA Journal of Law and Technology 6 (2003).

Latresa McLawhorn, Recent development. Leveling the accessibility playing field: section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act., 3 N.C. J.L. & Tech. 63 (2001).

Charles D. Mockbee IV, Comment: Caught in the web of the internet: The application of the

americans with disabilities act of online businesses, 28 S. Ill. U. L.J. 553 (2004).

Charles D. Mockbee V, Caught in the Web of the Internet: The Application of the Americans With Disabilities Act to Online Businesses, 28 Southern Illinois University Law Journal Spring, 2004 553 (2004).

Peter J. Molesso, CRITICAL POINT IN THE DISABILITIES MOVEMENT: HOW WILL TENNESSEE V. LANE AFFECT CLAIMS BROUGHT UNDER TITLE II OF THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT?, 80 St. John's Law Review Spring, 2006 693 (2006).

Edward L. Myers III, Disability and Technology, 65 Mont. L. Rev. 289 (2004).

Karen Nutter, Domestic violence in the lives of women with disabilities: no (accessible) shelter from the storm., S. Cal. Rev. L. & Women's Stud. 13 329 (2004).

Michael J. Pappas, Introduction, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 1 (2002).

Laurence Paradis, Symposium, Development in Disability Rights: *Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act: Making Programs, Services, and Activities Accessible to All*, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev 389 (2003).

Laurence Paradis, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act: Making Programs, Services, and Activities Accessible to All, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 389 (2003).

Kathleen Pearson, Comment. Let's all go to the Dairy Queen without Margo!: the liability of franchisors under title III of the American with Disabilities Act after *Neff v. American Dairy Queen Corp.*, 101 Dick. L. Rev. 137 (1996).

Lee A. Perselay, A hot dog at the ballgame: looking at the physical accessibility of professional baseball stadiums in northern New England, 38 N.H. B.J. 72 (1997).

Lee A. Perselay, A hot dog at the ballgame: looking at the physical accessibility of professional baseball stadiums in northern New England., 38 N.H. B.J. 72 (1997).

Justin D. Petruzzelli, Note. Adjust your font size: websites are public accommodations under the American with Disabilities Act., 53 Rutgers L. Rev. 1063 (2001).

Jeffrey Scott Ranen, Note. Was blind but now I see: the argument of ADA applicability to the internet., 22 B.C. Third World L.J. 389 (2002).

Jessica L. Roberts, AN AREA OF REFUGE: DUE PROCESS ANALYSIS AND EMERGENCY EVACUATION FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, 13 Virginia Journal of Social Policy & the Law Fall, 2005 127 (2005).

Cassandra Burke Robertson, Student article. Providing access to the future: how the Americans with Disabilities Act can remove barriers in cyberspace, 79 Denv. U.L. Rev. 199 (2001).

Adam M. Schloss, Web-sight for visually-disabled people: does Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act apply to Internet Websites?, 35 Colum. J.L. & Soc. Probs. 35 (2001).

David L. Schwan, THE TENTH ANNUAL FRANKEL LECTURE: NOTE*: "WHEN YOU COME TO A

FORK IN THE ROAD, TAKE IT!": TENNESSEE V. LANE TAKES A NEW APPROACH TO SECTION FIVE ENFORCEMENT POWERS, 43 *Houston Law Review* 235 (2006).

Jaclyn Sheehan, THE ADA'S APPLICATION TO FOREIGN-FLAGGED CRUISE SHIPS: THE SUPREME COURT'S PRECEDENT IN SPECTOR V. NORWEGIAN CRUISE LINE TRANSCENDS THE UNITED STATES' BORDERS, 12 *ILSA Journal of International & Comparative Law Summer*, 2006 665 (2006).

James N. Spolar, Comment. I hear ya' knockin', so you can come in: the Americans with Disabilities Act limited to physical structures and individualized discrimination under (Parker v. Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., 121 F3d 1006, 6th Cir. 1997, en banc, cert. denied.), 24 *J. Corp. L.* 105 (1998).

Laura Michelle Stewart, TAKE FLIGHT BY CYBER-SIGHT: THE FAILURE OF COURTS TO REQUIRE THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT TITLE III PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS PROVISION TO GOVERN PUBLIC PLACES SUCH AS AN AIRLINE'S WEBSITE, 30 *Dayton Law Review* 275 (2005).

Michael Waterstone, Civil rights and the administration of elections - toward secret ballots and polling place access., 8 *J. Gender Race & Just.* 101 (2004).

Perry A. Zirkel, Book Review: "AT BEST AN INEXACT SCIENCE": DELIMITING THE LEGAL CONTOURS OF SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY ELIGIBILITY UNDER THE IDEA THE LEGAL MEANING OF SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION ELIGIBILITY, 36 *Journal of Law and Education* January, 2007 155 (2007).

Accommodations

Note. Toward a reasonable equality: accommodating learning disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 111 *Harv. L. Rev.* 1560 (1998).

Symposium: Individual rights and reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 46 *DePaul L. Rev.* 871 (1997).

Article: Barriers to accessible housing: Enforcement issues in "design and construction" cases under the fair housing act*, 40 *U. Rich. L. Rev.* 753 (2006).

Kristin P. Abbinante, TAMING THE NATURE OF THE BEAST: WHY A REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION FOR A PERCEIVED DISABILITY SHOULD NO LONGER BE CONSIDERED THE ADA'S NECESSARY EVIL, 32 *University of Dayton Law Review* Fall, 2006 63 (2006).

Suzanne Abram, Student article. Reasonable accommodations for learning-disabled university students under the ADA, 28 *J.L. & Educ.* 121 (1999).

Cheryl L. Anderson, What is "Because of the Disability" under the Americans with Disabilities Act? Reasonable Accommodation, Causation, and the Windfall Doctrine, 27 *Berkely Journal of Employment and Labor Law* 323 (2006).

Jonathan D. Andrews, Reconciling the Split: Affording Reasonable Accommodation to Employees "Regarded As" Disabled Under the ADA - An Exercise in Statutory Interpretation, 110 *Penn State Law Review* Spring, 2006 977 (2006).

Sandy Andrikopoulos & Theo E.M. Gould, Note. Living in harmony? Reasonable accommodations, employee expectations and ... (U.S. Airways, Inc. v. Barnett, 535 U.S. 391, 2002.), 20 *Hofstra Lab. &*

Emp. L.J. 345 (2003).

John R. Autry, Reasonable Accommodation under the ADA: Are Employers Required to Participate in the Interactive Process? The Courts Say "Yes" but the Law Says "No", 79 Chicago-Kent Law Review 665 (2004).

Carlos A. Ball, Preferential Treatment and Reasonable Accommodation Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 55 Alabama Law Review Summer, 2004 951 (2004).

Janet Barbookles, Comment. Creating reasonable accommodations without an undue burden: the future effects the ADA will have on golf courses., 33 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. 71 (2003).

Stephen F. Befort, The most difficult ADA reasonable accommodation issues: reassignment and leave of absence., 37 Wake Forest L. Rev. 439 (2002).

Stephen F. Befort, Accommodation at work: lessons from the Americans with Disabilities Act and possibilities for alleviating the american worker time crunch., 13 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 3 615 (2004).

Stephen F. Befort, The Most Difficult ADA Reasonable Accommodation Issues: Reassignment and Leave of Absence, 37 Wake Forest Law Review 439 (2002).

Jeffrey S. Berenholz, Note. The development of reassignment to a vacant position in the Americans with Disabilities Act, 15 Hofstra Lab. & Emp. L.J. 635 (1998).

Lauren W. Berns, Jr., Recent Development: Public Employment: ADA, Disability: *Wood v. Green*, 323 F.3d 1309 (11th Cir. 2003), 33 Stetson L. Rev. 823 (2004).

Lauren W. Berns, Jr., RECENT DEVELOPMENT: PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT: ADA, DISABILITY: *Wood v. Green*, 323 F.3d 1309 (11th Cir. 2003), 33 Stetson Law Review Winter, 2004 823 (2004).

Polly Blakemore, Note. Short of money or shortchanged?: reasonable accommodations in rental rules and policies for disabled individuals receiving financial assistance, 39 Brandeis L.J. 449 (2000).

Brian Bolton, THE BATTLE FOR THE ARMREST REACHES NEW HEIGHTS: THE AIR CARRIERS ACCESS ACT AND THE ISSUES SURROUNDING THE AIRLINES' POLICY OF REQUIRING OBESE PASSENGERS TO PURCHASE ADDITIONAL TICKETS, 69 Journal of Air Law and Commerce Fall, 2004 803 (2004).

Lisa Brodoff, Susan McClellan & Elizabeth Anderson, Access to justice--a call for civil gideon: The ADA: One avenue to appointed counsel before a full civil gideon, 2 Seattle J. Soc. Just. 609 (2004).

Barbara L. Campbell, Casenote. Did the Supreme Court further cloud, rather than clarify the "reasonable accommodation" requirement under the Americans with Disabilities Act, in light of *Barnett?* (U.S. Airways Inc., v. Barnett, 196 F.3d 979, 9th Cir. 1999.), 28 T. Marshall L. Rev. 101 (2002).

Matthew M. Cannon, Mending a Monumental Mountain: Resolving Two Critical Circuit Splits Under the Americans with Disabilities Act for the Sake of Logic, Unity, and the Mentally Disabled, 2006 Brigham Young University Law Review 529 (2006).

Lynn M. Carroll, Employment Law -- Extending Reasonable Accommodations to Qualified Employees "Regarded As" Disabled Under the Americans with Disabilities Act -- *Williams v. Philadelphia Housing Authority Police Department*, 380 F.3d 751 (3d Cir. 2004), 40 Suffolk University Law Review 281

(2006).

Marc Charmatz, Antoinette McRae, ACCESS TO THE COURTS: A BLUEPRINT FOR SUCCESSFUL LITIGATION UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND THE REHABILITATION ACT, 3 Margins Law Journal Fall, 2003 333 (2003).

Thomas N. Chirikos, Will the costs of accommodating workers with disabilities remain low?, Behav. Sci. & L. 93 (1999).

Phyllis G. Coleman & Robert M. Jarvis, Tuition adjustment for law school students: a necessary accommodation under the ADA?, 24 J.C. & U.L. 45 (1997).

Cynthia A. Crain, THE STRUGGLE FOR REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION FOR "REGARDED AS" DISABLED INDIVIDUALS, 74 University of Cincinnati Law Review Fall, 2005 167 (2005).

Mary Crossley, Reasonable accommodation as part and parcel of the antidiscrimination project., 35 Rutgers L.J. 861 (2004).

Mary Crossley, Reasonable Accommodation as Part and Parcel of the Antidiscrimination Project, 35 Rutgers Law Journal Spring, 2004 861 (2004).

Stephen F. Defort & Tracey H. Donesky, Reassignment under the Americans with Disabilities Act: reasonable accommodation, affirmative action, or both?, 57 Wash & Lee L. Rev. 1045 (2000).

Allen Dudley, Comment. Rights to reasonable accommodation under the Americans with Disabilities Act for "regarded as" disabled individuals, 5 Geo. Mason L. Rev. 389 (1999).

Curtis D. Edmonds, Won't You Let Me Take You on a Sea Cruise: The Americans with Disabilities Act and Cruise Ships, 28 Tulane Maritime Law Journal Summer, 2004 271 (2004).

Lisa Eichhorn, Reasonable accommodations and awkward compromises: issues concerning learning disabled students and professional schools in the law school context, 26 J.L. & Educ. 31 (1997).

Kellyann Everly, A REASONABLE BURDEN: THE NEED FOR A UNIFORM BURDEN OF PROOF SCHEME IN REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION CLAIMS, 29 Dayton Law Review Fall, 2003 37 (2003).

Nicholas R. Frazier, In the Land Between Two Maps: Perceived Disabilities, Reasonable Accommodations, and Judicial Battles over the ADA, 62 Washington & Lee Law Review Fall, 2005 1759 (2005).

Leslie Goddard, Searching for balance in the ADA; recent developments in the legal and practical issues of reasonable accommodation, 35 Idaho L. Rev. 227 (1999).

David J. Gold, Employment Law -- Eleventh Circuit Requires Employers to Provide Reasonable Accommodations to Employees Erroneously Regarded as Disabled -- D'Angelo v. Conagra Foods, Inc., 422 F.3d 1220 (11th Cir. 2005), 40 Suffolk University Law Review 261 (2006).

Jared Hager, Note. Bowling for certainty: picking up the seven-ten split by pinning down the reasonableness of reassignment after Barnett, 87 Minn. L. Rev. 2063 (2003).

Kymberly D. Hankinson, Comment. Navigating between a rock and a hard place: an employer's

obligation to reasonably accommodate the disabled in the unionized workplace, 15 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 245 (1998).

John J. Jacko III, UNCLE SAM OFFERS SMALL LAW OFFICES MORE REASON TO PROMOTE EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE ACCESS, 25 No. 12 Of Counsel 12 December, 2006 12 (2006).

Pamela S. Karlan & George Rutherglen, Disabilities, discrimination and reasonable accommodation, 46 Duke L.J. 1 (1996).

Jill S. Kingsbury, "Must we talk about that reasonable accommodation?": The Eighth Circuit says yes, but is the answer reasonable? (Fjellstad v. Pizza Hut of America, Inc., 188 F.3d 944, 8th Cir. 1999.), 65 Mo. L. Rev. 967 (2000).

Lt. Colin A. Kisor, Using interpreters to assist jurors: a plea for consistency, 22 Chicano-Latino L. Rev. 37 (2001).

Faye Kuo, Comment: Open and closed: Captioning technology as a means to equality, 23 J. Marshall J. Computer & Info. L. 159 (2004).

Aaron Matthew Laing, Comment. Failure to accommodate, discriminatory intent, and the McDonnell Douglas framework: distinguishing the analyses of claims arising under subparts (A) and (B) of section 12112(b)(5) of the ADA., 77 Wash. L. Rev. 913 (2002).

Janai Powell Lane, Are web sites a "public accommodation" under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") requiring reasonable access for persons with disabilities?, 21 Legal Ref. Serv. Q 75 (2002).

Craig S. Lerner, "Accommodations" for the Learning Disabled: A Level Playing Field or Affirmative Action for Elites?, 57 Vanderbilt Law Review April, 2004 1043 (2004).

Thad LeVar, Note. Why an employer does not have to answer for preventing an employee with a disability from utilizing corrective measures: the relationship between mitigation and reasonable accommodation. (Nawrot v. CPC International, No. 99 C 630, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8973, N.D. Ill. June 21, 2001, 16 BYU J. Pub. L. 69 (2001).

Alex B. Long, A good walk spoiled: Casey Martin and the ADA's reasonable accommodation requirement in competitive settings, 77 Or. L. Rev. 1337 (1998).

Alex B. Long, The ADA's Reasonable Accommodation Requirement and "Innocent Third Parties", 68 Mo. L. Rev. 863 (2003).

Jarad M. Lucan, Why Giving Traditional Reasonable Accommodation to "regarded as" Disabled Individuals Brings about "bizarre results", 25 Quinnipiac Law Review 417 (2006).

Lynn Paul Mattson, THE DISABILITIES LITIGATION EXPLOSION: THE MUTUAL DUTY TO EXPLORE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION AND THE NEW FEDERAL 'TICKET TO WORK' LAW, 71 The Oklahoma Bar Journal 2819 (2000).

William J. McDevitt, Seniority systems and the Americans with Disabilities Act: the fate of "reasonable accommodation" after Eckles, 9 St. Thomas L. Rev. 359 (1997).

Timothy J. McFarlin, IF THEY ASK FOR A STOOL... RECOGNIZING REASONABLE ACCOMODATION FOR EMPLOYEES "REGARDED AS" DISABLED, 49 Saint Louis University Law

Journal Spring, 2005 927 (2005).

Laura K. McKibbin, *The ADA Takes On the Movie Industry: Do the Disabled Have a Right to the Best Seats in the House?*, 38 *University of San Francisco Law Review* Summer, 2004 831 (2004).

Douglas Menikheim and Frederick R. Trelfa, Note, *Stepping Out of the Courtroom and into the Personnel Department: An Analysis of Reasonable Accommodation and Disparate Impact in Raytheon V. Hernandez*, 22 *Hofstra Lab. & Emp. L.J.* 235 (2004).

Elizabeth Mills, *How Bizarre? The Application of Reasonable Accommodation to Employees "Regarded As" Disabled Under the ADA Does Not Necessarily Lead to Bizarre Results*, 75 *Mississippi Law Journal* Spring, 2006 1063 (2006).

Michael D. Moberly, *Letting Katz out of the bag: the employer's duty to accommodate perceived disabilities*, 30 *Ariz. L. Rev.* 603 (1998).

Frances M. Nicastro, *The Americans with Disabilities Act: determining which learning disabilities qualify for reasonable accommodations*, 26 *J. Legis* 355 (2000).

Sarah J. Parrot, *The ADA and Reasonable Accommodation of Employees Regarded as Disabled: Statutory Fact or Bizarre Fiction?*, 67 *Ohio State Law Journal* 1495 (2006).

Elizabeth A. Pendo, *Disability, doctors and dollars: distinguishing the three faces of reasonable accommodation*, 35 *U.C. Davis L. Rev.* 1175 (2002).

Elizabeth A. Pendo, *Disability, doctors and dollars: distiguishing the three faces of reasonable accomodation.*, 35 *UC Davis L. Rev.* 1175 (0).

Elizabeth A. Pendo, *DISABILITY, DOCTORS AND DOLLARS: DISTINGUISHING THE THREE FACES OF REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION*, 35 *U.C. Davis Law Review* June 2002 1175 (2002).

Sean Pevsner, Note. *Reasonable accomodations as constitutional obligations. (Garrett v. University of Alabama, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.)*, 7 *Tex. F. on C.L. & C.R.* 317 (2002).

Polly Beth Proctor, Article: *Determining 'reasonable accommodation' under the ADA: Understanding employer and employee rights and obligations during the interactive process*, 33 *Sw. U. L. Rev.* 51 (2003).

PollyBeth Proctor, *DETERMINING 'REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION' UNDER THE ADA: UNDERSTANDING EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS DURING THE INTERACTIVE PROCESS*, 33 *Southwestern University Law Review* 51 (2003).

Kristopher J. Ring, *Disabling the Split: Should Reasonable Accommodations Be Provided to "Regarded as" Disabled Individuals Under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)?*, 20 *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy* 311 (2006).

Lawrence D. Rosenthal, *Requiring individuals to use mitigating measures in reasonable accomodation cases after the Sutton trilogy: putting the brakes on a potential runaway train.* , 54 *S.C. L. Rev.* 421 (2002).

Lawrence D. Rosenthal, Article: *Reasonable accommodation for individuals regarded as having disabilities under the americans with disabilities act? Why "no" should not be the answer*, 36 *Seton Hall L. Rev.* 895 (2006).

Lawrence D. Rosenthal, Reasonable Accommodations for Individuals Regarded as Having Disabilities Under the Americans with Disabilities Act? Why "No" Should Not Be the Answer, 36 Seton Hall Law Review 0 (2006).

Laura F. Rothstein, The employer's duty to accommodate performance and conduct deficiencies of individuals with mental impairments under disability discrimination laws, 47 Syracuse L. Rev. 931 (1997).

Helen A. Scharz, Kevin M. Scharz, D.J. Hendricks, & Peter Blanck, WORKPLACE ACCOMMODATIONS: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF CURRENT EMPLOYEES, 75 Mississippi Law Journal Spring, 2006 917 (2006).

Estella J. Schoen, Note. Does the ADA make exceptions in a unionized workplace? the conflict between the reassignment provision of the ADA and collectively bargained seniority systems, 82 Minn. L. Rev. 1391 (1998).

Robert L. Schonfeld, "Reasonable accommodation" under the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act, 25 Fordham Urb. L.J. 413 (1998).

Alan D. Schuchman, Note. The holy and the handicapped: an examination of the different applications of the reasonable accommodation clauses in Title VII and the ADA, 73 Ind. L.J. 745 (1998).

Stewart J. Schwab & Steven L. Wilborn, Reasonable accommodation of workplace disabilities, 44 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 1197 (2003).

Gregory Scolieri & Matthew H. Woodard, Note. A call for clarification: achieving a uniform proof structure in reasonable accommodation cases under the Americans with Disabilities Act., 20 Hofstra Lab. & Emp. L.J. 117 (2002).

Adam J. Shapiro, Defining the Rights of Law Students with Mental Disabilities, 58 U. Miami L. Rev. 923 (2004).

Sam Silverman, The ADA interactive process: the employer and employee's duty to work together to identify a reasonable accommodation is more than a game of five card stud, 77 Neb. L. Rev. 281 (1998).

Anita Silvers, Protection or privilege? reasonable accommodation, reverse discrimination, and the fair costs of repairing recognition for disabled people in the workforce., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 3 561 (2005).

Blake Sonne, Employment Law: Reasonable Accommodation Under the Americans with Disabilities Act vs. Employee Seniority Rights: Understanding the Real Conflict in U.S. Airways v. Barnett, 57 Oklahoma Law Review Spring, 2004 225 (2004).

Belinda Spaeth, AKA v. Washington Hospital Center and Kralik v. Durbin: Is it "reasonable" to require an employer to accommodate an ADA plaintiff when doing so violates the collectively bargained seniority rights of other employees?, 42 St. Louis U. L.J. 989 (1998).

Michael Ashley Stein, Generalizing Disability: Profiles, Probabilities, and Stereotypes, 102 Mich. L. Rev. 1373 (2004).

Michael Ashley Stein, Same struggle, different difference: ADA accommodations as

antidiscrimination., 153 U. Pa. L. Rev. 579 (2004).

Michael Ashley Stein, SAME STRUGGLE, DIFFERENT DIFFERENCE: ADA ACCOMMODATIONS AS ANTIDISCRIMINATION, 153 University of Pennsylvania Law Review December, 2004 579 (2004).

Kelly Cahill Timmons, Accommodating misconduct under the Americans with Disabilities Act., 57 Fla. L. Rev. 2 187 (2005).

Kelly Cahill Timmons, LIMITING "LIMITATIONS": THE SCOPE OF THE DUTY OF REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 57 South Carolina Law Review 313 (2006).

J.H. Verkerke, Disaggregating antidiscrimination and accommodation, 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1385 (2003).

James J. Weisman, Dignity and non-discrimination: the requirement of "reasonable accommodation" in disability law, 23 Fordham Urban L.J. 1235 (1996).

W. Ray Williams, Hand-up or handout? The Americans with Disabilities Act and "unreasonable accommodation" of learning disabled bar applicants: toward a new paradigm, 34 Creighton L. Rev. 611 (2001).

Maria N. Worthington, SOLBERG v. MAJERLE MGMT.: REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION FOR A TENANTS' DISABILITY BY THE LANDLORD MAY BE LIMITED, 36 The University of Baltimore Law Forum Fall, 2005 93 (2005).

Matthew Graham Zagrodzky, When employees become disabled: does the Americans with Disabilities Act require consideration of a transfer as a reasonable accommodation?, 38 S. Tex. L. Rev. 939 (1997).

Joe Zopolsky, HIV-infected healthcare workers and practice modification, 78 N.D. L. Rev. 77 (2002).

ADA Jurisprudence

Garrett, Disability Policy, and Federalism: a symposium on Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett. Articles by Anne Colker, Adam Milani, William H. Pryor Jr. and Ronald D. Rotunda, 53 Ala. L. Rev. 1075 (2002).

Recent Cases, Americans with Disabilities Act - Ninth Circuit Holds That Movie Theaters Must Provide Comparable Viewing Angles for Patrons in Wheelchairs. - *Oregon Paralyzed Veterans of America v. Regal Cinemas, Inc.*, 339 F.3d 1126 (9th Cir. 2003)., 117 Harv. L. Rev. 727 (2003).

John Christopher Anderson, Three generations later and still no class: protecting Americans with disabilities under 42 U.S.C. section 1985 (3), the Ku Klux Klan Act, 47 Drake L. Rev. 537 (1999).

Katherine R. Annas, Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc. v. Williams: part of an emerging trend of Supreme Court cases narrowing the scope of the ADA., 81 N.C. L. Rev. 835 (2003).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, The Supreme Court, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and Rational Discrimination, 55 Alabama Law Review Summer, 2004 923 (2004).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, JUSTICE GINSBURG AND THE JUDICIAL ROLE IN EXPANDING "WE THE PEOPLE": THE DISABILITY RIGHTS CASES, 104 Columbia Law Review January, 2004 49 (2004).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, The Perversity of Limited Civil Rights Remedies: The Case of "Abusive" ADA Litigation, 54 UCLA Law Review October, 2006 1 (2006).

Susan Bandes, Fear and degradation in Alabama: the emotional subtext of University of Alabama v. Garrett., 5 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 520 (2003).

Harry Beatty, Ontario disability support program: policy and implementation, 14 J.L. & Soc. Pol'y 1 (1999).

Anne E. Beaumont, Note. This estoppel has got to stop: judicial estoppel and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 71 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1529 (1996).

Stephen F. Befort & Holly Lindquist Thomas, The ADA in turmoil: judicial dissonance, the Supreme Court's response and the future of disability discrimination law, 78 Or. L. Rev. 27 (1999).

Lawrence Berliner, A survey of significant ADA Title II and Title III decisions within the second circuit, 15 QLR 537 (1996).

Lawrence Berliner, An evolving Americans with Disabilities Act, a survey of decisions involving public entities and places of public accommodation from courts within the Second Circuit, 17 QLR 633 (1998).

Josh Bernstein, The efforts of Texas courts to disable disability law in Texas, 2 Tex. F. on C.L. & C.R. 43 (1995).

William Booth, Student article. Survival under the ADA: the federal common law standard for determining survival claims, 2 DePaul J. Health Care L. 269 (1998).

Pamela Brandwein & Richard K. Scotch, The gender analogy in the disability discrimination literature, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 465 (2001).

Pamela Brandwein, Constitutional doctrine as paring tool: the struggle for "relevant: evidence in University of Alabama v. Garrett, 35 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 37 (2001).

Charles D. Brown, Congressional abrogation of Eleventh Amendment immunity by passing the ADEA and the ADA, 51 Baylor L. Rev. 339 (1999).

Judith Olans Brown & Wendy E. Parmet, The imperial sovereign: sovereign immunity & the ADA, 35 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 1 (2001).

Jennifer Gozdowski Brown, Comment. Mitigating measures and the ADA after Sutton: can employers limit our ability to care for ourselves in the workplace? (Sutton v. United Airlines Inc., 527 U.S. 471, 1999.), 7 J. Small & Emerging Bus. L. 113 (2003).

Timothy J. Cahill and Betsy Malloy, OVERCOMING THE OBSTACLES OF GARRETT: AN "AS APPLIED" SAVING CONSTRUCTION FOR THE ADA'S TITLE II, 39 Wake Forest Law Review Spring, 2004 133 (2004).

J. William Cain, Jr., The "most integrated setting" regulation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 34-

SPG Ark. Law. 8 (1999).

Sandra J. Carnahan, The Americans with Disabilities Act in state correctional institutions, 27 Cap. U. L. Rev. 291 (1999).

Mita Chatterjee, Casenote. Access denied and not designed: the Ninth Circuit drafts a narrow escape for architect liability under the Americans with Disabilities Act in...(Lonberg v. Sanborn Theaters, Inc., 259 F.3d 1029, 9th Cir. 2001.), 9 Vill. Sports & Ent. L.J. 293 (2002).

Jennifer Chorpening, A colloquium on the jurisprudence of mercy: Capital punishment and clemency: Comment: Genetic disability: A modest proposal to modify the ADA to protect against some forms of genetic discrimination, 82 N.C. L. Rev. 1441 (2004).

James P. Colgate, If you build it, can they sue? Architects' liability under Title III of the ADA, 68 Fordham L. Rev. 137 (1999).

Ruth Colker & James J. Brudney, Dissing Congress, 100 Mich. L. Rev. 80 (2001).

Ruth Colker & Adam Milani, The post-Garrett world: insufficient state protection against disability discrimination, 53 Ala. L. Rev. 1075 (2002).

Beth Collins, Comment. The Americans with Disabilities Act: rehabilitating congressional intent, 28 J. Legis. 213 (2002).

Christopher Cowan, AN UNWORKABLE RULE OF LAW: THE ADA, EDUCATION, AND SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY; AN ARGUMENT FOR OVERRULING SEMINOLE TRIBE OF FLORIDA V. FLORIDA CONSISTENT WITH STARE DECISIS, 80 Southern California Law Review January, 2007 347 (2007).

Kimberly E. Dean, Note. In light of the evil presented: what kind of prophylactic antidiscrimination legislation can Congress enact after Garrett?, 43 B.C. L. Rev. 697 (2002).

Denise Decell, Comment. Bragdon v. Abbott: A case of HIV as perceived disability under The Americans With Disabilities Act, 73 St. John's L. Rev. 933 (1999).

Nancy Lawler Dickhute, Jury duty for the blind in the time of reasonable accommodations: the ADA's interface with a litigant's right to a fair trial, 32 Creighton L. Rev. 849 (1999).

Lisa Eichhorn, Applying the ADA to mitigating measures cases: a choice of statutory evils, 31 Ariz. St. L. J. 1071 (1999).

Lisa Eichhorn, THE CHEVRON TWO-STEP AND THE TOYOTA SIDESTEP: DANCING AROUND THE EEOC'S "DISABILITY" REGULATIONS UNDER THE ADA, 39 Wake Forest Law Review Spring, 2004 177 (2004).

Marita Skye Erbeck, Casenote. Eleventh and Fourteenth Amendments--Americans with Disabilities Act (Board of Trustees of University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 12 Seton Hall Const. L.J. 555 (2002).

William D. Evans, ADA and the workplace: the Supreme Court's 2002 ADA trilogy., 39 Tenn. B.J. 12 (2003).

Keith R. Fentonmiller & Herbert Semmel, Where age and disability discrimination intersect: an overview of the ADA for the ADEA practitioner, 10 Geo. Mason U. Civ. Rts. L.J. 227 (2000).

Leanne Mashburn Foster, Note. Asymptomatic HIV-infection and its place within the Americans with Disabilities Act after...(Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998.), 43 St. Louis U. L.J. 177 (1999).

Aaron David Frishberg, The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Supreme Court: towards a strategic perspective., 59 Guild Practitioner 193 (2002).

Jonathan C. Fritts, Note. "Down in front!": judicial deference, regulatory interpretation, and the ADA's line of sight standard, 86 Geo. L.J. 2653 (1998).

Jeffrey Gerish, Abandoning congressional intent: modern-day applications of the ADA, 1997 Det. C.L. Rev. 805 (1997).

Michael H. Gottesman, Disability, federalism, and a court with an eccentric mission, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 31 (2001).

Joseph Groshong, Comment: Curbing state discrimination against disabled drivers: Why the disabled need not pay the states to participate in disabled parking programs, 33 Steon Hall L. Rev. 811 (2003).

Thomas P. Guarino, IDEA and ADA - do the exhaustion requirements of 20 U.S.C. ♦ 1415 apply to actions under the ADA?, 15 Glendale L. Rev. 1 (1996).

Ashley W. A. Hammercih, Tennessee v. Lane: Money Damages under the ADA, 13 0 (0).

Ashley W. A. Hammercih, Tennessee v. Lane: Money Damages under the ADA, 13 The Digest 111 (2005).

Roger C. Hartley, Enforcing federal civil rights against public entities after Garrett, 28 J.C. & U.L. 41 (2001).

Roger C. Hartley, The new federalism and the ADA; State sovereign immunity from private damage suits after Boern, 24 Rev. L. & Soc. Change 481 (1998).

Wendy F. Hensel, Interacting with others: a major life activity under the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 2002 Wis. L. Rev. 1139 (2002).

J. Andrew Hirth, We Were Only Teasing: The Eighth Circuit Misses the Quintessence of Hostile Work Environment Claims Under the ADA, 70 Mo. L. Rev. 253 (2005).

Brian M. Holt, Comment. Genetically defective: the judicial interpretation of the Americans with Disabilities Act fails to protect against genetic discrimination in the workplace., 35 J. Marshall L. Rev. 457 (2002).

Kimberly Horvath, Does Bragdon v. Abbott provide the missing link for infertile couples seeking protection under ADA?, 4 DePaul J. Health Care L. 819 (1999).

Seth A. Horvath, DISENTANGLING THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: ALTERNATIVE REMEDIES FOR STATE-INITIATED DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION UNDER TITLE I AND TITLE II, 2004 University of Illinois Law Review 231 (2004).

John V. Jacobi, Federal power, segregation, and mental disability., 39 Hous. L. Rev. 1231 (2003).

Mark A. Johnson, Note. A flawed standard yields a predictable result. (Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett, 121 S. Ct. 955, 2001.), 60 Md. L. Rev. 393 (2001).

Jessica L. Johnson, The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: The incredible shrinking legislation? A closer look at Chevron v. Echazabal and the expansion of the direct threat defense., 32 Cap. U. L. Rev. 761 (2004).

Michael W. Kelly, Note. Weakening Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act: the Buckhannon decision and other developments limiting private enforcement., 10 Elder L.J. 361 (2002).

Michelle R. King & Beth S. Herr, The consequences and implications of a case-by-case analysis under the Americans with Disabilities Act for asymptomatic HIV-positive gay men and lesbians post Bragdon, 8 Law & Sexuality: Rev. Lesbian Gay Bisexual & Transgender Legal Issues 531 (1998).

Catherine J. Lanctot, Ad hoc decision making and per se prejudice: how individualizing the determination of "disability" undermined the ADA, 42 Vill. L. Rev. 327 (1997).

Geoffrey Landward, Note. Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett and the Equal Education Opportunity Act: another act bites the dust. (Bd. of Trustees of the U. of Ala. v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 2002 BYU Educ. & L.J. 313 (2002).

David J. Langeland, MISAPPLICATION OF PRECEDENT: THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IGNORES THE OVERBREADTH OF THE ADA BY ABROGATING STATE SOVEREIGNTY IN TENNESSEE V. LANE, 38 Creighton Law Review June, 2005 1065 (2005).

Jeffery W. Larroca, Toyota Motor Manufacturing, Kentucky, Inc. v. Williams: disabling the Americans with Disabilities Act., 19 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 363 (2002).

Jeffrey W. Larroca, Note. Disabling the Americans with Disabilities Act. (Toyota Motor Mfg., Ky., Inc. v. Williams, 534 U.S. 184, 2002.), 19 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 363 (2002).

Jennifer Lav, Note. Conceptualizations of disability and the constitutionality of remedial schemes under the Americans with Disabilities Act., 34 Colum. Hum. Rts. L. Rev. 197 (2002).

James Leonard, A damaged remedy: disability discrimination claims against state entities under the Americans with Disabilities Act after Seminole Tribe and Flores, 41 Ariz. L. Rev. 651 (1999).

James Leonard, Symposium. The shadows of unconstitutionality: how the new federalism may affect the anti-discrimination mandate of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 91 (2000).

Brian Lester, Student article. The Americans with Disabilities Act and the exclusion of inmates from services in prisons: a proposed analytical approach regarding the appropriate level of judicial scrutiny of a prisoner's ADA claim., 79 N.D. L. Rev. 83 (2003).

S. Elizabeth Wilborn Malloy, Something borrowed, something blue: why disability law claims are different, 33 Comm. L. Rev. 603 (2001).

Samuel A. Marcossou, Of square pegs and round holes: the supreme court's ongoing "title VII-ization" of the Americans with Disabilities Act., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 361 (2004).

Elizabeth Keadle Markey, Note. The ADA's last stand?: Standing and the Americans with Disabilities Act., 71 Fordham L. Rev. 185 (2002).

Arlene B. Mayerson, Symposium. Restoring regard for the "regarded as" prong: giving effect to congressional intent, 42 Vill. L. Rev. 587 (1997).

Heather R. McDonald, Note. Garrett under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act: its broad implications to civil rights laws. (Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 52 DePaul L. Rev. 993 (2003).

Megan McMillan, TRADING CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES FOR POLICY ENDS: AN IDEOGRAPHIC STUDY OF DISABILITY INTEREST GROUP AND AGENCY RESPONSE TO BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA V. GARRETT, 5 The Journal of Law in Society Winter, 2004 501 (2004).

Steven Mendelsohn, Martin Gould, When the Americans with Disabilities Act Goes Online: Application of the ADA to the Internet and the World Wide Web, 7 Computer Law Review & Technology Journal Winter, 2004 173 (2004).

Gillian E. Metzger, FACIAL CHALLENGES AND FEDERALISM, 105 Columbia Law Review April, 2005 873 (2005).

Michael D. Meuti, Note. Disabling legislation: the judicial erosion of the ADA's protection for employees with psychiatric disorders., 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 445 (2003).

Michael D. Meuti, Features and Notes: *Disabling Legislation: The Judicial Erosion of the ADA's Protection for Employees with Psychiatric Disorders*, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev 445 (2003).

Michael D. Meuti, Disabling Legislation: The Judicial Erosion of the ADA's Protection for Employees with Psychiatric Disorders, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 445 (2003).

Adam A. Milani, WHEELCHAIR USERS WHO LACK "STANDING": ANOTHER PROCEDURAL THRESHOLD BLOCKING ENFORCEMENT OF TITLES II AND III OF THE ADA, 39 Wake Forest Law Review Spring, 2004 69 (2004).

Paul Steven Miller, EEOC's enforcement of the ADA in the second circuit, 48 Syracuse L. Rev. 1577 (1998).

Paul Steven Miller, The Americans with Disabilities Act in Texas: the EEOC's continuing efforts in enforcement, 34 Hous. L. Rev. 777 (1997).

Paul Steven Miller, The EEOC's enforcement of the Americans with Disabilities Act in the Sixth Circuit, 48 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 217 (1998).

Christopher S. Morin, Flying the not-so-friendly skies: Charas v. TWA's definition of "service" under the ADA's preemption clause exposes airlines to tort liability, 65 J. Air L. & Com. 497 2000 (0).

Leah C. Myers, Disability harassment: how far should the ADA follow in the footsteps of Title VII?, 17 BYU J. Pub. L. 265 (2003).

Leah C. Myers, Disability harassment: How far should the ADA follow in the footsteps of Title VII?, 17 BYU J. Pub. L. 265 (2003).

Kathleen M. Nichols, Labor and Employment Law - Determining Employee Status for Evolving Business Associations Under the Americans with Disabilities Act- Clackamas Gastroenterology Associates, P.C. v. Wells, 538 U.S. 440 (2003), 38 Suffolk University Law Review 239 (2004).

Stanton K. Oishi, Casenote. Abrogation of state sovereign immunity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act after Board of Trustees v. Garrett. (Patricia N. v. LeMahieu, 141 F. Supp. 2d 1243, D. Haw. 2001.), 24 U. Hawaii L. Rev. 347 (2001).

Sarah N. Otwell, Note. Triumph for the working disabled or hollow procedural device? (Cleveland v. Policy Management Sys. Corp., 119 S. Ct. 15797, 1999.), 3 J. Health Care & Pol'y 452 (2000).

Caroline Palmer & Lynn Mickelson, Falling through the cracks: The unique circumstances of HIV disease under recent Americans with Disabilities Act caselaw and emerging privacy policies., 21 Law & Ineq. 219 (2003).

Todd Pauls, Asymptomatic HIV, reproduction, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: the disability dilemma in...(Bragdon v. Abbott, 912 F. Supp. 580, D. Me. 1997, aff'd, 107 F. 3d 934, 1st Cir. 1997, aff'd, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998.), 22 Hamline L. Rev. 633 (1999).

Jessica Perry, Note. The parent-child waiver testimonial privilege: an argument for qualified recognition., 37 Brandeis L.J. 97 (1998).

K.G. Jan Pillai, Incongruent disproportionality, 29 Hastings Const. L.Q. 645 (2002).

Johanna Pirko, THE EROSION OF SEPARATION OF POWERS UNDER THE "CONGRUENCE AND PROPORTIONALITY" TEST: FROM RELIGIONS FREEDOM TO THE ADA, 53 Hastings Law Journal 519 (2001).

Steven Plitt, et al., Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett: is constitutional authority for sale and is state sovereign immunity the purchase price?, 13 Geo. Mason U. Civ. Rts. L.J. 151 (2003).

Aaron Ponzo, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act is a valid exercise of congress' power to abrogate state sovereign immunity: Tennessee v. Lane., 43 Duq. L. Rev. 2 317 (2005).

Aaron Ponzo, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act is a Valid Exercise of Congress' Power to Abrogate State Sovereign Immunity: Tennessee v. Lane, 43 Duquesne University Law Review Winter, 2005 317 (2005).

Russell Powell, Beyond lane: Who is protected by the Americans with Disabilities Act, who should be?, 82 Denv. U. L. Rev. 1 25 (2004).

Russell Powell, Beyond Lane: Who is Protected by the Americans with Disabilities Act, Who Should Be?, 82 Denver University Law Review 25 (2004).

William H. Pryor Jr., Madison's double security: in defense of federalism, the separation of powers, and the Rehnquist Court, 53 Ala. L. Rev. 1167 (2002).

Nicole S. Richter, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act after University of Alabama v. Garrett: should the states be immune from suit? (University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 77 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 879 (2002).

Robert A. Robinson, Note. Alsbrook v. City of Maumelle: Will the conservative Supreme Court decide

that the Eleventh Amendment shields state and local governments from the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 10 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 265 (2000).

Robert A. Robinson, Will the conservative Supreme Court decide that the Eleventh Amendment shields state and local governments from the Americans with Disabilities Act? (*Alsbrook v. City of Maumelle*, 184 F.3d 999, 8th Cir. 1999, cert. granted, see also *Alsbrook v. Arkansas*, 120 S. Ct. 1003, 2000, cert. dismissed, 120 S. Ct. 1265, 2000.), 10 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 265 (2000).

Kevin A. Rogers, Note. Meaningful judicial review: a protection of civil rights. (*Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 22 Miss. C. L. Rev. 101 (2002).

Yoni Rosenzweig, Recent Development, *Tennessee v. Lane: Relaxing the Garrett Requirements for Civil Rights Legislation*, 40 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 301 (2005).

Ronald D. Rotunda, The Eleventh Amendment, *Garrett*, and protection for civil rights, 53 Ala. L. Rev. 1183 (2002).

Louis S. Rulli & Jason A. Leckerman, Unfinished business: The fading promise of ADA enforcements in the federal courts under title I and its impact on the poor., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. J. Gender Race & Just. 595 (2005).

Michael L. Russell, The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Eleventh Amendment: do states have a license to discriminate?, 28 Ohio N.U. L. Rev. 133 (2001).

Sarah Shaw, Comment. Why courts cannot deny ADA protection to plaintiffs who do not use available mitigating measures for their impairments., 90 Cal. L. Rev. 1981 (2002).

Joseph J. Shelton, Note. In the wake of *Garrett*: state law alternatives to the Americans with Disabilities Act. (*Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 52 Cath. U. L. Rev. 837 (2003).

Christopher E. Sherer, The resurgence of federalism: state employees and the Eleventh Amendment, 23 Hamline J. Pub. L. & Pol'y 1 (2001).

Joan Shinavski, Recent decision. The Eleventh Amendment bars private individuals from suing state employers for money damages under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act. (*Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 40 Duq. L. Rev. 161 (2001).

Anita Silvers & Michael Ashley, Disability, equal protection, and the Supreme Court: standing at the crossroads of progressive and retrogressive logic in constitutional classification, 35 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 235 (2001).

Pamela J. Simmons, Note. Does the end justify the means? the Fourth Circuit's new case-by-case analysis of an HIV-infected plaintiff's ADA claim after *Bragdon v. Abbott*, 34 Wake Forest L. Rev. 1251 (1999).

Melinda Slusser, Note. A step in the right direction. (*Flowers v. Southern Regional Physician Services*, 247 F.3d 229, 5th Cir. 2001, 33 U. Tol. L. Rev. 713 (2002).

Jefferson D. E. Smith & Steve P. Calandrillo, Forward to fundamental alteration: Addressing ADA Title II integration lawsuits after *Olmstead v. L.*, 24 Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 695 (2001).

Aviam Soifer, Disabling the ADA: Essences, better angels, and unprincipled neutrality claims., 44 Wm

& Mary L.Rev. 1285 (2003).

Robert L. Strayer II, Asserting the Seventh Amendment: an argument for the right to a jury trial when only back pay is sought under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 52 Vand. L. Rev. 795 (1999).

Paul V. Sullivan, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: an analysis of Title III and applicable case law, 29 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 1117 (1995).

Jeffrey Swanson, Ph.D., Scott Burris, J.D., Kathryn Moss, PH. D., Michael Ullman, M.A. , Leah M. Ran, JUSTICE DISPARITIES: DOES THE ADA ENFORCEMENT SYSTEM TREAT PEOPLE WITH PSYCHIATRIC DISABILITIES FAIRLY?, 66 Maryland Law Review 94 (2006).

Matthew D. Taggart, Comment. Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act after Garrett: defective abrogation of sovereign immunity and its remedial impact., 91 Cal. L. Rev. 827 (2003).

Holland M. Tahvonen, Notes. Disability-based harassment: standing and standards for a "new" cause of action., 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1489 (2003).

Hillina Tadesse Tamrat, Note. Sovereign immunity under the Eleventh Amendment: Kimel and Garrett, what next for state employees? (Kimel v. Fla. Bd. of Regents, 528 U.S. 62, 2000; and Bd. of Trustees of University of Alabama v. Garrett, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 11 Elder L.J. 171 (2003).

Alison Tanchyk, Comment. An Eleventh Amendment victory: the Eleventh Amendment vs. Title II of the ADA., 75 Temp. L. Rev. 675 (2002).

Sharlott K. Thompson, Hostile Work Environment Disability Harassment Under the ADA, 73 UMKC L. Rev. 715 (2005).

Carrie A. Thornton, Comment. Alcoholism and the ADA: divergent treatment by the federal courts, 54 SMU L. Rev. 2153 (0).

Carrie A. Thornton, Comment. Alcoholism and the ADA: divergent treatment by the federal courts, 54 SMU L. Rev. 2153 (2001).

Karen M. Volkman, Comment. The limits of coverage: do insurance policies obtained through an employer and administered by insurance companies fall within the scope of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 43 St. Louis U.L.J. 249 (1999).

Michael E. Waterstone, Article: Lane, fundamental rights, and voting., 56 Ala. L. Rev. 793 (2005).

Michael Waterstone, *The Untold Story of the Rest of the Americans with Disabilities Act*, 58 Vand. L. Rev. 1807 (2005).

Mary Ann MacLaughlan Weicher, Case Comment, *Administrative Law--No Chevron Deference for EEOC's Interpretation of "Disability" in Family and Medical Leave Act*, 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 915 (2003).

Rebecca Hanner White, Deference and disability discrimination, 99 Mich. L. Rev. 532 (2000).

Jessica Lynne Wilson, Technology as panacea: why pregnancy-related problems should be defined without regard to mitigating measures under the ADA, 52. Vand. L. Rev. 831 (1999).

Community-Based Care/Assisted Living

Andrew I. Batavia, A right to personal assistance services: "most integrated setting appropriate" requirements and the independent living model of long-term care, 27 *Am. J.L. & Med.* 17 (2001).

Andrew I. Batavia, The growing prominence of independent living and consumer direction as principles in long-term care: a content analysis and implications for elderly people with disabilities., 10 *Elder L.J.* 263 (2002).

Andrew I. Batavia, Disability rights in the third stage of independent living movement: disability community consensus, dissention, and the future of disability policy (excepts)., 14 *Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev.* 347 (2003).

Melinda Bird, The integration of the ADA and the problem of de-institutionalization, 31 *Loy. L.A. L. Rev.* 847 (1998).

Melissa Bowman, Note. Open debate over closed doors: the effect of the new developmental disabilities regulations on protection and advocacy programs, 85 *Ky. L.J.* 955 (1996).

Patricia A. Carlton, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act and child care centers: providing personal care attendants so children with disabilities may benefit from the Act, 23 *Okla. City U. L. Rev.* 1007 (1998).

Mary C. Cerreto, Olmstead: the Brown v. Board of Education for disability rights, 3 *Loy. J. Pub. Int. L.* 47 (2001).

Jeffrey W. Childers, Recent development. One small step for the continued parental support of disabled children beyond the age of majority in North Carolina. (*Hendricks v. Sanks*, 143 *N.C. App.* 544, 545 *S.E.2d* 779, 2001.), 80 *N.C. L. Rev.* 2094 (2002).

Michael J. Davis & Karen L. Gaus, Protecting group homes for the non-handicapped: zoning in the post-Edmonds era, 46 *U. Kan. L. Rev.* 777 (1998).

Erin Ziaja, Note. Do independent and assisted living communities violate the Fair Housing Amendments Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 9 *Elder L.J.* 313 (2001).

Harriet McBryde Johnson & Lesly Bowers, Civil rights and long-term care: advocacy in the wake of *Olmstead v. L.C. ex rel. Zimring.*, 10 *Elder L.J.* 453 (2002).

Arlene Kanter, Abandoned But Not Forgotten: The Rights of Elderly People in State Psychiatric Institutions, 19 *New York University Review of Law and Society Change* 273 (1992).

Jane Perkins & Randolph Boyle, Symposium. Addressing long waits for home and community-based care through Medicaid and the ADA, 45 *St. Louis U. L.J.* 117 (2001).

Michael L. Perlin, "Their promises of paradise": will *Olmstead v. L.C.* resuscitate the constitutional "least restrictive alternative" principle in mental disability law?, 37 *Hous. L. Rev.* 999 (2000).

Michael L. Perlin, "What's good is bad, what's bad is good, you'll find out when you reach the top, you're on the bottom": are the Americans with Disabilities Act (and *Olmstead v. L.C.*) anything more than "Idiot Wind"?, 35 *U. Mich. J.L. Reform* 235 (2001).

Sara Rosenbaum & Joel Teitelbaum, *Olmstead v. L.C.*: implications for Medicaid and other publicly funded health services, 12 *Health Matrix* 93 (2002).

Rachel Rubey, There's no place like home: housing for the most vulnerable individuals with severe mental disabilities., 63 *Ohio St. L.J.* 1729 (2002).

Jefferson D.E. Smith & Steve Calandrillo, Forward to fundamental alteration: addressing ADA Title III integration lawsuits after *Olmstead v. L.*, 24 *Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y* 695 (2001).

Steven J. Snyder, Providing services to assisted living facility residents through home health agencies: meeting the need in changing times, 8 *J. Affordable Housing & Commun. Dev. L.* 159 (1999).

Mark C. Weber, HOME AND COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES, OLMSTEAD, AND POSITIVE RIGHTS: A PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION, 39 *Wake Forest Law Review* Spring, 2004 269 (2004).

Loretta Williams, Note. Long term care after *Olmstead v. L.C.*: wil the potential of the ADA's integration mandate be achieved? (*Olmstead v. L.C. ex rel. Zimring*, 527 U.S. 581, 1999.), 17 *J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y* 205 (2000).

Lucille D. Wood, Note. Costs and the right to community-based treatment, 16 *Yale L. & Pol'y Rev.* 501 (1998).

Sandra L. Yue, A return to institutionalization despite *Olmstead v. L.C.*? The inadequacy of Medicaid provider reimbursement in Minnesota and the failure to deliver home-and community-based waiver services, 19 *Law & Ineq.* 307 (2001).

Crime

Comment. Commutation for the mentally retarded? (*Atkins v. Virginia*, 122 S. Ct. 2242, 2002.), 54 *S.C. L. Rev.* 809 (2003).

Shawn D. Anderson & Jay Hewitt, The effect of competency restoration training on defendants with mental retardation found not competent to proceed, 26 *Law & Hum. Behav.* 343 (2002).

Susan M. Boland, Walking the edge of death: an annotated bibliography on juveniles, the mentally ill, the mentally retarded and the death penalty., 21 *N. Ill. U. L. Rev.* 1 131 (2001).

Richard J. Bonnie, Mentally ill prisoners on death row: unsolved puzzles for courts and legislatures., 54 *Cath. U. L. Rev.* 4 1169 (2005).

Richard J. Bonnie, THE DEATH PENALTY AND MENTAL ILLNESS: MENTALLY ILL PRISONERS ON DEATH ROW: UNSOLVED PUZZLES FOR COURTS AND LEGISLATURES, 54 *Catholic University Law Review* Summer, 2005 1169 (2005).

Aimee D. Borromeo, Comment. Mental retardation and the death penalty, 3 *Loy. J. Pub. Int. L.* 175 (2002).

Bette L. Bottoms, et al., Jurors' perceptions of adolescent sexual assault victims who have intellectual disabilities., 27 *Law & Hum. Behav.* 205 (2003).

Mijha Butcher, Student article. Using mediation to remedy civil rights violations when the defendant is

not an intentional perpetrator: the problems of unconscious disparate treatment and unjustified disparate impacts., 24 Hamline J. Pub. L. & Pol'y 225 (2003).

Leslie Calhoun, Rethinking Juvenile Rehabilitation Techniques: How Failure to Modify Positive Peer Culture for Mentally Ill Youths Violates Title II of the ADA, 50 The Wayne Law Review Spring, 2004 201 (2004).

Morgan Cloud, et al., Words without meaning: the Constitution, confessions, and mentally retarded suspects, 69 U. Chi. L. Rev. 495 (2002).

Timothy Cone, Developintg the Eighth Amendment for those "least deserving" of punishment: statutory mandatory minimums for non-capital offenses can be "cruel and unusual" when imposed on mentally retarded offenders., 34 N.M. L.Rev 35 (2004).

Ronda Cress, J. Neil Grindstaff, S. Elizabeth Malloy, MENTAL CAPACITY AND THE DEATH PENALTY: ARTICLE: MENTAL HEALTH COURTS AND TITLE II OF THE ADA: ACCESSIBILITY TO STATE COURT SYSTEMS FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH MENTAL DISABILITIES AND THE NEED FOR DIVERSION, 25 Saint Louis University Public Law Review 307 (2006).

Deborah W. Denno, Sexuality, rape and mental retardation, 1997 U. Ill. L. Rev. 315 (1997).

Richard C. Dieter, Introduction to presentations: the path to an eighth amendment analysis of mental illness and capital punishment., 54 Cath. U. L. Rev. 4 1117 (2005).

Alexis Krulish Dowling, Comment. Post Atkins problems with enforcing the Supreme Court's ban on executing the mentally retarded. (Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304, 2002.), 33 Seton Hall L. Rev. 773 (2003).

Bryan Lester Dupler, The uncommon law: insanity, executions, and Oklahoma criminal procedure, 55 Okla. L. Rev. 1 (2002).

William J. Edwards, Capital punishment and mental disability: amici curiae brief in Penry v. Johnson, 12 Crim. L. F. 267 (2001).

Lucy C. Ferguson, THE IMPLICATIONS OF DEVELOPMENT COGNITIVE RESEARCH ON "EVOLVING STANDARDS OF DECENECY" AND THE IMPOSITION OF THE DEATH PENALTY ON JUVENILES, 54 American University Law Review 441 (2004).

Jennifer Fischer, The Americans with Disabilities Act: Correcting discrimination of persons with mental disabilities in the arrest, post-arrest, and pretrial processes., 23 Law & Ineq. 157 (2005).

Jennifer Fischer, The Americans with Disabilities Act: Correcting Discrimination of Persons with Mental Disabilities in the Arrest, Post-Arrest, and Pretrial Processes, 23 Law and Inequality Winter, 2005 157 (2005).

Ryken Grattet & Valerie Jenness, Examining the boundaries of hate crime law: disabilities and the "dilemma of difference", 91 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 653 (2001).

Timothy S. Hall, Legal fictions and moral reasoning: capital punishment and the mentally retarded defendant after Penry v. Johnson, 35 Akron L. Rev 327 (2002).

Jennifer Hodulik, Comment. The drug court model as a response to "Broken Windows" criminal justice for the homeless mentally ill, 91 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 1073 (2001).

Ronald S. Honberg, The injustice of imposing death sentences on people with severe mental illness., 54 Cath. U. L. Rev. 4 1153 (2005).

James D. Johnson, Note. Does the Americans with Disabilities Act apply to the conduct of law enforcement officers pursuant to arrests? A survey of (Gorman v. Bartch, 925 F. Supp. 653, W.D. Mo. 1996), 14 Ga. St. U. L. Rev. 901 (1998).

Oliver Kaufman, Note. Is executing the mentally retarded constitutional? (Atkins v. Virginia, 2001 U.S. Lexis 5463, Oct 1, 2001.), 85 Marq. L. Rev. 579 (2001).

Daniel B. Kessler, Note. Capital punishment and the diagnosis of mental retardation. (Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304, 2002.), 43 Jurimetrics J. 415 (2003).

Tim Kollas, Note. Federal power, states' rights, individual rights: mentally disabled prisoners and the Supreme Court's new activism, 10 Wm. & Mary Bill of Rts. J. 861 (2002).

Bill Lockyer & Taylor S. Carey, Symposium: Capital concerns: The death penalty in america: Capital punishment and the mentally retarded: Implementing Atkins, 15 Stan. & L. & Pol'y Rev. 329 (2004).

Bill Lockyer and Taylor S. Carey, CAPITAL CONCERNS: THE DEATH PENALTY IN AMERICA: Capital Punishment and the Mentally Retarded: Implementing Atkins, 15 Stanford Law & Policy Review 15 (2004).

Jessie Manchester, Comment. Beyond accomodation: reconstructing the insanity defense to provide an adequate remedy for postpartum psychotic women., 93 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 713 (2003).

Alison J. Meyers, Comment. Mentally ill and mentally retarded defendants in Texas may get a chance at justice: recommendations to the Task Force created by Tex. S.B. 553, 77th Leg., R.S. (2001), 43 S. Tex. L. Rev. 1233 (2002).

Christine Michalopoulos, Comment. Filling the holes of the insanity defense: the Andrea Yates case and the need for a new prong., 10 Va. J. Soc. Pol'y & L. 383 (2003).

Grant H. Morris, Placed in purgatory: conditional release of insanity acquittees, 39 Ariz. L. Rev. 1061 (1997).

Joseph A. Nese, Jr., Comment. The fate of mentally retarded criminals: an examination of the propriety of their execution under the Eighth Amendment, 40 Duq. L. Rev. 373 (2002).

Erin O'Connor, Note. Is Kendra's Law a keeper? How Kendra's Law erodes fundamental rights of the mentally ill., 11 J.L. & Pol'y 313 (2002).

Lindsay Raphael, Comment. Have American standards of decency evolved to the point where capital punishment inflicted upon the mentally retarded can no longer be tolerated?, 26 Nova L. Rev. 269 (2001).

Margaret M. Severson, Moving beyond case law: suggestions for dealing with the mentally ill offender, 5 J. L. Soc. & Soc. Work 121 (1995).

Christopher Slobogin, Mental disorder as an exemption from the death penalty: the ABA-IRR task force recommendations, 54 Cath U. L. Rev. 4 1133 (2005).

Christopher Slobogin, Is Atkins the Antithesis or Apotheosis of AntiDiscrimination Principles?: Sorting Out the Groupwide Effects of Exempting People with Mental Retardation from the Death Penalty, 55 Alabama Law Review Summer, 2004 1101 (2004).

Christopher Slobogin, THE DEATH PENALTY AND MENTAL ILLNESS: MENTAL DISORDER AS AN EXEMPTION FROM THE DEATH PENALTY: THE ABA-IRR TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATION, 54 Catholic University Law Review Summer, 2005 1133 (2005).

Ronald J. Tabak, Overview of task force proposal on mental disability and the death penalty., 54 Cath. U. L. Rev. 4 1123 (2005).

Ronald J. Tabak, THE DEATH PENALTY AND MENTAL ILLNESS: OVERVIEW OF TASK FORCE PROPOSAL ON MENTAL DISABILITY AND THE DEATH PENALTY, 54 Catholic University Law Review Summer, 2005 1123 (2005).

Ronald J. Tabak, MENTAL CAPACITY AND THE DEATH PENALTY: ARTICLE: EXECUTING PEOPLE WITH MENTAL DISABILITES: HOW CAN WE MITIGATE AN AGGRAVATING SITUATION, 25 Saint Louis University Public Law Review 283 (2006).

Eli Velasquez, Casenote. The shaping of an American consensus against the execution of mentally retarded criminals ... (Atkins v. Virginia, 536 U.S. 304, 2002.), 24 Whittier L. Rev. 955 (2003).

Sarah E. Wolf, Casenote. The mentally incompetent criminal defendant: United States v. Weston and the need for a guardian ad litem. (United States v. Weston, 255 F.3d 873, D.C. Cir. 2001. cert. denied, 122 S. Ct. 670, U.S. 2001.), 10 Geo. Mason L. Rev. 1071 (2002).

Defenses and Remedies

Katrina Atkins & Richard Bales, HIV and direct threat defense., 91 Ky. L.J. 859 (2002).

Katrina Atkins, Richard Bales, HIV and the Direct Threat Defense, 91 Kentucky Law Journal Summer 2002/2003 859 (2002).

Nathan J. Barber, Note. "Upside down and backwards": the ADA's direct threat defense and the meaning of a qualified individual after ... (Echazabal v. Chevron U.S.A., 226 F.3d 1063, 2000; cert. granted, Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Echazabal, 122 S. Ct. 456, 2001.), 23 Berkeley J. Emp. & Lab. L. 149 (2002).

Jennifer Wright Burke, Comment. American with Disabilities Act: an alleged violation of the ADA should not be a defense in a termination of parental rights proceeding, 29 U. Balt. L. Rev. 347 (2000).

Melissa M. Chureau, Comment. The Barnett paradox: Icarus's wings, 6 J. Small & Emerging Bus. L. 395 (2002).

Jennifer N. Coffin, Case note. Civil rights--Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Americans with Disabilities Act--HIV-infected health care workers and the "direct threat" defense. (Estate of Mauro v. Borgess Medical Center, 137 F. 3d 398, 6th Cir., cert. denied, 119 S. Ct. 51, 1998, 66 Tenn. L. Rev. 311 (1998).

Ruth Colker, Winning and losing under the ADA, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 239 (2001).

Ruth Colker, The Americans with Disabilities Act: a windfall for defendants, 34 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 99 (1999).

Erin M. Conroy, Case comment. Labor and employment law--discrimination: an EEOC regulation allowing employers to assert that an employee may not pose a danger to himself falls within the purview of the Americans with Disabilities Act. (*Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Echazabal*, 122 S. Ct. 2045, 2002.), 79 N.D. L. Rev. 147 (2003).

Patrick G. Coy & Timothy M. He, Disabilities and mediation readiness in court-referred cases: developing screening criteria and service networks, 16 *Mediation Q.* 113 (1998).

Sienna DeAgostino, Note. The decision's impact on the American with Disabilities Act. (*Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 79 *U. Det. Mercy L. Rev.* 281 (2002).

Mary L. Dispenza, Note. Overcoming a new digital divide; technology accommodations and the undue hardship defense under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 52 *Syracuse L. Rev.* 159 (2002).

Karen M. Doore, Note. Survival of the fittest? Waiting out the death of the plaintiff in ADA claims. (*Allred v. Solaray, Inc.*, 971 F. Supp. 1394, D. Utah 1997, 1998 *Utah L. Rev.* 371 (1998).

Ryan Gill, Casenote. State immunity and the Americans with Disabilities Act after ... (*Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 74 *U. Colo. L. Rev.* 1239 (2003).

Jon L. Gillum, TORT LAW AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: ASSESSING THE NEED FOR A REALIGNMENT, 39 *Idaho Law Review* 531 (2003).

Dawn-Marie Harmon, HIV and the ADA: What is a Direct Threat?, 55 *Maine Law Review* 391 (2003).

Jill Elaine Hasday, Mitigation and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 103 *Mich. L. Rev.* 2 217 (2004).

Geoffrey G. Hengerer, Comment. A return to state sovereignty: how individuals with disabilities in Maryland may still seek relief against state employers after.. (*Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 2001.), 31 *U. Balt. L. Rev.* 67 (2001).

Ann Hubbard, Understanding and implementing the ADA's direct threat defense, 95 *NW. U. L. Rev.* 4 1279 (2001).

Jessica L. Johnson, THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990: THE INCREDIBLE SHRINKING LEGISLATION? A CLOSER LOOK AT CHEVRON V. ECHAZABAL AND THE EXPANSION OF THE DIRECT THREAT DEFENSE, 32 *Capital University Law Review* Spring, 2004 761 (2004).

Tara Jones, Student article. The threat-to-self defense and the Americans with disabilities Act., 27 *S. Ill. U. L.J.* 539 (2003).

Adam B. Kaplan, Father Doesn't Always Know Best: Rejecting Paternalistic Expansion of the "Direct Threat" Defense to Claims Under the Americans With Disabilities Act, 106 *Dickinson Law Review* Fall, 2001 389 (2001).

J. J. Knauff, Dissing disabilities: a student's duty to mitigate maladies., 2001 *BYU Educ. & L.J.* 1 85 (2001).

Aaron Lacy, AM I MY BROTHER'S KEEPER: DISABILITIES, PATERNALISM, AND THREATS TO SELF, 44 *Santa Clara Law Review* 55 (2003).

Andrea Christensen Luby, Note. Estopping enforcement of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 13 J. L. & Pol. 415 (1997).

Jody Marcucci, Note. Foster children, AIDS, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the direct threat exception. (*Doe v. County of Centre*, 242 F.3d 437, 3d Cir. 2001.), 52 DePaul L. Rev. 945 (2003).

Armen H. Merjian, Bad decisions make bad decisions: Davis, Arline, and improper application of the undue financial burden defense under the Rehabilitation Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 65 Brooklyn L. Rev. 105 (1999).

Grant H. Morris, Symposium. Defining dangerousness: risking a dangerous definition, 10 J. Contemp. Legal Issues 61 (1999).

Sabra McDonald Owens, Note. Diagnostic evidence admissibility and the multiple personality disorder defense, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 236 (1998).

Sabra McDonald Owens, Student article. The multiple personality disorder (MPD) defense, 8 Md. J. Contemp. Legal Issues 237 (1997).

Steven Plitt, Valerie J. Fasolo, & Daniel Maldonado, Article: Disability under a judicial microscope: The struggle to define the rights and remedies for claims brought under the rehabilitation act, 47 N.Y. L. Sch. L. Rev. 269 (2003).

Brian S. Prestes, Student article. Disciplining the Americans with Disabilities Act's direct threat defense, 22 Berkeley J. Emp. & Lab. L. 409 (2001).

Scott Quillin, *Chevron U.S.A., Inc. v. Echazabal*: The Supreme Court's Common Sense Interpretation of the American's with Disabilities Act's Direct Threat Defense, 29 Oklahoma City University Law Review Summer, 2004 641 (2004).

Anne Rajotte, Asthma and Pesticides in Public Schools: Does the ADA Provide a Remedy Where Fifra Fails to Protect?, 31 Boston College Environmental Affairs Law Review 149 (2004).

Rebecca Roupe, AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 24 Journal of Juvenile Law 2003/2004 185 (2003).

Brian M. Saxe, WHEN A RIGID TEXTUALISM FAILS: DAMAGES FOR ADA EMPLOYMENT RETALIATION, 2006 Michigan State Law Review Summer, 2006 555 (2006).

James Schwellenbach, Comment. Mixed messages: an analysis of the conflicting standards used by the United States Circuit Courts of Appeals when awarding compensatory education for a violation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 53 Me. L. Rev. 245 (2001).

Marney Collins Sims, Comment. Estop it! judicial estoppel and its use in Americans with Disabilities Act litigation, 34 Hous. L. Rev. 843 (1997).

Deborah L. Slowata, Quantifying a direct threat: risks that health care providers must take while treating infectious patients. (*Bragdon v. Abbot*, 524 U.S. 624, 1998), 20 Pace L. Rev. 569 (2000).

Sarah Starnes, Note. Psychiatric disabilities & the ADA: an analysis of conventional defenses & EEOC guidelines, 18 Rev. Litig. 181 (1999).

Robert L Strayer, III, Asserting the Seventh Amendment: an argument for the right to a jury trial when only back pay is sought under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 52 Vand. L. Rev. 795 (1999).

Sheehan Sullivan, Note. Employers beware: the Ninth Circuit's rejection of the "direct threat to self" disability discrimination defense in..(Echazabal v. Chevron, 226 F.3d 1063, 9th Cir. 2000, cert.granted, 2001 WL 267478, U.S. Oct.29,2001.), 25 Seattle U. L. Rev. 517 (2001).

Hilary Von Rohr, Recent development. Lactation litigation and the ADA solution: a response to Martinez v. NBC, 4 Wash. U. J.L. & Pol'y 341 (2000).

Hilary Von Rohr, Recent development. Lactation litigation and the ADA solution: a response to Martinez v. NBC, 4 Wash. U. J.L. & Pol'y 341 (2000).

Michael Wesley, Casenote. Title V, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and the recovery of punitive damages thereunder. (Barnes v. Gorman, 122 S. Ct. 2097, 2002.), 27 S. Ill. U. L.J. 195 (2002).

Defining Disability/Major Life Activities

Sensitivity training: multiple chemical sensitivity and the ADA, 25 B.C. Env'tl. Aff. L. Rev. 485 (0).

Thomas C. Abbott, KAPLAN AND "REGARDED AS": DOES THE ADA DISCRIMINATE BETWEEN REAL AND PERCEIVED DISABILITY?, 39 Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review August, 2006 883 (2006).

Christiana M. Ajalat, Recent development. Is HIV really a "disability"?: The scope of the Americans with Disabilities Act after...(Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998.), 22 Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 751 (1999).

Erin Argo, Recent Decision. Employment law-Americans with Disabilities Act-corrective and mitigating measures shall be considered in determining whether individual is disabled under ADA, 69 Miss. L.J. 561 (1999).

Leonard J. Augustine, Jr., Note. Disabling the relationship between intentional discrimination and compensatory damages under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 66 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 592 (1998).

Stacie E. Barhorst, Note. What does disability mean: The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 in the aftermath of Sutton, Murphy, and Albertsons, 48 Drake L. Rev. 137 (1999).

Erin K. Barta, Note. Practical effects of the Sutton decision: mitigation, deference, and the EEOC: (Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc., 119 S. Ct. 2139, 2146, 1999.), 7 Tex. Wesleyan L. Rev. 35 (2000).

Stephanie Beige, Note. The Supreme Court "substantially limits" the Americans with Disabilities Act: (Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc., 527 U.S. 475, 1999, 16 Touro L. Rev. 1441 (2000).

Nora Belanger, Case note. The ADA--a practitioner's guide in the aftermath of..(Sutton v. United Air Lines, 527 U.S. 471, 1999.), 21 Pace L. Rev. 271 (2000).

Lisa M. Benrud-Larson, Establishing a Substantial Limitation in Interacting with Others: A Call for Clearer Guidance from the EEOC, 90 Minnesota Law Review June, 2006 1791 (2006).

Paula E. Berg, III/Legal: Interrogating the meaning and function of the category of disability in

antidiscrimination law, 18 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 1 (1999).

Timothy Stewart Bland, The determination of disability under the ADA: should mitigating factors such as medications be considered?, 35 Idaho L. Rev. 265 (1999).

Mary Anne Bobinski, The expanding domain of the ADA: The Supreme Court's decision in *Abbott v. Bragdon*, 61 Tex. B.J. 918 (1998).

Jonathan Bridges, Note. Mitigating measures under the Americans with Disabilities Act: interpretation and deference in the judicial process, 74 Notre Dame L. Rev. 1061 (1999).

Jonathan Brown, Defining disability in 2001: a lower court odyssey, 23 Whittier L. Rev. 355 (2001).

Terri L. Bryson, Recent Development: Public Employment: ADA, Disability Discrimination: *Norton v. Leon County School Board*, 2003 WL 21467095 (Fla. 2d Cir. Ct. June 5, 2003), 33 Stetson L. Rev. 0 (0).

Terri L. Bryson, Recent development: Public employment: ADA, disability discrimination: *Norton v. Leon County School Board*, 2003 WL 21467095 (Fla. 2d Cir. Ct. June 5, 2003), 33 Stetson L. Rev. 825 (2004).

Terri L. Bryson, PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT: ADA, DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION: *Norton v. Leon County School Board*, 2003 WJL 21467195 (Fla. 2d Cir. Ct. June 5, 2003), 33 Stetson Law Review Winter, 2004 825 (2004).

Robert L. Burgdorf, Jr., Symposium. "Substantially limited" protection from disability discrimination: the special treatment model and misconstructions of the definition of disability, 42 Vill. L. Rev. 409 (1997).

Carol R. Buxton, CIVIL RIGHTS: LOOKING BACK - LOOKING FORWARD: OBESITY AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 4 Barry Law Review Fall, 2003 109 (2003).

Jane Byeff Korn, Cancer and the ADA: rethinking disability, 74 Cal. L. Rev. 399 (2001).

Michael D. Carlis & Scott A. McCabe, Comment. Are there no per se disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act? the fate of asymptomatic HIV disease, 57 Md. L. Rev. 558 (1998).

Emily J. Carton, Comment. Lethal but not disable?--The Circuits split on ADA coverage of the asymptomatic HIV-positive victim, 103 Dick. L. Rev. 129 (1998).

Claudia Center & Andrew J. Imparato, Redefining "disability" discrimination: a proposal to restore civil rights protections for all workers., 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 321 (2003).

Claudia Center and Andrew J. Imparato, Symposium: Development in Disability Rights: *Redefining "Disability" Discrimination: A Proposal to Restore Civil Rights Protections for All Workers*, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev 321 (2003).

Claudia Center and Andrew J. Imparato, Redefining "Disability" Discrimination: A Proposal to Restore Civil Rights Protections for All Workers, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 321 (2003).

Elizabeth C. Chambers, Note. Asymptomatic HIV as a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 73 Wash. L. Rev. 403 (1998).

Elizabeth A. Chang, Note. Who should have it both ways?: The role of mitigating measures in an ADA analysis, 64 Brook. L. Rev. 1123 (1998).

Charles J. Coleman, Diane Cooney-Painter, and Sukhjit K. Moonga, Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in the Workplace Under the ADA in the Wake of Sutton and Its Companions, 12 Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal 0 (2000).

Kevin L. Cope, Comment: Sutton misconstrued: Why the ADA should now permit employers to make their employees disabled, 98 Nw. U.L. Rev. 1753 (2004).

Carolyn V. Counce, Note. Corrective devices and nearsightedness under the ADA, 28 U. Mem. L. Rev. 1195 (1998).

Elizabeth A. Crawford, Comment. The courts' interpretations of a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act: are they keeping our promise to the disabled?, 35 Hous. L. Rev. 1207 (1998).

Maria Greco Danaher, INABILITY TO USE RIGHT HAND AND ARM IS NOT NECESSARILY A DISABILITY, 8 Lawyers Journal November 24, 2006 3 (2006).

Christine Spinella Davis, Comment. Asymptomatic HIV under the ADA: the invisible, yet legitimate disability, 15 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 357 (1998).

Mark DeLoach, Can't We All Just Get Along?: The Treatment of "Interacting with Others" as a Major Life Activity in the Americans with Disabilities Act, 57 Vanderbilt Law Review May, 2004 1313 (2004).

Mark S. Dichter & Sarah E. Sutor, The new genetic age: do our genes make us disabled individuals under the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 42 Vill. L. Rev. 613 (1997).

Matthew Diller, Dissonant disability policies: the tensions between the Americans with Disabilities Act and Federal Disability Benefit programs, 76 Tex. L. Rev. 1003 (1998).

Kelly Druten, Comment. The Family and Medical Leave Act: what is a serious health condition?, 46 U. Kan. L. Rev. 183 (1997).

Richard C. Dunn, Note. Determining the intended beneficiaries of the ADA in the aftermath of Sutton: limiting the application of the disabling corrections corollary, 43 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 1265 (2002).

Curtis D. Edmonds, Snakes and ladders: expanding the definition of "major life activity" in the Americans with Disabilities Act, 33 Tex Tech L. Rev. 321 (2002).

Curtis D. Edmonds, SNAKES AND LADDERS: EXPANDING THE DEFINITION OF "MAJOR LIFE ACTIVITY" IN THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 33 Texas Tech Law Review 321 (2002).

Lisa Eichhorn, Major litigation activities regarding major life activities: the failure of the "disability" definition in the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 77 N.C. L. Rev. 1405 (1999).

Stephanie A. Fishman, Note. Individuals with disabilities but without mitigating measures, 46 Wayne L. Rev. 2013 (2000).

Stephanie C. Flores, Note. Reading the ADA with 20/20 vision: anti-discrimination protection for individuals with corrective disabilities after..(Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc., 527 U.S. 471, 1999, 22 Whittier L. Rev. 909 (2001).

Michelle T. Friedland, Not disabled enough: the ADA's "major life activity" definition of disability, 52 Stanford L. Rev. 171 (1999).

Major Baucum Fulk, Bragdon v. Abbott: Current and Future Ramifications for Federal Employment Discrimination Law, 2006 Army Lawyer February, 2006 12 (2006).

John Gibeaut, Filling a need, 83 ABA J. 48 (1997).

Justin S. Gilbert, Prior History, Present Discrimination, and the ADA's "Record of" Disability, 31 The University of Memphis Law Review Spring, 2001 659 (2001).

Brian R. Gin, Genetic discrimination: Huntington's disease and The Americans with Disabilities Act, 97 Colum. L. Rev. 1406 (1997).

Leah Guidry, Note. To heal another or to protect oneself?: HIV under the ADA in light of Bragdon v. Abbott, 15 Touro L. Rev. 805 (1999).

Katherine Hsu Hagmann-Borenstein, MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING: HAS THE U.S. SUPREME COURT'S SUTTON DECISION THWARTED A FLOOD OF FRIVOLOUS LITIGATION?, 37 Connecticut Law Review Summer, 2005 1121 (2005).

Kristina M. Hall, Note. Enforcing equal protection rights by designating infertility as a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act (Pacourek v. Inland Steel Co., Inc., 916 F. Supp. 797, N.D. Ill. 1996, 11 BYU J. Pub. L. 287 (1997).

Matthew C. Hans, Note. Lake v. Arnold: the disabled and the confused jurisprudence of 42 U.S.C. 1985(3), 15 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 673 (1999).

Erica Worth Harris, Controlled impairments under the Americans with Disabilities Act: a search for the meaning of disability, 73 Wash. L. Rev. 575 (1998).

Wendy F. Hensel, Interacting with others: a major life activity under the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 2002 Wis. L. Rev. 1139 (2002).

Thais Hernandez, An application of the Chevron doctrine to the EEOC's Interpretation of the ADA: why mitigating measures must be considered when evaluating a disability, 7 U. Miami Bus. L. Rev. 309 (1999).

Barbara Hoffman, Reports of its death were greatly exaggerated: the EEOC regulations that define "disability" under the ADA after Sutton v United Air Lines, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 253 (2000).

Sharona Hoffman, Paul Stevne Miller, Chai r. Feldblum, and Michael Stein, THE DEFINITION OF DISABILITY IN THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: ITS SUCCESSES AND SHORTCOMINGS: PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2005 ANNUAL MEETING, ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN LAW SCHOOLS SECTIONS ON EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION LAW; LABOR RELATIONS AND EMPLOYMENT LAW; AND LAW, MEDICINE AND HEALTH CARE, 9 Employee Rights and Employment Policy Journal 473 (2005).

Sara Gagne Holmes, Case note. The Supreme Court reverses the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's directive that disability determinations should be made without regard to mitigating measures. (Sutton v United Airlines, 199 S. Ct. 2139, 1999.), 52 Me. L. Rev. 425 (2000).

Jeremy Holt, Reasonable Accommodation: who should bear the burden?, 28 Stetson L. Rev. 1229 (1999).

Kari Horner, A GROWING PROBLEM: WHY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEEDS TO SHOULDER THE BURDEN IN PROTECTING WORKERS FROM WEIGHT DISCRIMINATION, 54 Catholic University Law Review Winter, 2005 589 (2005).

Kimberly Horvath, Does Bragdon v. Abbott provide the missing link for infertile couples seeking protection under the ADA?, 2 DePaul J. Health Care L. 819 (1999).

Ann Hubbard, The myth of independence and the major life activity of caring., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 2 327 (2004).

Ann Hubbard, THE MAJOR LIFE ACTIVITY OF BELONGING, 39 Wake Forest Law Review Spring, 2004 217 (2004).

Ann Hubbard, Meaningful Lives and Major Life Activities, 55 Alabama Law Review Summer, 2004 997 (2004).

Timothy D. Johnston, Note. Reproduction is not a major life activity: implications for HIV infection as per se disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 85 Cornell L. Rev. 189 (1999).

Molly M. Joyce, Note. Has the Americans with Disabilities Act fallen on deaf ears? A post-Sutton analysis of mitigating measures in the Seventh Circuit., 77 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 1389 (2002).

Michelle Kaemmerling, Note. ADA protection for individuals with asymptomatic HIV: (Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998, 77 N.C. L. Rev. 1266 (1999).

Sheryl Rebecca Kamholz, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act: advocating judicial deference to the EEOC's mitigating measures guidelines, 8 B.U. Pub. Int. L.J. 99 (1998).

Deborah Kaplan, The definition of disability: perspective of the disability community, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 352 (2000).

Jennifer Hotchkiss Kaplan, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW- Navarro v. Pfizer Corporation: Too Much Disregard and Too Little Deference in Defining "Disability" Under the Family and Medical Leave Act, 25 Western New England Law Review 263 (2003).

Jane M. Keenan, A Social Security claimant's statement that she is disabled and unable to work does not necessarily preclude a subsequent ADA wrongful termination claim. (Cleveland v. Policy Management Systems Corporation, 119 S. Ct. 1597, 1999), 38 Duq. L. Rev. 685 (2000).

Rebecca Kesler, Note. Running in circles: defining mental illness and dangerousness in the wake of Kansas v. Hendricks, 44 Wayne L. Rev. 1871 (1999).

Reteb M. Khasawneh, Note. Limiting the protections available to disabled individuals under the ADA: (Sutton v. United Airlines, Inc., 130 F.3d 893, 10th Cir. 1997.), 29 Cap. U. L. Rev. 761 (2002).

Amy M. Kimmel, Note. Insulin: can't be disabled with it--can't live without it, 52 Hastings L.J 749 (2001).

Michelle R. King & Beth S. Herr, The consequences and implications of a case-by-case analysis

under the Americans with Disabilities Act for asymptomatic HIV-positive gay men and lesbians post Bragdon, 8 L. & Sexuality 531 (1998).

Jane Byeff Korn, Fat, explores whether obesity should be considered a disability under ADA, 77 B.U. L. Rev. 25 (1997).

Barbara L. Kramer, Hayes and Mobley: bridging the definition of disability under the Ohio Worker's Compensation Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 45 Clev. St. L. Rev. 733 (1997).

Todd Lebowitz, Note. Evaluating purely reproductive disorders under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 96 Mich. L. Rev. 724 (1997).

Carolee Kvoriak Lezuch, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act: redefining "major life activity" to protect the mentally disabled, 44 Wayne L. Rev. 1839 (1999).

William B. Lovett, Jr., Note. Supreme Court's clarification of the effect of "mitigating measures" in disability determinations muddies disabilities water. (Sutton v. United Airlines, Inc., 527 U.S. 471, 1999.), 21 Miss. C.L. Rev. 153 (2001).

Richard A. Maniskas, Bragdon v. Abbott: the case in which reproduction was ruled to be a major life activity under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 10 Widener J. Pub. L. 187 (2001).

Richard A. Maniskas, BRAGDON V. ABBOTT: THE CASE IN WHICH REPRODUCTION WAS RULED TO BE A MAJOR LIFE ACTIVITY UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990, 10 Widener Journal of Public Law 187 (2001).

Michael T. Mann, Casenote. Defining a "disability" under the Americans with Disabilities Act--corrective measures as a factor. (Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc., 119 S. Ct. 2139, 1999.), 69 U. Cin. L. Rev. 385 (2000).

Samuel A. Marcossou, Article: Of square pegs and round holes: the supreme court's ongoing "title VII-ization" of the Americans with Disabilities Act., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 361 (2004).

Robert C. Mathes, Case note. Civil rights--the status of persons infected with asymptomatic HIV under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 after Bragdon: did the Supreme Court miss an opportunity to protect disabled Americans? (Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 1196, 1998, 34 Land & Water L. Rev. 237 (1999).

Connie Mayer, Is HIV a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act: Unanswered questions after Bragdon v. Abbott, 14 J.L. & Health 179 (1999).

William J. McDevitt, Defining the term "disability" under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 10 St. Thomas L. Rev. 281 (1998).

Margaret C. McGrath, Comment: Insulin-dependent diabetes and access to treatment in the workplace: the failure of the Americans with Disabilities Act to provide protection, 37 J. Marshall L. Rev. 957 (2004).

Daniel A. McMillan, Playing with work: Must "work" be treated as a "major life activity" for purposes of Americans with Disabilities Act?, XXXI Fordham Urb. L. Rev. 6 1333 (2004).

Daniel A. McMillan, Note, *Playing with Work: Must "Work" Be Treated as a "Major Life Activity" for Purposes of the Americans with Disabilities Act?*, 31 Fordham Urb. L.J. 1333 (2004).

Catherine Meriano, Casenote. Disability defined as the burden shifts: (Cleveland v. Poly Management systems Corp., 526 U.S. 795, 1999.), 20 QLR 323 (2000).

Jason M. Metnick, EVOLVING TO ASYMPTOMATIC HIV AS A DISABILITY PER SE: CLOSING THE LOOPHOLE IN JUDICIAL PRECEDENT, 7 DePaul Journal of Health Care Law Fall, 2003 69 (2003).

Jessica Meyer, Obesity Harassment in School: Simply "Teasing" Our Way to Unfettered Obesity Discrimination and Stripping Away the Right to Education, 23 Law and Inequality Summer, 2005 429 (2005).

Michael P. Milton, Note. Should drug and alcohol addictions be compensable disabilities under the NFL Player Retirement Plan? An analysis of the Sweeney case. (Sweeney v. Bert Bell/NFL Player Retirement Plan, 961 F. Supp. 1381, S.D. Cal. 1997, 5 Sports Law. J. 205 (1998).

Jonathan R. Mook, Perspective-Bragdon v. Abbott - pushing the envelope on the definition of "disability.", 1/1/98 Benefits L.J. 715 (1998).

Katie Cook Morgan, Comment. Should infertility be a covered disability under the ADA?: a question for Congress, not the courts, 65 U. Cin. L. Rev. 963 (1997).

Andrea Kloehn Naef, Toyota Motor Manufacturing v. Williams: A Case of Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Weakens the Grip of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 31 Pepperdine Law Review 575 (2004).

Charles Ngwena, DECONSTRUCTING THE DEFINITION OF 'DISABILITY' UNDER THE EMPLOYMENT EQUITY ACT: SOCIAL DECONSTRUCTION, 22 South African Journal of Human Rights 613 (2006).

Christine Neylon O'Brien, To tell the truth: should judicial estoppel preclude Americans with Disabilities Act complaints?, 73 St. John's L. Rev. 349 (1999).

Sarah Lynn Oquist, Casenote. Reproduction constitutes a "major life activity" under the ADA: implications of the Supreme Court's decision in...(Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998.), 32 Creighton L. Rev 1357 (1999).

Beth Hensley Orwick, Note. "Bartender, I'll have a beer and a disability"; alcoholism and the Americans with Disabilities Act: affirming the importance of the individualized inquiry in determining the definition of disability, 20 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 195 (2001).

Ashley L. Pack, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act after Sutton v. United Air Lines--can it live up to its promise of freedom for disabled Americans? (Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc., 527 U.S. 471, 1999.), 89 Ky. L.J. 539 (2001).

Wendy E. Parmet, Individual rights and class discrimination: the fallacy of an individualized determination of disability, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 283 (2000).

Nora Q. E. Passamaneck, Note. Diverging paths from a shared origin: defining "disability" under 151B and the American with Disabilities Act in Dahill and Sutton., 82 B.U. L. Rev. 1263 (2002).

Todd Pauls, Asymptomatic HIV, reproduction, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: the disability dilemma in Bragdon v. Abbott, 22 Hamline L. Rev. 633 (1999).

Michael J. Puma, Note. Respecting the plain language of the ADA: a textualist argument rejecting the EEOC's analysis of controlled disabilities, 67 Geo. Wash. L. Rev. 123 (1998).

Mark C. Rahdert, Arline's ghost: some notes on working as a major life activity under the ADA, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 303 (2000).

Adele Rapport, PROVIDING DISABILITY STATUS: IT CAN BE DONE, 2 2006 ATLA Annual Convention Reference Materials 1637 (2006).

Frank S. Ravitch & Marsha B. Freeman, The Americans with "certain" Disabilities Act: Title I of the ADA and the Supreme Court's result oriented jurisprudence, 77 Denv. U.L. Rev. 199 (1999).

Jamie C. Ray & Stephen S. Pennington, The substantial limitation approach to defining disability: why does it create an insurmountable barrier to individuals who are regarded as disabled?, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 333 (2000).

Amy C. Reeder, Casenote. Is asymptomatic HIV a per se disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act? (Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998.), 50 Mercer L. Rev. 631 (1999).

Michael D. Reisman, TRAVELING "TO THE FARTHEST REACHES OF THE ADA," OR TAKING AIM AT EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF PERCEIVED DISABILITY?, 26 Cardozo Law Review April, 2005 2121 (2005).

Patrick Rogers, Challenges in Meeting the Disability Qualification Under the ADA: The Tenth Circuit's Analysis in *Mason v. Avaya Communications, Inc.*, 82 Denver University Law Review 539 (2005).

Lawrence D. Rosenthal, Can't Stomach the Americans with Disabilities Act? How the Federal Courts Have Gutted Disability Discrimination Legislation in Cases Involving Individuals with Gastrointestinal Disorders and Other Hidden Illnesses, 53 Catholic University Law Review Winter, 2004 449 (2004).

Mark A. Rothstein, Serge A. Martinez, W. Paul McKinney, USING ESTABLISHED MEDICAL CRITERIA TO DEFINE DISABILITY: A PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 80 Washington University Law Quarterly Spring, 2002 243 (2002).

Mark A. Rothstein, et al., Using established medical criteria to define disability: a proposal to amend the Americans with Disabilities Act, 80 Wash. U. L.Q. 243 (2002).

Gary L. Ruckelshaus, Casenote. Fourteenth Amendment & 42 U.S.C. section 12182 (Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998, 9 Seton Hall Const. L.J. 241 (1998)).

Reed L. Russell, Comment. Arguing for more principled decision making in deciding whether an individual is substantially limited in the major life activity of working under the ADA, 47 Cath. U. L. Rev. 1057 (1998).

Peter K. Rydel, Redefining the right to reproduce: asserting infertility as a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 63 Albany L. Rev. 593 (1999).

Allison A. Satchwill, Casenote. Asymptomatic HIV and the Americans with Disabilities Act. (*Runnebaum v. Nationsbank of Maryland, N.A.*, 123 F.3d 156, 4th Cir. 1997, 66 U. Cin. L. Rev. 1387 (1998)).

Shorge Sato, Note. A little bit disabled: infertility and the Americans with Disabilities Act. (*Saks v. Franklin Covey*, 119 F. Supp. 2d 318, S.D.N.Y. 200, 5 N.Y.U. J. Legis. & Pub. Pol'y 189 (2001)).

Theresa A. Schneider, Note. Stretching the limits of the ADA: asymptomatic HIV-positive status as a disability in...(*Bragdon v. Abbott*, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998, 77 Neb. L. Rev. 206 (1998)).

Kathleen M. Sheil, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act: are your wrists protected?, 23 J. Corp. L. 325 (1998).

William Brent Shellhorse, Note. The untenable stricture: pre-mitigation measurement serves to deny protection under the American with Disabilities Act, 4 Tex. Wesleyan L. Rev. 177 (1998).

Thomas Simmons, The ADA prima facie plaintiff: a critical overview of Eighth Circuit Case Law, 47 Drake L. Rev. 761 (1999).

Thomas Simmons, "Working" with the ADA's "Regarded as" definition of a disability, 5 Tex. Forum Civ. Liberties & Civ. Rights 81 (2000).

Anupa Soni-Gohel, Note. Asymptomatic HIV finds no refuge in the Fourth Circuit's workplace. (Runnebaum v. NationsBank of Maryland, 123 F.3d 156, 4th Cir. 1997.), 8 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 243 (1998).

Anupa Soni-Gohel, Notes: Runnebaum v. NationsBank of Maryland: asymptomatic HIV finds no refuge in the Fourth Circuit's workplace, 8 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 243 (1998).

Bryan P. Stephenson, I'm So Lonesome I Could Cry... But Could I Sue?: Whether "Interacting With Other's Is a Major Life Activity Under the ADA, 31 Pepperdine Law Review 773 (2004).

Emily J. Swiatocha, Case Comment, *Disability Rights Law - Occupation - Specific Physical Impairments May Not Qualify Employees for Protection Under the Americans with Disabilities Act*, 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 585 (2003).

Andrew C. Testerman, Comment. The Supreme Court applies "corrective" and "mitigating" common sense to the ADA. (Sutton v. United Air Lines, Inc., 199 S. Ct. 2139, 1999.), 77 Denv. U. L. Rev. 165 (1999).

Stephen B. Thomas, Valerie Riedthaler, GENDER IDENTITY DISORDER, COLLEGES, & FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT LAW, 212 West's Education Law Reporter November 2, 2006 575 (2006).

Christine M. Tomko, Note. The economically disadvantaged and the ADA: why economic need should factor into the mitigating measures disability analysis, 52 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 1033 (2002).

Bonnie Poitras Tucker, Symposium. The Supreme Court's definition of disability under the ADA: a return to the Dark Ages, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 321 (2000).

Amanda L. Van, INTOLERABLE UNCERTAINTY: AN EXAMINATION OF THE INCONSISTENT TREATMENT OF FIBROMYALGIA UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 27 Thomas Jefferson Law Review Spring, 2005 421 (2005).

Amanda G. Wachuta, THE ADA GETS EVEN MORE COMPLICATED: ANALYZING PREGNANCY WITH COMPLICATIONS AS A DISABILITY, 52 Drake Law Review Spring, 2004 471 (2004).

Maureen R. Walsh, Note. What constitutes a "disability" under the Americans with Disabilities Act: should court consider mitigating measures?, 55 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 917 (1998).

Kelly A. Walters, The Sington definition of disability: A change of focus from disability to ability., 81 U. Det. Mercy L.Rev. 393 (2004).

Kelly A. Walters, *The Sington Definitio of Disability: A Change of Focus From Disability to Ability*, 81 *University of Detroit Mercy Law Review* Spring, 2004 363 (2004).

Melissa S. Wandersee, Comment. *The far-reaching effects of reproduction as a "major life activity" under the ADA: what will this expansion mean to employers and their insured?*, 3 *J. Small & Emerging Bus. L.* 429 (1999).

Bryan J. Warren, *Recent decision. When determining whether an ADA claimant is disabled, the claimant's impairment must be considered in light of available corrective measures, and failure to meet DOT regulations does not establish that the claimant was regarded as disabled: (Murphy v. United Parcel Service, Inc., 119 S. Ct. 2133, 1999.)*, 38 *Duq. L. Rev.* 1143 (2000).

Jessica Lynne Wilson, *Technology as a panacea: why pregnancy-related problems should be defined without regard to mitigating measure under the ADA*, 52 *Vand. L. Rev.* 831 (1999).

Joanne L. Wisner, Comment. *Asymptomatic HIV disease as a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act: a contrast between....(Bragdon v. Abbott, 118 S. Ct. 2196, 1998; and Runnebaum v. NationsBank of Md., N.A., 123 F. 3d 156, 4th Cir. 1997, 33 New Eng. L. Rev. 217 (1998).*

Beth Ann Wright, *What Will Guide the Courts in Interpreting the Term "Major Life Activities" Under the Americans With Disabilities Act?*, 15 *Geo. Mason U. Civ. Rts. L.J.* 1 (2004).

Heidi R. Youngs, *Reproduction as a major life activity under the ADA: a survey of the law beginning with the Eighth Circuit*, 31 *Creighton L. Rev.* 455 (1998).

Education

Learning disabilities, 30 *J.L. & Educ.* 153 (2001).

Learning Disabilities, 30 *J.L. & Educ.* 341 (2001).

Note. *Agostini v. Felton: Sanctioning a trend in the accommodation of educational services for underprivileged and disabled children*, 29 *Seton Hall L. Rev.* 1008 (1999).

Acheiving service integration for children with special health care needs: an assessment of alternative Medicaid managed care models. Study by Ian Hall, Renee Schwalberg, Beth Zimmerman, Wilma Tilson and Henry Ireys, 5 *J. Health Care L. & Pol'y* 208 (2002).

Recent Case, Disability Law - Individuals with Disabilities Education Act - Fourth Circuit Holds That Parents Bear the Burden of Proof in a Due Process Hearing Against a School District - Weast v. Schaffer, 377 F.3d 449 (4th Cir. 2004)., 118 *Harv. L. Rev.* 1078 (2005).

DISABLED STUDENTS: CLASS ACTION OVER SCHOOL SUSPENSION OK'S, 10 *CITYLAW* September/October, 2004 117 (2004).

THE FOURTH "r": SUSTAINING THE ADA'S PRIVATE "RIGHT" OF ACTION AGAINST STATES FOR DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION IN PUBLIC EDUCATION, 83 0 (2006).

Developments in the Law Primary & Secondary Education, 36 *Journal of Law and Education* January, 2007 91 (2007).

Suzanne Abram, *The Americans with Disabilities Act in higher education: the plight of disabled*

faculty, 32 J.L. & Educ. 1 (2003).

Marcia C. Arceneaux, THE SYSTEM AND LABEL OF SPECIAL EDUCATION: IS IT A CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE?, 0 (2005).

Lester Aron, Too much or not enough: How have the circuit courts defined a free appropriate public education after rowley?, 39 Suffolk Univ. L. Rev. 1 (2005).

David Badanes, Comment. A timid attempt to limit special needs from becoming nothing special. (Earls v. Board of Education, 242 F.3d 1264, 10th Cir. 2001, cert.granted, 122 S.Ct. 509, 2000.), 75 St. John's L. Rev. 693 (2001).

Jane Easter Bahls, Challenging the bar exam on hidden disabilities, 27 Student Law. 20 (1999).

Jane Easter Bahls, Disability dilemma: Are law schools helping or hurting their learning-disabled students by accommodating "hidden disabilities"?, 26 Student Law. 18 (1998).

Jeri D. Barclay, Student article. Fiscal accountability under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: how do we ensure the money is spent on handicapped education and related services?, 28 J.L. & Educ. 327 (1999).

James M. Baron, When Good Intentions Go Bad: The MCAS Graduation Requirement and Special Education Children, 40 Suffolk University Law Review 123 (2006).

LeeAnn Basser, Justice for all? The challenge of realizing the right to education for children with disabilities., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 531 (2005).

Justin M. Bathon, Casenote: Defining "parties aggrieved" under the individuals with disabilities education act: Should parents be allowed to represent their disabled child without an attorney? *Maroni v. Pemi-baker regional school district*, 346 F.3rd 247 (1st Cir. 2003), 29 S. Ill. U. L. J. 507 (2005).

P. Tyson Bennett, THE LAW IN PROVIDING EDUCATION: WHEN CONFLICTING RIGHTS COLLIDE: DEALING WITH SEXUALLY AGGRESSIVE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES, 23 Saint Louis University Public Law Review 597 (2004).

Jonathan A. Beyer, A modest proposal: mediating IDEA disputes without splitting the baby, 28 J.L. & Educ. 37 (1999).

LaDonna L. Boeckman, Note. Bestowing the key to public education: the effects of judicial determination of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act on disabled and nondisabled students, 46 Drake L. Rev. 855 (1998).

Richard S. Boothby, Safety first: student discipline under the 1997 amendments to the IDEA and the need for reform., 63 Ohio St. L.J. 1683 (2002).

Richard S. Boothby, Note. Safety first: student discipline under the 1997 amendments to the IDEA and the need for further reform., 63 Ohio St. L.J. 1683 (2002).

Patricia L. Bors, Note. Academic freedom faces learning disabilities (*Guckenberger v. Boston Univ.*, 957 F. Supp. 306, D. Mass. 1997, 25 J.C. & U.L. 581 (1999).

Rebecca L. Bouchard, Note. Education law--the relationship between the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and Section 1983: are compensatory damages an available and appropriate remedy?, 25 W. New Eng. L. Rev. 301 (2003).

Rebecca L. Bouchard, EDUCATION LAW--The Relationship Between the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and Section 1983: Are Compensatory Damages an Available and Appropriate Remedy?, 25 Western New England Law Review 301 (2003).

Erin Bradley, Enforcement of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: Should States be Required to Pay for Private Education?, 35 Journal of Law & Education July, 2006 405 (2006).

Theresa J. Bryant, The death knell for school expulsion: the 1997 Amendments of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 47 Am. U. L. Rev. 487 (1998).

Elizabeth A. Bunch, Student article. School discipline under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: how the stay-put provision limits schools in providing a safe learning environment, 27 J.L. & Educ. 315 (1998).

Jennifer M. Burns, SCHAFFER V. WEAST: WHY THE COMPLAINING PARTY SHOULD BEAR THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING TO DETERMINE THE VALIDITY OF AN IEP UNDER IDEA, 29 Hamline Law Review Summer, 2006 567 (2006).

Ellen A. Callegary, The IDEA's promise unfulfilled: a second look at special education & related services for children with mental health needs after Garret F, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 164 (2002).

Daniela Caruso, BARGAINING AND DISTRIBUTION IN SPECIAL EDUCATION, 14 Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy Summer, 2005 171 (2005).

Ruth Colker, Article: The disability integration presumption: Thirty years later, 154 U. Pa. L. Rev. 789 (2006).

Darren A. Craig, Actions Founded on Statutory Liability: Adopting a Limitations Period for Attorneys' Fees Actions Brought Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 79 Indiana Law Journal Spring, 2004 493 (2004).

Therese Craparo, Note: Remembering the "individuals" of the individuals with disabilities education act, 6 N. Y. U. J. Legis. & Pub. Pol'y 467 (2002).

Kathryn H. Crary, Comment, *Necessary Expertise: Allowing Parents to Recover Expert Witness Fees Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, 77 Temp. L. Rev. 967 (2004).

Lynn M. Daggett, Perry A. Zirkel & LeeAnn L. Gurysh, Article: For whom the school bell tolls but not the statue of limitations: minors and the individuals with Disabilities Education Act., 38 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 717 (2005).

Lynn M. Daggett, Special Education Attorney's Fees: of Buckhannon, the IDEA Reauthorization Bills, and the IDEA as Civil Rights Statute, 8 UC Davis Journal of Juvenile Law & Policy Winter, 2004 1 (2004).

Judith DeBerry, Comment. When parents and educators clash: are special education students entitled to a Cadillac education?, 34 St. Mary's L.J. 503 (2003).

Judith Deberry, WHEN PARENTS AND EDUCATORS CLASH: ARE SPECIAL EDUCATION

STUDENTS ENTITLED TO A CADILLAC EDUCATION, 34 St. Mary's Law Journal 503 (2003).

Charles A. DeGrandpre, Special education in correctional facilities in New Hampshire, 38 N. H. B. J. 78 (1997).

Sandra M. Di Iorio, Comment: Breaking idea's silence: Assigning the burden of proof at due process hearings and judicial proceedings brought by parents against a school district, 78 Temp. L. Rev. 719 (2005).

Julia C. Dimoff, Comment. The inadequacy of the IDEA in assessing mental health for adolescents: a call for school-based mental health., 6 DePaul J. Health Care L. 319 (2003).

Jennifer D. Dougherty, Note. Sanctioning a trend in the accommodation of educational services for underprivileged and disabled children. (Agostini v. Felton, 117 S. Ct.1997, 29 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1008 (1999).

William L. Dowling, Comment. Special education and the private school student: the mistake of the IDEA Amendments Act, 81 Marq. L. Rev. 79 (1997).

Anne P. Dupre, Disability and the public schools: the case against "inclusion.", 72 Wash. L. Rev. 775 (1997).

Anne P. Dupre, Disability, deference, and the integrity of the academic enterprise, 32 Ga. L. Rev. 393 (1998).

Demetra Edwards, New Amendments to Resolving Special Education Disputes: Any Good IDEAs?, 5 Pepperdine University School of Law 137 (2005).

Alessio David Evangelista, Full inclusion and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: a clear and convincing standard for cases involving the least restrictive environment provision, 6 The Digest 45 (1998).

Tara L. Eyer, Comment. Greater expectations: how the 1997 IDEA amendments raise the basic floor of opportunity for children with disabilities, 103 Dick. L. Rev. 613 (1999).

Sarah E. Farley, Comment. Least restrictive environments: assessing classroom placement of students with disabilities under the IDEA., 77 Wash. L. Rev. 809 (2002).

Beth A. Ferri & David J. Conner, Special education and the Subverting of Brown., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 57 (2004).

William S. Fields & Carol A. Marchant, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and Department of Defense Educational Programs: DDES Case No. 97-001 (March 24, 1998), 158 Mil. L. Rev. 147 (1998).

James R. Flynn, The hidden history of IQ and special education: can the problems be solved?, 6 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 191 (2000).

M. Brendhan Flynn, In Defense of Maroni: Why Parents Should Be Allowed to Proceed Pro Se in IDEA Cases, 80 Indiana Law Journal Summer, 2005 881 (2005).

Erin G. Frazor, "NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND" IN NEED OF A NEW "IDEA": A FLEXIBLE APPROACH

TO ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS, 36 Golden Gate University Law Review Spring, 2006 157 (2006).

Jennifer L. Free, EQUAL EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES AND THE VISUALLY IMPAIRED STUDENT UNDER THE INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT, 37 The University of Toledo Law Review Fall, 2005 203 (2005).

Robert A. Garda, Jr., Untangling Eligibility Requirements Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 69 Mo. L. Rev. 441 (2004).

Robert A. Garda, JR. and Senator Robert Stafford, Who is Eligible Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act?, 35 Journal of Law & Education July, 2006 291 (2006).

Alan Gartner, What to do with difference: the ADA, special education, and disability, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 555 (2001).

Gerard A. Gioia & Peter K. Isquith, New perspectives on educating children with ADHD: contributions of the executive functions, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 124 (2002).

Susan G. Glark, MAKING ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATIONS UNDER SECTION 504, 214 West's Education Law Reporter January 11, 2007 451 (2007).

Rebekah Gleason, CHARTER SCHOOLS AND SPECIAL EDUCATION: PART OF THE SOLUTION OR PART OF THE PROBLEM?, 9 University of the District of Columbia Law Review Winter, 2007 145 (2007).

Theresa Glennon, Knocking against the rocks: evaluating institutional practices and the African-American boy, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 10 (2002).

Diana B. Glick, Individuals with Disabilities Education Act 2004, 9 UC Davis Journal of Juvenile Law & Policy Summer, 2005 439 (2005).

Cynthia Godsoe, Caught between two systems: how exceptional children in out-of-home care are denied equality in education, 19 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 81 (2000).

Steven S. Goldberg, Special education mediations: responding to a proposal for reform, 30 J.L. & Educ. 127 (2001).

Stacey Gordon, Making Sense of the Inclusion Debate under IDEA, 2006 Brigham Young University Education and Law Journal 189 (2006).

Rena Waterman, Groeschel, Comment. Discipline and the disabled student: the IDEA reauthorization responds, 1998 Wis. L. Rev. 1085 (1998).

Ed Halsell, DISABLED SCHOOL CHILDREN: WHERE ARE THEIR ADVOCATES?, 23 Journal of Juvenile Law 2002/2003 65 (2002).

Angela Hamilton, Comment. Damage control: promoting the goals of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act by foreclosing compensatory damage awards., 2001 Utah L. Rev. 659 (2001).

Robert Caperton Hannon, Returning to the true goal of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: self-sufficiency, 50 Vand. L. Rev. 715 (1997).

Stefan R. Hanson, Note. Buckhannon, special education disputes, and attorneys' fees: time for a congressional response again. (Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home, Inc. v. W. Va. Dept. Health & Human Resources, 532 U.S. 598, 2001.), 2003 BYU Educ. & L.J. 519 (2003).

Stefa R. Hanson, Buckhannon, Special Education Disputes, and Attorney's Fees: Time for a Congressional Response Again, 2003 Brigham Young University 519 (2003).

Luke Hertenstein, Assigning the Burden of Proof in Due Process Hearings: Schaffer v. Weast and the Need to Amend the Individuals with Disabilities in Education Act, 74 UMKC Law Review Summer, 2006 1043 (2006).

Jay P. Heubert, Schools without rules? Charter schools, Federal Disability Law, and the paradoxes of deregulation, 32 Harv. C.R. - C.L. L. Rev. 301 (1997).

Patrick Howard, The Least Restrictive Environment: How to Tell?, 33 Journal of Law & Education April, 2004 167 (2004).

Roy Carleton Howell, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA): Reaching the black legal community to inform black people of their IDEA rights., 31 S.U. L. Rev. 1 85 (2003).

Roy Carleton Howell, THE INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA): REACHING THE BLACK LEGAL COMMUNITY TO INFORM BLACK PEOPLE OF THEIR IDEA RIGHTS, 31 Southern University Law Review Fall, 2003 85 (2003).

Joanne L. Huston, Inclusion: a proposed remedial approach ignores legal and education issues, 27 J.L. & Educ. 3249 (1998).

H. Scott Jacobsen, Note. Case retrospective: Shannon M. continues to leave her controversial mark on a Utah school district's medically demanding special education students. (Granite Sch. Dist. v. Shannon M., 787 F. Supp. 1020, D. Utah 1992.), 1 J.L. & Fam. Stud. 83 (1999).

J. Matt Jameson and Dixie S. Huefner, "Highly Qualified" Special Educators and the Provision of a Free Appropriate Public Education to Students with Disabilities, 35 Journal of Law & Education January, 2005 29 (2005).

Jennifer Johnson, IDEA and Honig v Doe: the suspension of educationally disabled students, 38 N.H. B. J. 52 (1997).

Scott F. Johnson, Reexamining Rowley: a new focus in special education law., 2003 BYU Educ. & L.J. 561 (2003).

Anne E. Johnson, EVENING THE PLAYING FIELD: TAILORING THE ALLOCATION OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF AT IDEA DUE PROCESS HEARINGS TO BALANCE CHILDREN'S RIGHTS AND SCHOOL'S NEEDS, 46 Boston College Law Review May, 2005 591 (2005).

Scott F. Johnson, Reexamining Rowley: A New Focus in Special Education Law, 2003 Brigham Young University Education and Law Journal 561 (2003).

Lucy Kats , Comment. The United States Supreme Court's limitations of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act forces a court to prevent a disabled student from receiving a meaningful public education by denying him the necessary services of participation in athletics, 11 Seton Hall J. Sport L. 351 (2000).

Christin E. Keele, Is the No Child Left Behind Act the Right Answer for Children with Disabilities?, 72 UMKC L. Rev. 1111 (2004).

Christin E. Keele, Is the No Child Left Behind Act the right answer for children with disabilities?, 72 UMKC L. Rev. 1111 (2004).

James P. Kelly, Student article. Consistency & cooperation: the lessons of Guckenberger v. Boston University, 28 J.L. & Educ. 319 (1999).

Laura Ketterman, Comment. Does the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act exclude gifted and talented children with emotional disabilities? An analysis of..(J.D. v. Pawlet, 224 F.3d 60, 2d Cir. 2000.), 32 St Mary's L.J. 913 (2001).

Lisa R. Knickerbocker, Note. The education of all children with disabilities: integrating home-schooled children into the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 1515 (2001).

Christine Moyles Kovan, Casebrief. Disability law--pendent placement and financial responsibility under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: the Third Circuit's extension of Burlington. (Sesquenita School District v. Rawlee S., 96 F.3d 78, 3d Cir. 1996.), 42 Vill. L. Rev. 1867 (1997).

Teresa K. LaMaster & John J. O'Brien, Road warriors: two parents' perspective on getting services for children with special needs, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 91 (2002).

Samuel Ashby Lambert, Finding the way back home: funding for home school children under the individuals with disabilities education act., 101 Colum. L. Rev. 7 1709 (2001).

Christopher Thomas Leathy & Michael A. Mugmon, ALLOCATION OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT DUE PROCESS CHALLENGES, 29 Vermont Law Review Summer, 2005 951 (2005).

Christopher Thomas Leahy & Michael A. Mugmon, ALLOCATION OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT DUE PROCESS CHALLENGES, 0 (2005).

Donna H. Lehr & Jill Greene, Educating students with complex health care needs in public schools: the intersection of health care, education, and the law, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 68 (2002).

Susan P. Leviton, Foreward, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 7 (2002).

Tracey I. Levy, Legal obligations and workplace implications for institutions of higher education accommodating learning disabled students, 30 J.L. & Educ. 85 (2001).

Praveen Madhiraju, R.I.P. ritalin in proportion! The eighth circuit's restriction on a parent's right to have schools accommodate the needs of their disabled children: *Debord and Davis*, 95 NW. U. L. Rev. 4 1661 (2001).

Leslie Seid Margolis, The provision of school health services to students with disabilities: the intersection of health care policy, education and the law in the post-Garret F. era, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 99 (2002).

Robert J. Martin, CHARTER SCHOOL ACCESSIBILITY FOR HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS: THE EXPERIENCE IN NEW JERSEY, 78 St. John's Law Review Spring, 2004 327 (2004).

Patricia A. Massey and Stephen A. Rosenbaum, DISABILITY MATTERS: TOWARD A LAW SCHOOL CLINICAL MODEL FOR SERVING YOUTH WITH SPECIAL EDUCATION NEEDS, 11 Clinical Law Review Spring, 2005 271 (2005).

Leon N. Mayer, Casenote. The interaction of the Headlee Amendment to the Michigan Constitution and funding for special education provided by the state to local school districts. (Durant v. State of Michigan, 456 Mich. 175, 566 N.W.2d 272, 1977, 1988 Det. C.L. Mich. St. U.L. Rev. 893 (1998).

Thomas A. Mayes & Perry A. Zirkel, State educational agencies and special education: obligations and liabilities, 10 B.U. Pub. Int. L.J. 62 (2000).

Thomas A. Mayes, Perry A. Zirkel & Dixie Snow Huefner, Article: Allocating the burden of proof in the administrative and judicial proceedings under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act., 108 W. Va. L. Rev. 27 (2005).

Thomas A. Mayes, Denying Special Education in Adult Correctional Facilities: A Brief Critique of Tunstall v. Bergeson, 2003 Brigham Young University Education and Law Journal 193 (2003).

Luretha R. McClendon, Student article. The representation of children with disabilities in Connecticut under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 5 Quinnipiac Health L.J. 85 (2001).

Julie F. Mead, Determining charter schools' responsibilities for children with disabilities: a guide through the legal labyrinth, 31 J.L. & Educ. 305 (2002).

Julie F. Mead, Determining charter schools' responsibilities for children with disabilities: a guide through the legal labyrinth, 11 B.U. Pub. Int. L.J. 167 (2002).

Judge Solomon A. Metzger, Compensatory education under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act., 23 Cardozo L. Rev. 1839 (2002).

Brandy Miller, FALLING BETWEEN THE CRACKS: WHY FOSTER CHILDREN ARE NOT RECEIVING APPROPRIATE SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES, 5 Whittier Journal of Child and Family Advocacy Spring, 2006 547 (2006).

Kim Millman, AN ARGUMENT FOR CADILLACS INSTEAD OF CHEVROLETS: HOW THE LEGAL SYSTEM CAN FACILITATE THE NEEDS OF THE TWICE-EXCEPTIONAL CHILD, 34 Pepperdine Law Review January, 2007 455 (2007).

Alefia Mithaiwala, Universal Preschool: A Solutions to a Special Education Law Dilemma, 2004 Brigham Young University Education and Law Journal 373 (2004).

Christopher M. Morrison, Note. High-stake tests and students with disabilities, 41 B.C. L. Rev. 1139 (2000).

Kristy A. Mount, Children's Mental Health Disabilities and Discipline: Protecting Children's Rights While Maintaining Safe Schools, 3 Barry Law Review Fall, 2002 103 (2002).

Christopher Thomas Leahy & Michael A. Mugmon, ALLOCATION OF THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT DUE PROCESS CHALLENGES, 0 (2005).

Kyle Murray & Lelia B. Helms, The buck stops here: graduate level disability services and the 1998 Rehabilitation Act Amendments, 28 J.C. & U.L. 1 (2001).

William N. Myhill, No FAPE for Children with Disabilities in the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program: Time to Redefine a Free Appropriate Public Education, 89 Iowa Law Review March 2004 1051 (2004).

Trent D. Nelson, Comment. Congressional attention needed for the "stay-put" provision of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 1997 BYU Educ. & L.J. 49 (1997).

Paul T. O'Neill, Special education and high stakes testing: an analysis of current law and policy, 30 J.L. & Educ. 185 (2001).

Moira O'Neill, Delinquent or Disabled? Harmonizing the IDEA Definition of "Emotional Disturbance" with the Educational Needs of Incarcerated Youth, 57 Hastings Law Journal June, 2006 1189 (2006).

Ranko Shiraki Oliver, Overview of federal laws protecting students with disabilities in colleges and universities, 34 Ark. Law. 20 (1999).

Allan G. Osborne, Jr., County of San Diego v. California Special Education Hearing Office: a rational decision consistent with prior case law, 27 J.L. & Educ. 261 (1998).

Allan G. Osborne, Jr., Discipline of special-education students under the Individuals with Disabilities Act, 29 Fordham Urb. L.J. 513 (2001).

Allan G. Osborne, Jr., Ed.D., and Charles J. Russo, J.D., Ed.D., THE SUPREME COURT REJECTS PARENTAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR EXPERT WITNESS FEES UNDER THE IDEA: ARLINGTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION V. MURPHY, 213 West's Education Law Reporter 333 (2006).

Kevin Pendergast, Schaffer's Reminder: IDEA Needs Another Improvement, 56 Case Western Reserve University Spring, 2006 875 (2006).

Matthew R. Plain, Results above rights? The No Child Left Behind Act's insidious effect on students with disabilities., 10 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 1 249 (2004).

Matthew R. Plain, Results Above Rights? The No Child Left Behind Act's Insidious Effect on Students with Disabilities, 10 Roger Williams University Law Review Fall, 2004 249 (2004).

Steven Plitt, et al., The changing landscape of Eleventh Amendment immunity in the context of the Americans with Disabilities Act after Garrett: are Arizona school districts beyond suit?, 34 Ariz. St. L.J. 871 (2002).

Charlene K. Quade, Student Article. A crystal clear idea: the Court confounds the clarity of Rowley and contorts congressional intent, 23 Hamline J. Pub. L. & Pol'y 37 (2001).

Julie A. Ranieri, Case comment. Administrative law--novel question before First Circuit answered: exhaustion of Individuals with Disabilities Act's administrative process required. (Frazier v. Fairhaven School Committee, 276 F.3d 52, 1st Cir. 2002.), 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 925 (2003).

Julie A. Ranieri, Case Comment, *Administrative Law -- Novel Question Before First Circuit Answered: Exhaustion of Individuals with Disabilities Education Act's Administrative Process Required*, 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 925 (2003).

John d. Ranseen and Gregory S. Parks, TEST ACCOMMODATIONS FOR POSTSECONDARY STUDENTS: The Quandary Resulting From the ADA's Disability Definition, 11 Psychology, Public

Policy and Law March, 2005 83 (2005).

Thomas Rentschler, NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND: ADMIRABLE GOALS, DISASTROUS OUTCOMES, 12 Widener Law Review 637 (2006).

Tobin P. Richer, County of San Diego v. California Special Education Hearing Office: a misapplication and drastic expansion of IDEA coverage, 26 J.L. & Educ. 1 (1997).

Laura F. Rothstein, Higher education and disabilities: trends and developments, 27 Stetson L. Rev. 119 (1997).

Laura F. Rothstein, Symposium. Higher education and the future of disability policy, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 241 (2000).

Laura Rothstein, *Disability Law and Higher Education: A Road Map for Where We've Been and Where We May Be Heading*, 63 Md. L. Rev. 122 (2004).

Jennifer R. Rowe, Note. Implications of Buckhannon Board and Care Home, Incorporated v. West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources for due process under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. (Buckhannon Bd. & Care Home, Inc. v. W. Va. Dept. of Health and Human Resources, 532 U.S. 598, 2001.), 2002 BYU Educ. & L.J. 333 (2002).

Jennifer R. Rowe, High School Exit Exams Meed IDEA- An Examination of the History, Legal Ramifications, and Implications for Local School Administrators and Teachers, 2004 Brigham Young University Education and Law Journal 75 (2004).

Donald T. Saposnek, Heidi Perryman, Josanna Berkow, Sherrill Ellsworth, SPECIAL NEEDS CHILDREN IN FAMILY COURT CASES, 43 Family Court Review October, 2005 566 (2005).

Matthew J. Schaefer, Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: "Related Services" versus "Medical Services?" [Cedar Rapids Community School District v. Garret F., 119 S. Ct. 992 (1999)], 39 Washburn L.J. 143 (1999).

Rex R. Schultze, Reading, writing and ritalin: the responsibility of public school districts to administer medications to students, 32 Creighton L. Rev. 793 (1999).

Terry Jean Seligmann, An IDEA schools can use: lessons from special education legislation, 29 Fordham Urb. L.J. 759 (2001).

Terry Jean Seligmann, Rowley Comes Home to Roost: Judicial Review of Autism Special Education Disputes, 9 UC Davis Journal of Juvenile Law & Policy Summer, 2005 217 (2005).

Kay Hennessy Seven & Perry A. Zirkel, In the Matter of Arons: construction of the IDEA's lay advocate provision too narrow?, 9 Geo. J. on Poverty L. & Pol'y 193 (2002).

Scott Shanker, Note. Providing medically related services to children with disabilities in public schools. (Cedar Rapids Community School District v. Garret, 119 S. Ct. 992, 1999.), 45 St. Louis U. L.J. 231 (2001).

Stephen C. Shannon, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: determining "appropriate relief" in a post-Gwinnett era, 85 Va. L. Rev. 853 (1999).

J. Patrick Shannon, Who is an "otherwise qualified" law student? A need for law schools to develop technical standards, 10 U. Fla. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 57 (1998).

Ellen Jean Shemitz, Protecting children at risk in New Hampshire: the partnership between the juvenile justice and special education systems, 38 N.H. B. J. 60 (1997).

Cory L. Shindel, ONE STANDARD FITS ALL? DEFINING ACHIEVEMENT STANDARDS FOR STUDENTS WITH COGNITIVE DISABILITIES WITHIN THE NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND ACT'S STANDARDIZED FRAMEWORK, 12 Journal of Law and Policy 1025 (2004).

Tracy Elizabeth Shipley, Student article. Child care centers and children with special needs: rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, 31 J.L. & Educ. 327 (2002).

Lucy W. Shum, Comment. Educationally related mental health services for children with serious emotional disturbance: addressing barriers to access through the IDEA, 5 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 233 (2002).

Kevin H. Smith, Disabilities, law schools, and law students: a proactive and holistic approach, 32 Akron L. Rev. 1 (1999).

William J. Smith, The placement of students with disabilities and the "best interest" standard, 8 Educ. & L.J. 251 (1997).

Michael T. Snyder, Comment. An examination of the New York State Board of Law Examiners' policy towards individuals with learning disabilities, 62 Alb. L. Rev. 737 (1998).

Mary C. Stablein, Note. An IDEA gone out of control. (Covington v. Knox County Sch. Sys., 205 F.3d 912, 6th Cir. 2000.), 45 How. L.J. 643 (2002).

Kevin D. Stanley, Note. A model for interpretation of mainstreaming compliance under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: Board of Education v. Holland, 65 UMKC L. Rev. 303 (1996).

David Stewart, Student article. Expanding remedies for IDEA violations, 31 J.L. & Educ. 373 (2002).

Sharon C. Streett, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 19 U. Ark. Little Rock L.J. 35 (1996).

Shanon S. Taylor, Special Education, Private Schools, and Vouchers: Do All Students Get a Choice?, 34 Journal of Law & Education January, 2005 1 (2005).

Nicolas P. Terry, Bricks plus bytes: How "click-and-brick" will define legal education space, 46 Vill. L. Rev. 95 (2001).

Kelly S. Thompson, Note. Limits on the ability to discipline disabled school children: do the 1997 amendments to the IDEA go far enough?, 32 Ind. L. Rev. 565 (1999).

Lauri M. Traub, Comment. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: a free appropriate public education--at what cost?, 22 Hamline L. Rev. 663 (1999).

Bonnie Poitras Tucker, Disability discrimination in higher education, 25 J.C. & M.L. 349 (1998).

Bonnie Poitras Tucker, Disability discrimination in higher education: 1996 case law in review, 24 J.C. & J.L. 243 (1997).

Stephen Verotsky, Case note. Civil rights--Individuals with Disabilities Education Act--right to a free appropriate public education and the limits of the medical services exclusion. (Cedar Rapids Community Sch. Dist. v. Garret F., 119 S. Ct. 992, 1999.), 67 Tenn L. Rev 493 (2000).

Christopher J. Walker, Adequate Access or Equal Treatment: Looking Beyond the IDEA to Section 504 in a Post-Schaffer Public School, 58 Stanford Law Review March, 2006 1563 (2006).

Lewis M. Wasserman, REIMBURSEMENT TO PARENTS OF TUITION AND OTHER COSTS UNDER THE INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2004, 21 Saint John's Journal of Legal Commentary Fall, 2006 171 (2006).

Mark C. Weber, Disability discrimination in higher education, 27 J.C. & U.L. 417 (2000).

Mark C. Weber, Disability discrimination litigation and institutions of higher education, 25 J.C. & U.L. 53 (1998).

Mark C. Weber, Disability harassment in the public schools, 43 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 1079 (2002).

Mark C. Weber, Special education attorneys' fees after Buckhannon Board & Care Home, Incorporated v. West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources., 2002 BYU Educ. & L.J. 273 (2002).

Mark C. Weber, Litigation Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act After Buckhannon Board & Care Home, Inc v. West Virginia Department of Health & Human Resources, 65 Ohio State Law Journal 357 (2004).

Mark C. Weber, REFLECTIONS ON THE NEW INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION IMPROVEMENT ACT, 58 Florida Law Review 8 (2006).

Tamara J. Weinstein, Note. Equal educational opportunities for learning deficient students, 68 Geo.Wash. L. Rev. 500 (2000).

Andrew Weis, Jumping to conclusions in "Jumping the Queue". (Reviewing Mark Kelman and Gillian Lester, Jumping the Queue: an inquiry into the legal treatment of students with learning disabilities, 51 Stan. L. Rev. 183 (1998).

Ronald D. Wenkart, The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and the Establishment Clause of the United States Constitution, 23 Whittier L. Rev. 411 (2001).

Ryan R. West, Note. The fallacy behind increased accountability: how disabled students' constitutional rights have been disregarded in a rush to implement high-stakes exams., 2002 BYU Educ. & L.J. 351 (2002).

Theresa M. Williard, Note. Economics and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: the influence of funding formulas on the identification and placement of disabled students, 31 Ind. L. Rev. 1167 (1998).

Joshua Andrew Wolfe, Note. A search for the best IDEA: balancing the conflicting provisions of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act., 55 Vand. L. Rev. 1627 (2002).

Rachel Ratcliff Womack, Comment. Autism and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: are autistic children receiving appropriate treatment in our schools? , 34 Tex. Tech L. Rev. 189 (2002).

Sheila Youngberg, Note. IDEA and the new inequity--the denial of subsidized services to privately schooled children with disabilities. (Hooks v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 228 F.3d 1036, 9th. Cir. 2000.), 24 Whittier L. Rev. 597 (2002).

Perry A. Zirkel, Introduction: does Beyer provide a cure for the IDEA mediation baby?, 30 J.L. & Educ. 123 (2001).

Perry A. Zirkel, Introduction: accommodating legal education for "DWE" students: lawful or awful?, 27 J.L. & Educ. 615 (1998).

Perry A. Zirkel, The statute of limitations under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: is Montour myopic?, 12 Widener L.J. 1 (2003).

Perry A. Zirkel, The Statute of Limitations under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: Is Montour Myopic?, 12 Widener Law Journal 1 (2003).

Perry A. Zirkel, Does Brown v. Board of Education Play a Prominent Role in Special Education Law?, 34 Journal of Law & Education April, 2005 255 (2005).

Perry A. Zirkel, Compensatory Education Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act: The Third Circuit's Partially Mis-Leading Position, 110 Penn State Law Review Spring, 2006 879 (2006).

Perry A. Zirkel, SUSPENSIONS AND EXPULSIONS OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES: THE LATEST REQUIREMENTS, 214 West's Education Law Reporter January 11, 2007 445 (2007).

Lauren Zykorie, Reauthorizing Discipline for the Disabled Student: Will Congress create a better balance in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (Idea)?, 3 Connecticut Public Interest Law Journal Fall, 2003 101 (2003).

Lauren Zykorie, REAUTHORIZING DISCIPLINE FOR THE DISABLED STUDENT: WILL CONGRESS CREATE A BETTER BALANCE IN THE INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES EDUCATION ACT (IDEA)?, 3 Connecticut Public Interest Law Journal Fall, 2003 101 (2003).

Employment/Employment Discrimination

Symposium: Developments in disability rights: Articles in brief, 14 Stan.L. & Pol'y Rev. 238 (2003).

DEVELOPMENT IN DISABILITY RIGHTS: ARTICLES IN BRIEF, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 238 (2003).

Austin L. McMullen, Disabled former employees under the ADA: unprincipled decisions and unpalatable results, 52 Vand. L. Rev. 769 (1999).

Robert J. Aalberts & Lorne H. Seidman, Employee notice requirements under the Family and Medical Leave Act: are they manageable?, 24 Pepp. L. Rev. 1209 (1997).

Cabrelle Abel, Note. To allow to sue, or not to allow to sue: Zimmerman v. Oregon Department of Justice decides Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act does not apply to employment discrimination. (Zimmerman v. Oregon Dept. of Justice, 170 F.3d 1169, 9th Cir. 1999.), 24 Seattle

U.L. Rev. 969 (2001).

Cheryl L. Anderson, "Neutral" employer policies and the ADA: the implications of *US Airways, Inc. v. Barnett* beyond seniority systems., 51 *Drake L. Rev.* 1 (2002).

David Autor and Pentti J.K. Kouri, Labor Economic: The Decline in Employment of People with Disabilities: A Policy Puzzle., 57 *Cornell University Industrial & Labor Relations Review* July,2004 629 (2004).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, THE CONCEPT OF DISABILITY: ARTICLE: UNITED STATES: COMPARATIVE DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT LAW FROM AN AMERICAN PERSPECTIVE, 24 *Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal* Summer, 2003 649 (2003).

Marjorie Baldwin, The effects of impairments on employment and wages: estimates from the 1984 and 1990 SIPP, *Behav. Sci. & L.* 7 (1999).

Robert Bass, TORT LAW-EMPLOYMENT LAW-RIGHTS OF THE EEOC. EEOC V. WAFFLE HOUSE, INC., 122 S. CT. 754 (2002)., 33 *Cumberland Law Review* 2002/2003 727 (2002).

Jennifer Beale, Comment. Affirmative action and violation of union contracts: the EEOC's new requirements under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 29 *Cap. U. L. Rev.* 811 (2002).

Allyson R. Behm, Note. The Americans with (or without) Disabilities Act: pre-employment medical inquiries and the non-disabled, 26 *Am. J.L. & Med.* 439 (2000).

Amy S. Berry, Comment. The reality of work-related stress: an analysis of how mental disability claims should be handled under the North Carolina Workers' Compensation Act, 20 *Campbell L. Rev.* 321 (1998).

Molly Biklen, HEALTHCARE IN THE HOME: REEXAMINING THE COMPANIONSHIP SERVICES EXEMPTION TO THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 35 *Columbia Human Rights Law Review* Fall,2003 113 (2003).

Robert C. Bird, Niki Mirtorabi, Shiftwork and the Law, 27 *Berkely Journal of Employment and Labor Law* 383 (2006).

John Bisordi, Student article. "I can't work, just kidding, I can." The effects that applying for disability benefits have on an ADA claim, 45 *Vill. L. Rev.* 627 (2000).

Douglas A. Blair, Employees suffering from bipolar disorder or clinical depression: fighting an uphill battle for protection under Title 1 of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 29 *Seton Hall L. Rev.* 1347 (1999).

Peter David Blanck, Introduction to "Special section: employment and the Americans with Disabilities Act, *Behav. Sci. & L.* 3 (1999).

Peter David Blanck & Mollie Weighner Marti, Attitudes, behavior and the employment provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 *Vill. L. Rev.* 3345 (1997).

Peter Blanck, et al., Calibrating the impact of the ADA's employment provisions., 14 *Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev.* 267 (2003).

Peter Blanck, Helen A. Schartz & Kevin M. Schartz, Labor force participation and income of individuals with disabilities in sheltered and competitive employment: Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of seven states during the 1860s and 1990s, 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1029 (2003).

Peter Blanck, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, Susan Schwochau & Chen Song, Symposium: Development in Disability Rights: *Calibrating the Impact of the ADA's Employment Provisions*, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev 267 (2003).

Peter Blanck, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, Susan Schwochau, Chen Song, Calibrating the Impact of the ADA's Employment Provisions, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 267 (2003).

Peter Blanck, Lisa Schur, Douglas Kruse, Susan Schwochau, Chen Song, Calibrating the Impact of the ADA's Employment Provisions, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 267 (2003).

John W. Boyle, Comment. The error Eckles: why seniority rights present an undue hardship for employees with disabilities. (Eckles v. Consolidated Rail Corp., 94 F. 3d 1041, 7th Cir. 1996, 35 Duq. L. Rev. 1023 (1997).

Amy Renee Brown, Note. Mental disabilities under the ADA: the role of employees and employers in the interactive process. , 8 Wash. U. J.L. & Pol'y 341 (2002).

Jerome Bruner, Identity as Inclusion: Rights of Inclusion: Law and Identity in the Life Stories of Americans with Disabilities. By David M. Engel and Frank W. Munger., 53 Buffalo Law Review Winter, 2005 339 (2005).

Susanne M. Bruyere, William A. Erickson & Joshua T. Ferrentino, Identity and disability in the workplace, 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1173 (2003).

Bryant S. Carroll, III, Comment. The Americans with Disabilities Act and shipboard jobs: a primer, 22 Tul. Mar. L.J. 231 (1997).

Tony Coelho, Keynote Speech: Our right to work, our demand to be heard: people with disabilities, the 2004 election, and beyond: New York Law School. , 48 N.Y.L. Sch. L. Rev. 729 (2003).

Melissa Cole, Beyond sex discrimination: why employers discriminate against women with disabilities when their employee health plans exclude contraceptives from prescription coverage, 43 Ariz. L. Rev. 501 (2001).

Melissa Cole, Beyond sex discrimination: why employers discriminate against women with disabilities when their employee health plans exclude contraceptives from prescription coverage, 43 Ariz. L. Rev. 501 (2001).

Kevin L. Cope, Sutton misconstrued: with the ADA should now permit employers to make their employees disabled., 98 NW U. L. Rev. 1753 (2003).

Charles B. Craver, The judicial disabling of the employment discrimination provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act., 18 Lab. Law. 417 (2003).

Darren M. Creasy, Notes: A union of formalism and flexibility: allowing employers to set their own liability under federal employment discrimination laws., 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1453 (2003).

Kera Croteau, LACK OF UNITY IN ADA DECISIONS LEAVES BIPOLAR SUFFERERS

UNPROTECTED AND EMPLOYERS CONFUSED, 37 The University of Toledo Law Review Summer, 2006 1059 (2006).

Maria Greco Danaher, "REGARDED AS DISABLED" DESIGNATION REQUIRES EXCLUSION FROM A BROAD CLASS OF JOBS, 8 Lawyers Journal November 24, 2006 3 (2006).

Karen Dill Danforth, Note. Reading reasonableness out of the ADA: responding to threats by employees with mental illness following Palmer, 85 Va. L. Rev. 661 (1999).

Gregory M. P. Davis, More than a supervisor bargains for: individual liability under the Americans with Disabilities Act and other employment statutes, 1997 Wis. L. Rev. 321 (1997).

Tim Edwards, Constitutional limits on an employer's right to dictate the terms of an addict's recovery under the ADA: some sobering concerns, 44 Wayne L. Rev. 1679 (1999).

Lisa Eichhorn, Hostile environment actions, Title VII, and the ADA: the limits of the copy-and-paste function., 77 Wash. L. Rev. 575 (2002).

Mellissa A. Essary & Terence D. Friedman, Retaliation claims under Title VII, the ADEA and ADA: untouchable employees, uncertain employers, unresolved courts, 63 Mo. L. Rev. 115 (1998).

Michael C. Falk, Lost in the Language: The Conflict Between the Congressional Purpose and Statutory Language of Federal Employment Discrimination Legislation, 35 Rutgers Law Journal Spring, 2004 1179 (2004).

Bruce M. Familant, Comment. The essential functions of being a lawyer with a non-visible disability: on the wings of a kiwi bird, 15 T.M. Cooley L. Rev. 517 (1998).

Janelle M. Fleming, Recent decision. An employment arbitration agreement does not bar the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission from seeking victim-specific relief in a suit alleging a violation of Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act. (Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Waffle House, Inc., 534 U.S. 279, 2002.), 41 Duq. L. Rev. 225 (2002).

Elizabeth Fordyce, THE ELUSIVE PROTECTED CLASS - WHO IS WORTHY UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT?, 51 Villanova Law Review 1031 (2006).

Michael H. Fox, THE RELATIONSHIP OF DISABILITY TO EMPLOYMENT PROTECTION UNDER TITLE I OF THE ADA IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURTS OF APPEAL, 13 Kansas Journal of Law & Public Safety Summer, 2004 485 (2004).

Leslie Pickering Francis, Employment and intellectual disability., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 299 (2004).

Paul Frantz, International employment: Antidiscrimination law should follow employees abroad., 14 Minn. J. Global Trade 2 227 (2005).

Susan M. Gibson, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act protects individuals with a history of cancer from employment discrimination: myth or reality?, 16 Hofstra Lab. & Emp. L.J. 167 (1998).

Lisa K. Gigliotti, Drugs, alcohol, and the Americans with Disabilities Act: balancing the interests of the bar with the interest of member recovery, Mich. B. J. 288 (1997).

Maggie D. Gold, Comment. Must insurers treat all illnesses equally?--Mental vs. physical illness: congressional and administrative failure to end limitations to and exclusions from coverage for mental illness in employer-provided health benefits under the Mental Health Parity Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 4 Conn. Ins. L.J. 767 (1998).

Randal I. Goldstein, Note. Mental illness in the workplace after Sutton v. United Air Lines, 86 Cornell L. Rev. 927 (2001).

Debra L. Greenberger, Note: Toward increased notice of FMLA and ADA Protections., 80 N.Y.U.L. Rev. 1797 (2005).

Deborah Gridley, Note. Genetic testing under the ADA: a case for protection from employment discrimination, 89 Geo. L.J. 973 (2001).

Keith L. Hammond, An employer's guide to analyzing issues under the Americans with Disabilities Act., 4 Transactions 7 (2002).

Jill Elaine Hasday, Mitigation and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 103 Mich. L. Rev. 217 (2004).

Thomas R. Head, III, Comment. Workers' Compensation for job-related mental disease claims--stress reliever or judicial headache? (Crochiere v. Board of Education of Enfield, 227 Conn. 333, 630 A. 2d 1027, 1993.), 21 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 131 (1997).

Constance Kleiner Hood, Age discrimination in employment and the Americans with Disabilities Act: "A second bite at the apple.", 6 Elder L.J. 1 (1998).

Samuel Issacharoff and Justin, Discrimination with a difference: can employment discrimination law accommodate the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 79 N.C. L. Rev. 307 (2001).

Eliza Kaiser, The Americans with Disabilities Act: An unfulfilled promise for employment discrimination plaintiffs., 6 U. P. J. Lab. & Emp. L. 735 (2004).

Eliza Kaiser, The Americans with Disabilities Act: An Unfulfilled Promise for Employment Discrimination Plaintiffs, 6 University of Pennsylvania Journal of Labor & Employment Law Spring, 2004 735 (2004).

James Leonard, THE EQUALITY TRAP: HOW RELIANCE ON TRADITIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS CONCEPTS HAS RENDERED TITLE I OF THE ADA INEFFECTIVE, 56 Case Western Reserve Law Review 0 (2006).

Vicki J. Limas, Significant employment law decisions in the 1997-98 term: a clarification of sexual harassment law and a broad definition of disability, 34 Tulsa L.J. 307 (1999).

Dorothy M. Linsner, The growing storm: the role of second injury funds in the wake of the ADA, 42 227 (1997).

Francine J. Lipman, Enabling work for people with disabilities: A post-integrationist revision of underutilized tax incentives., 53 Am. U. L. Rev. 393 (2003).

Francine J. Lipman, ENABLING WORK FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES: A POST-INTEGRATIONIST REVISION OF UNDERUTILIZED TAX INCENTIVES, 553 American University Law Review December, 2003 393 (2003).

Francine J. Lipman, ENABLING WORK FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES: A POST-INTERGRATIONIST REVISION OF UNDERUTILIZED TAX INCENTIVES, 53 American University Law Review December, 2003 393 (2003).

Linda J. Lorenat, Comment. "Disabling" workers' compensation exclusivity: enabling California workers to file work-related disability discrimination claims in state court, 38 Santa Clara L. Rev. 893 (1998).

Charles B. Lynch, The Americans with Disabilities Act and disability benefit plans, 22 Seton Hall Legis. J. 561 (1998).

Donna L. Mack, Comment. Former employees' right to relief under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Wash. L. Rev. 74 425 (1999).

Nichole Martinson, Inequality between disabilities: the different treatment of mental versus physical disabilities in long-term disability benefit plans, 50 Baylor L. Rev. 361 (1998).

Sujata S. Menjoge, Comment: Testing the limits of anti-discrimination law: How employers' use of pre-employment psychological and personality tests can circumvent title vii and the ADA, 82 N.C. L. Rev. 326 (2003).

Karin Mika & Denise Wimbiscus, Responsibilities of employers toward mentally disabled persons under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 11 J.L. & Health 173 (1996).

Stephanie Proctor Miller, Comment. Keeping the promise: the ADA and employment discrimination on the basis of psychiatric disability, 85 Cal. L. Rev. 701 (1997).

Jonathan R. Mook, Using the ADA to challenge employee benefit plan limitations, 10/1/98 Benefits L.J. 25 (1998).

Ellen Moscovitz, Outside the "compensation bargain:" protecting the rights of workers disabled on the job to file suits for disability discrimination, 37 Santa Clara L. Rev. 587 (1997).

Kathryn Moss, et al., Unfunded mandate: an empirical study of the implementation of the Americans with Disabilities Act by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 50 U. Kan. L. Rev. 1 (2001).

Leah C. Myers, Disability Harassment: How Far Should the ADA Follow in the Footsteps of Title VII?, 17 BYU Journal of Public Law 265 (2003).

Christine Neagle, Comment. An analysis of the applicability of hostile work environment liability to the ADA, 3 U.Pa. J. Lab. & Emp. L. 715 (2001).

Paul L. Nevin, Note. "No longer caught in the middle?": Barnett seniority system ruling eliminates managements' dilemma with ADA reasonable accommodation. (Barnett v. U.S. Air, Inc., 228 F.3d 1105, 9th Cir. 2000, vacated by US Airways, Inc. v. Barnett, 122 S. Ct. 1516, 2002.), 41 Brandeis L.J. 199 (2002).

Christine Neylon O'Brien, *Facially Neutral No-Rehire Rules and the Americans with Disabilities Act*, 22 Hofstra Lab. & Emp. L.J. 114 (2004).

Jaclyn A. Okin, has the supreme court gone too far?: an analysis of *university of alabama v. garrett* and its impact on people with disabilities., 9 Am. U. J. Gender Soc. Pol'y & L. 3 663 (0).

Rebecca Pirus, Note. "Seniority rules": disabled employees' rights under the ADA give way to more senior employees. (U.S. Airways, Inc. v. Barnett, 535 U.S. 391, 2002.), 29 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 1481 (2003).

Rebecca Pirus, "SENIORITY RULES": DISABLED EMPLOYEES' RIGHTS UNDER THE ADA GIVE WAY TO MORE SENIOR EMPLOYEES--U.S. AIRWAYS, INC. V. BARNETT, 29 William Mitchell Law Review 1481 (2003).

Jason Powers, Note. Employment discrimination claims under ADA Title II: the case for uniform administrative exhaustion requirements, 76 Tex. L. Rev. 1457 (1998).

Todd Prall, Why Can't Discrimination Be Discrimination? Johnson v. K Mart Corp. and the Meaning of "Discrimination" Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 2003 Brigham Young University Law Review 1419 (2003).

Jennifer N. Randolph, PROBLEM EMPLOYEES: "MERELY CANTANKEROUS" OR SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITED IN THEIR ABILITY TO INTERACT WITH OTHERS?, 74 University of Cincinnati Law Review Spring, 2006 1135 (2006).

Megan G. Rosenberger, Absenteeism and the ADA: the limits and the loopholes., 50 Cath. U. L.Rev. 4 957 (2001).

Lawrence D. Rosenthal, *Association Discrimination Under the Americans with Disabilities Act: Another Uphill Battle for Potential ADA Plaintiffs*, 22 Hofstra Lab. & Emp. L.J. 132 (2004).

Louis S. Rulli, Employment discrimination litigation under the ADA from the perspective of the poor: can the promise of Title I be fulfilled for low-income workers in the next decade?, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 345 (2000).

Louis S. Rulli and Jason A. Leckerman, Article: Unfinished business: The fading promise of ADA enforcement in the federal courts under title I and its impact on the poor, 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 595 (2005).

James P. Sadler, Comment. The alcoholic and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: the "booze made me do it" argument finds little recognition in employment discrimination actions, 28 Tex. Tech L. Rev. 861 (1997).

Elizabeth Saxton, Business Organizations: Employee must prove his inability to perform a wide range of jobs in order to prevail on an ADA claim. Carruthers v. BSA Adver., Inc., 357F.3d 1213 (11th Cir. 2004), 6 Transactions: The Tennessee Journal of Business Law Fall, 2004 222 (2004).

Teresa L. Scheid, Employment of individuals with mental disabilities: business response to the ADA's challenge, Behav. Sci. & L. 73 (1999).

William L. Schott, The unionization of people with disabilities and its impact on the rehabilitation process, 9 J.F.K. U. L. Rev. 23 (1998).

Pamela Signorello, Note. The failure of the ADA--achieving parity with respect to mental and physical health care coverage in the private employment realm, 10 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 349 (2001).

Anita Silvers, Michael E. Waterstone, & Michael Ashley Stein, DISABILITY AND EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION AT THE REHNQUIST COURT, 75 Mississippi Law Journal Spring, 2006 945 (2006).

Katherine A. Staton & Thomas A. Caswell, The Americans with Disabilities Act as it relates to employment in the aviation industry: navigating through uncontrolled airspace, 64 J. Air L. & Com. 459 (1999).

Susan Stefan, "You'd have to be crazy to work here:" worker stress, the abusive workplace, and Title I of the ADA, 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 795 (1998).

Michael Ashley Stein, Disability, employment policy, and the Supreme court. (Reviewing Ruth O'Brien, Crippled Justice: The History of Modern Disability Policy in the Workplace.), 55 Stan. L. Rev. 607 (2002).

Michael Ashley Stein, Michael E. Waterstone, ARTICLE DISABILITY, DISPARATE IMPACT, AND CLASS ACTIONS, 56 Duke Law Journal December, 2006 861 (2006).

Kimberly A. Steinforth, Note. Bringing your DNA to work: employers' use of genetic testing under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 43 Ariz. L. Rev. 965 (2001).

Jan W. Sterrner, Comment. Preemployment medical exams under the ADA: conditional job offers and the application of the mixed-motives framework, 50 Ark. L. Rev. 449 (1997).

Natalie Palmer Jones Storch, Comment. The standard of employer liability under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 66 U. Cin. L. Rev. 931 (1998).

Jan William Sturner, Arbitration, labor contracts, and the ADA: the benefits of pre-dispute arbitration agreements and an update on the conflict between the duty to accommodate and seniority rights, 21 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. 455 (1999).

Michael W. Sweeney, Working Towards a Better Understanding of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder as a Legal Disability in Employment Law, 21 Journal of Contemporary Health Law & Policy Winter, 2004 67 (2004).

Josephine W. Thomas, Mary Ellen Pullum, J. Jervis Wise, Rachel L. Soffin, Sandy Phillips, Lauren W., Public Employment, 34 Stetson L. Rev. 941 (2005).

Josephine W. Thomas, Mary Ellen Pullum, J. Jervis Wise, Rachel L. Soffin, Sandy Phillips, Lauren W., PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, 34 Stetson Law Review Spring, 2005 941 (2005).

Kelly Cahill Timmons, *Accommodating Misconduct Under the Americans with Disabilities Act*, 57 Fla. L. Rev. 187 (2005).

Miranda W. Turner, PSYCHIATRIC DISABILITIES IN THE FEDERAL WORKPLACE: EMPLOYMENT LAW CONSIDERATIONS, 55 The Air 0 (0).

Miranda W. Turner, PSYCHIATRIC DISABILITIES IN THE FEDERAL WORKPLACE: EMPLOYMENT LAW CONSIDERATIONS, 55 The Air Force Law Review 313 (2004).

John M. Vande Walle, Note. In the eye of the beholder: issues of distributive and corrective justice in the ADA's employment protection for persons regarded as disabled, 73 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 897 (1998).

Marta B. Varela, Protection of domestic violence victims under the New York City Human Rights Law's provisions prohibiting discrimination on the basis of disability, 27 Fordham Urb.L.J. 1261 (2000).

Gregory R. Vetter, Comment. Is a personality test a pre-job-offer medical examination under the ADA?, 93 NW U.L. Rev. 597 (1999).

Timothy P. Ward, NEEDING A FIX: CONGRESS SHOULD AMEND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 TO REMOVE A RECORD OF ADDICTION AS A PROTECTED DISABILITY, 36 Rutgers Law Journal Winter, 2005 683 (2005).

Mark C. Weber, Beyond the Americans with Disabilities Act: a national employment policy for a people with disabilities, 46 Buff. L. Rev. 123 (1998).

Mark C. Weber, The Americans with Disabilities Act and employment: a non-retrospective, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 375 (2000).

Mark C. Weber, Workplace harassment claims under the Americans with Disabilities Act: a new interpretation., 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 241 (2003).

Mark C. Weber, Symposium: Development in disability rights: *Workplace harassment claims under the americans with disabilities act: A new interpretation*, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 241 (2003).

Mark C. Weber, DEVELOPMENT IN DISABILITY RIGHTS: Workplace Harassment Claims Under the Americans with Disabilities Act: A New Interpretation, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 241 (2003).

Victoria White, EMPLOYMENT DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION IN LOUISIANA: HOW TO BETTER PROTECT THE DISABLED EMPLOYEE, 52 Loyola Law Review Fall, 2006 917 (2006).

Melanie D. Winegar, BIG TALK, BROKEN PROMISES: HOW TITLE I OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT FAILED DISABLED WORKERS, 34 Hofstra Law Review Spring, 2006 1267 (2006).

General

Symposium: The Americans with Disabilities Act--Past present and future: developing law over a decade, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 233 (2000).

Appendix A -- The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 425 (2000).

Appendix B -- Regulations to implement the Equal Employment Provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 479 (2000).

Appendix C -- Appendix to Part 1630-- Interpretive guidance on Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 493 (2000).

Symposium: Facing the Challenges of the ADA: the first ten years and beyond, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 1 (2001).

Symposium: The Americans with Disabilities Act: A ten-year retrospective. Articles by Peter David Blanck, Michael Millender, Mary Crossley, James Leonard, Michael L. Perlin, Laura F. Rothstein, Susan Stefan, Bonnie Poitras Tucker, Mark C. Weber and Rodrigo Jimenez, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 1 (2000).

Disabilities and Disability Law. Articles by Susan Johanne Adams and Laura F. Rothstein, 48 J. Legal Educ. 273 (1998).

Recent developments in the law. *Handicapped*, 26 *J.L. & Educ.* 105 (1997).

In Memoriam. Stanley S. Herr's speech upon receiving the ABA Disability Rights Award, 8 *Clinical L. Rev.* 289 (2002).

Symposium: The Americans with Disabilities Act: directions for reform. Articles by Judith Olans Brown, Wendy E. Parmet, Pamela Brandwein, Anita Silvers, Michael Ashley Stein, Peter Blanck, Chen Song, Ruth Colker, Michael L. Perlin, Alison Barnes and Stanley S. Herr, 35 *U. Mich. J.L. Reform* 137 (2002).

Steven H. Aden, Symposium. HIV/AIDS and the public accommodations provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 9 *Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. Rev.* 395 (2000).

Mariam Alikhan, Note. The ADA is narrowing mental health inquiries on bar applications: looking to the medical profession to decide where to go from here, 14 *Geo. J. Legal Ethics* 159 (2000).

John Christopher Anderson, Three generations later and still no class: protecting Americans with disabilities under 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3), the Ku Klux Klan Act, 47 *Drake L. Rev.* 537 (1999).

Adrienne Asch, Critical race theory, feminism and disability: reflections on social justice and personal identity, 62 *Ohio St. L.J.* 391 (2001).

Natasha Azava, DISABILITY-BASED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE: NEED FOR ELIMINATION, 4 *Cardozo Public Law, Policy & Ethics Journal* March, 2006 121 (2006).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, "Rational discrimination," accommodation, and the politics of (disability) civil rights., 89 *Va. L. Rev.* 825 (2003).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, The Americans with Disabilities Act as welfare reform., 44 *Wm. & Mary L. Rev.* 921 (2003).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, The future of disability law., 114 *Yale L.J.* 1 (2004).

Jan Baker, Promoting client self advocacy, 34 *Ark. Law.* 6 (1999).

Carlos A. Ball, Looking For Theory in All the Right Places: Feminist and Communitarian Elements of Disability Discrimination Law, 66 *Ohio State Law Journal* 105 (2005).

Alison Barnes, Envisioning a future for age and disability discrimination claims, 35 *U. Mich. J.L. Reform* 263 (2001).

Harry Beatty, Ontario disability support program: policy and implementation, 14 *J.L. & Soc. Pol'y* 1 (1999).

Carri Becker, Privatized Enforcement of the Americans with Disabilities Act via Serial Litigation: Abusive or Commendable?, 17 *Hastings Women's Law Journal* 0 (2006).

Peter Blanck, Civil war pensions and disability, 62 *Ohio St. L.J.* 109 (2001).

Peter David Black & Michael Millender, Symposium. Before disability civil rights: Civil War pensions and the politics of disability in America, 52 *Ala. L. Rev.* 1 (2000).

Peter Blanck & Chen Song, Civil War pension attorneys and disabilities politics, 35 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 137 (2001).

Peter Blanck, Keynote address: Justice for all? stories about Americans with disabilities and their civil rights., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 1 (2004).

Peter Blanck, FIRST THORNBURGH FAMILY LECTURE ON DISABILITY LAW AND POLICY. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES AND THEIR CIVIL RIGHTS: PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE, 66 University of Pittsburgh Law Review 0 (2006).

Rachel E. Brodin, REMEDYING A PARTICULARIZED FORM OF DISCRIMINATION: WHY DISABLED PLAINTIFFS CAN AND SHOULD BRING CLAIMS FOR POLICE MISCONDUCT UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 154 University of Pennsylvania Law Review November, 2005 157 (2005).

Sarah D. Bruce, PROJECT LIFE, INC. V. GLENDENING: SEEKING SACTUARY FOR WOMEN RECOVERING FROM SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS, 33 University of Baltimore Law Review Spring, 2004 257 (2004).

Sande L. Buhai, PARENTAL SUPPORT OF ADULT CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES, 91 Minnesota Law Review February, 2007 710 (2007).

Robert L. Burgdorf, Jr., The Americans with Disabilities Act: analysis and implications of a second-generation civil rights statute, 26 Harv. C. R. - C.L. L. Rev. 413 (1991).

Robert L. Burgdorf, Jr., Equal members of the community: the public accommodations provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 64 Temp. L. Rev. 551 (1991).

Scott Burris, et al., Disputing under the Americans with Disabilities Act: empirical answers and some questions, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 237 (2000).

Keith Alan Byers, No one is above the law when it comes to the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act-not even federal, state, or local law enforcement agencies, 30 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 977 (1997).

Thorne Clark, Comment. Protection from protection: section 1983 and the ADA's implications for devising a race-conscious police misconduct statute, 150 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1585 (2002).

Ruth Colker, Affirmative protection for people with disabilities, illness, and parenting responsibilities under United States law, 9 Yale J.L. Feminism 213 (1997).

Ruth Colker, The death of Section 504, 35 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 219 (2001).

Larry E. Craig, The Americans with Disabilities Act: prologue, promise, product and performance, 35 Idaho L. Rev. 205 (1999).

Matthew J. Crehan, The disability-based peremptory challenge: does it validate discrimination against blind prospective jurors?, 25 N. Ky. L. Rev. 531 (1998).

Mary Crossley, The disability kaleidoscope, 74 Notre Dame L. Rev. 621 (1999).

Matthew W. Daus, Negligence and the ADA, 70-APR N.Y. St. B.J. 30 (1998).

Gabriella A. Davi, The progression toward freedom: protecting the disabled under the Ku Klux Klan Act, 20 Col. L. Rev. 1019 (1999).

Esme Noelle DeVault, Reasonable Efforts Not So Reasonable: The Termination of the Parental Rights of a Developmentally Disabled Mother, 10 Roger Williams University Law Review Spring, 2005 763 (2005).

Jennifer Lee Dozier, Semper fidelis: voices of the disabled veteran, 5 Geo. J. on Fighting Poverty 57 (1997).

Thomas H. Earle & Kristi M. Bushner, Effective participation or exclusion: the voting rights of people with disabilities., 11 Temple Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 327 (2002).

Thomas H. Earle & Kristi M. Bushner, Effective participation or exclusion: the voting rights of people with disabilities., 11 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 327 (2002).

Curtis D. Edmonds, Four emerging issues in Americans with Disabilities Act litigation involving hospitals and other health care providers, 20 Rev. Litig. 623 (2001).

David M. Engel & Frank W. Munger, Re-interpreting the effect of rights: career narratives and the Americans with Disabilities Act., 62 Ohio St. L.J. 285 (2001).

Melissa Cole Essig, GIMP THEORY AND THE ADA'S "FEEDBACK LOOP", 49 Saint Louis University Law Journal Summer, 2005 1047 (2005).

Melissa Cole Essig, GIMP THEORY AND THE ADA'S "FEEDBACK LOOP", 49 0 (2005).

Chai R. Feldblum, The (R)evolution of physical disability anti-discrimination law: 1976-1996, 20 MPDLR 621 (1996).

Nicole Fiocco, Comment. The unpopular disabled: drug addicts and alcoholics lose benefits, 49 Admin. L. Rev. 1007 (1997).

Jennifer Fitzgerald, Geneticizing disability: the human genome project and the commodification of self, 14 Issues L. Med. 147 (1998).

Ian Freckelton, Therapeutic appellate decision-making in the context of disabled litigants, 24 Seattle U. L. Rev. 313 (2000).

Gretchen Fuss, Student Article. Refining the Tenth Circuit's stance on employee rights: the ADA, free speech in the workplace, and the Fair Labor Standards Act, 79 Denv. U. L. Rev. 433 (2002).

John P. Gause, The ADA in practice: navigating the minefield, 13 Me. B.J. 30 (1998).

Jon L. Gillum, Tort law and the Americans with Disabilities Act: Assessing the need for a realignment., 39 Idaho L. Rev. 531 (2003).

Joseph Groshong, Comment. Curbing state discrimination against disabled drivers: why the disabled need not pay the states to participate in disabled parking programs., 33 Seton Hall L. Rev. 811 (2003).

Kaaryn Gustafson, DISABILITY, FLUIDITY, AND MEASURING WITHOUT BASELINES, 75

Mississippi Law Journal Spring, 2006 1007 (2006).

Edward H. Haas, Associate Justice Thurgood Marshall: an indispensable voice in the struggle for the civil rights of people with disabilities., 12 Seton Hall Const. L.J. 371 (2002).

Robert Hammel, Some reflections on New York City's disability law, 23 Fordham Urb. L.J. 1195 (1996).

Amy P. Hauser, Note. Child custody for disabled adults: what Kentucky families need., 91 Ky. L.J. 667 (2002).

Wendy F. Hensel, *The Disabling Impact of Wrongful Birth and Wrongful Life Actions*, 40 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 141 (2005).

Ashley S. Heron, Comment. The American with Disabilities Act: who can claim its protection?, 48 Ala. L. Rev. 1023 (1997).

Christy Hetherington, Note. Rhode Island facing the wrongful birth/life debate: pro-disabled sentiment given life. (*Emerson v. Magendantz*, 689 A.2d 409, R.I. 1997.), 6 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 565 (2001).

Stacy A. Hickox, Absenteeism under the Family and Medical Leave Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 50 DePaul L. Rev. 183 (2000).

Sharona Hoffman, First, do no harm: why doctors are not omnipotent under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 14 Hofstra Lab. L.J. 151 (1996).

Bretton J. Hottor, A survey of living will and advanced health care directives, 74 N.D. L. Rev. 233 (1998).

Ann Hubburd, A MILITARY-CIVILIAN COALITION FOR DISABILITY RIGHTS, 75 Mississippi Law Journal Spring, 2006 975 (2006).

Stephen W. Jones, The Supreme Court reins in the Americans with Disabilities Act, 22 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. 183 (2000).

Stephen T. Kaminski, Must employers pay for Viagra? An Americans with Disabilities Act analysis post-Bragdon and Sutton, 4 DePaul J. Health Care L. 73 (2000).

Lawrence W. Kaye & Jeffrey B. Maltzman, 'Twas the night before regulations: foreign-flag cruise ships and the ADA, 75 Tul. L. Rev. 1571 (2001).

Mark Kelman, Symposium: Development in disability rights: introduction to the disability rights symposium., 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 235 (2003).

Kelly E. Konkright, Comment. An analysis of the applicability of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act with internet access providers, 37 Idaho L. Rev. 713 (2001).

Cary LaCheen, Using Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act on behalf of clients in TANF programs, 8 Geo. J. on Poverty L. & Pol'y 1 (2001).

Edward J. Larson, The meaning of human gene testing for disability rights., 70 U. Cinn. L. Rev. 913

(2002).

Michael Lewyn, "Thous shalt not put a stumbling block before the blind": the Americans with Disabilities Act and public transit for the disabled, 52 Hastings L.J. 1037 (2001).

John A. Liekweg, The Americans with Disabilities Act, Section 504, and church-related institutions, 38 Cath. Law 87 (1998).

Alex B. Long, (WHATEVER HAPPENED TO) THE ADA'S "RECORD OF" PRONG(?), 81 Washington Law Review November, 2006 669 (2006).

Paul K. Longmore, The Americans with Disabilities Act has failed to live up to its promise, 48 Cal. Law. 0 (1998).

Ronald K. Lospennato, New Hampshire and federal law of entitlements for Americans with disabilities: where do we go from here?, 38 N.H. B. J. 40 (1997).

Tory L. Lucas, DISABLING COMPLEXITY: THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990 AND ITS INTERACTION WITH OTHER FEDERAL LAWS, 38 Creighton Law Review June, 2005 871 (2005).

Tony R. Maida, Note. How judicial myopia is jeopardizing the protection of people with HIV/AIDS under the ADA, 27 Am. J.L. & Med. 301 (2001).

Carley G. Mak, NOTE AND COMMENT: FAME, FORTUNE, AND... FOURTEEN-HOUR DAYS? OPEN CASTING CALLS FOR REALITY TV CONTESTANTS ARE PRE-EMPLOYMENT TESTS AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 26 Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review 523 (2005).

Ken Matheny, Cleveland v. Policy Management Systems Corp. and the need for a consistent disability policy, 21 Hamline J. Pub. L. & Pol'y 283 (2000).

Arlene B. Mayerson and Silvia Yee, The ADA and models of equality, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 535 (2001).

Monica E. McFadden, ADA-is the glass half empty or...?, Trial 28 (1997).

Miranda McGowan, Reconsidering the Americans with Disabilities Act, 35 Ga. L. Rev. 27 (2000).

Armen H. Merjian, AIDS, welfare, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 16 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 373 (1998).

Adam A. Milani, Living in the world: a new look at the disabled in the law of torts, 48 Cath. U. L. Rev. 323 (1999).

Adam A. Milani, Go ahead. Make my 90 days: should plaintiff be required to provide notice to defendants before filing suite under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 2001 Wis. L. Rev. 107 (2001).

Geoffrey P. Miller and Lori S., Handicapped parking, 29 Hofstra L. Rev. 81 (2000).

Paul Steven Miller, A just alternative or just an alternative? Mediation and the ADA, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 11 (2001).

Judith Mosoff, Is the human rights paradigm "able" to include disability: who's in? who wins? what? why?, 26 Queen's L.J. 1 225 (2000).

Kathryn Moss, Michael Ullman, Different paths to justice: the ADA, employment, and administrative enforcement by the EEOC and FEPAs, Behav. Sc. & L. 29 1999 (0).

Scott A. Moss & Daniel A. Malin, Note. Public funding for disability accommodations: a rational solution to rational discrimination and the disabilities of the ADA, 33 Harv. C.R. - C.L. L. Rev. 197 (1998).

Edward L. Myers III, Disability and technology., 65 Mont. L. Rev. 289 (2004).

Martha C. Nussbaum, Book Review: FRONTIERS OF JUSTICE: THE CAPABILITIES APPROACH VS. CONTRACTARIANISM, 85 Texas Law Review December, 2006 385 (2006).

Ruth O'Brien, Symposium, *Subversive Legacies: Learning from History/Constructing the Future: A Subversive Act: The Americans with Disabilities Act, Foucault, and an Alternative Ethic of Care at the Global Workplace*, 13 Tex. J. Women & L. 55 (2003).

Laurence Paradis, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act: making programs, services, and activities accessible to all., 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 389 (2003).

John W. Parry, Mental and physical disability rights: the formative years and future prospects, 20 MPDLR 627 (1996).

James A. Passamano, Special issue on the Americans with Disabilities Act, 38 S. Tex. L. Rev. 861 (1997).

Elizabeth A. Pendo, Substantially limited justice?: the possibilities and limits of a new Rawlsian analysis of disability-based discrimination., 77 St. John's L. Rev. 225 (2003).

Elizabeth A. Pendo, Substantially limited justice?: the possibilities and limits of a new Rawlsian analysis of disability-based discrimination., 77 St. John's L. Rev. 225 (2003).

Heidi P. Perryman, PARENTAL REACTION TO THE DISABLED CHILD: IMPLICATIONS FOR FAMILY COURTS, 43 Family Court Review October, 2005 596 (2005).

Donna Pettus, Disability, DRC and the law, 34 Ark. Law. 5 (1999).

Jennifer Pokempner and Dorothy E. Roberts, Poverty, welfare reform, and the meaning of disability, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 425 (2001).

Kathleen T. Price, Welfare reform and its effect on children with disabilities, 6-MAY Nev. Law. 14 (1998).

Brian C. Ralston, Comment. Does the Americans with Disabilities Act discriminate based on education when plaintiffs suffer from carpal tunnel syndrome: an examination of *Ouzts & Pepke v. USAir* and *EEOC v. Joslyn Manufacturing Co*, 102 Dick. L. Rev. 891 (1998).

Hon. Marjorie O. Rendell, Foreword, 9 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 233 (2000).

Robert F. Rich, et al., Critical legal and policy issues for people with disabilities., 6 DePaul J. Health

Care L. 1 (2002).

R. Jason Richards, Disabilities in notary law and practice, 32 J. Marshall L. Rev. 1033 (1999).

Janet Radcliffe Richards, How not to end disability., 39 San Diego L. Rev. 693 (2002).

Joseph A. Rosenberg, Supplemental needs trusts for people with disabilities: the development of a private trust in the public interest, 10 B.U. Pub. Int. L.J. 91 (2000).

Laura F. Rothstein, Teaching disability law, 48 J. Legal Educ. 297 (1998).

Laura F. Rothstein, Celebrating the tenth anniversary of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 37 Hous. L. Rev. 979 (2000).

Laura F. Rothstein, The affirmative action debate in legal education and the legal profession: lessons from disability discrimination law, 2 J. Gender Race & Just. 1 (1998).

Laura F. Rothstein, Symposium. Health care professionals with mental and physical impairments: developments in disability discrimination law, 41 St. Louis U. L.J. 973 (1997).

Laura F. Rothstein, Reflections on disability discrimination policy-25 years, 22 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. 147 (2000).

Laura F. Rothstein, Disability discrimination in higher education, 23 J.C. & U. L. 475 (1997).

Laura L. Rovner, Perpetuating stigma: client identity in disability rights litigation, 2001 Utah L. Rev. 247 (2001).

Sharon E. Rush, Lessons from and for "disabled" students., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 75 (2004).

Leslie J. Scallet, Disability policy and legislation: A retrospective and prospective overview, 20 MPDLR 622 (1996).

Kay Schriener and Lisa A. Ochs, Creating the disabled citizen: how Massachusetts disenfranchised people under guardianship, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 481 (2001).

Lisa A. Sciallo, Note. The ADA through the looking glass., 68 Brook. L. Rev. 589 (2002).

Susan D. Semmel, When Pigs Fly, They Go First Class: Service Animals in the Twenty-First Century, 3 Barry Law Review Fall, 2002 39 (2002).

Dave Shade, Empowerment for the pursuit of happiness: parents with disabilities and the Americans with Disabilities Act, 16 Law & Ineq. 153 (1998).

Yama Shansab, Note. And what of the meek?: Devising a constitutionally recognized duty to protect the disabled at state residential schools, 6 Wm. & Mary Bill of Rts. J. 777 (1998).

Anita Silvers, Reprising women's disability: feminist identity strategy and disability rights, 13 Berkeley Women's L.J. 81 (1998).

Thomas Simmons, South Dakota's disability discrimination laws: limits and vantages., 47 S.D. L. Rev. 389 (2002).

Armantine M. Smith, Persons with disabilities as a social and economic underclass., 12 Kan. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 13 (2002).

Peter M. Spingola, Comment. The Seventh Circuit slam dunks the rights of the disabled. (Knapp v. Northwestern University, 117 S. Ct. 2454, 1997, 73 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 709 (1998).

Deborah Spitalnik, An overview of policy issues in access to care for children with disabilities, 19 Pace L. Rev. 285 (1999).

Michael Ashley Stein, Foreword: Disability and Identity, 44 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 907 (2003).

Michael Ashley Stein, UNDER THE EMPIRICAL RADAR: AN INITIAL EXPRESSIVE LAW ANALYSIS OF THE ADA, 90 Virginia Law Review June, 2004 1151 (2004).

Stephanie Jae Stevenson, Tenth Circuit survey. 707 Disability Law, 73 Denv. U. L. Rev. 707 (1996).

Danielle Strickman, From the consumer's viewpoint: have attorneys aided in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act?, 34 Ark. Law. 14 (1999).

Luther Sutter, The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: a road now too narrow, 22 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. 161 (2000).

Kim Brooks Tandy & Teresa Heffernan, SPECIAL ISSUE ON LEGAL REPRESENTATION OF CHILDREN: RESPONSES TO THE CONFERENCE: Representing Children with Disabilities: Legal and Ethical Considerations, 6 Nevada Law Journal Spring, 2006 1396 (2006).

William M. Tarnow, Genetic and mental disorders under the ADA, 2 DePaul J. Health Care L. 291 (1998).

Kathleen A. Tarr, Above and beyond: veterans disabled by military service, 5 Geo. J. on Fighting Poverty 39 (1997).

Paul Taylor, The Americans With Disabilities Act and the Internet, 7 Boston University Journal of Science & Technology Law 26 (2001).

Dick, Thornburgh, Reflections on the Americans with Disabilities Act, 37 Hous. L. Rev. 987 (2000).

Michelle A. Travis, Perceived disabilities, social cognition, and "innocent mistakes", 55 Vand. L. Rev. 481 (2002).

Carolyn J. Trevis & Jean F. Holloway, Working with disability: disability benefits and the ADA, 55 Bench & B. Minn. 31 (1998).

Bonnie Poitras Tucker, The ADA's revolving door: inherent flaws in the civil rights paradigm, 62 Ohio St. L.J. 335 (2001).

Kenneth J. Vanko, In search of common ground: leveling the playing field for chemically dependent workers under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 1996 U. Ill. L. Rev. 1257 (1996).

Marta B. Varela, Developments in disability law, 27 Fordham Urb L.J. 1150 (2000).

Marta B. Varela, Protection of domestic violence victims under the New York City Human Rights

Law's provisions prohibiting discrimination on the basis of disability, 27 Fordham Urb. L.J. 1231 (2000).

Kyle C. Velte, PATHS TO PROTECTION: A COMPARISON OF FEDERAL PROTECTION BASED ON DISABILITY AND SEXUAL ORIENTATION, 6 William & Mary Journal of Women and the Law Winter, 2000 323 (2000).

Timothy Verhoff, Comment. Class struggles: a century after the Ku Klux Klan Act and still seeking protection for the disabled, 1999 Wisc. L. Rev. 153 (1999).

J.H. Verkerke, Is the ADA efficient?, 50 UCLA L. Rev. 903 (2003).

J.H. Verkerke, Is the ADA efficient?, 50 UCLA L.Rev. 903 (2003).

James R. Wade, Recent developments in representing disabled clients, 5/1/97 Tr. & Est. 35 (1997).

Rebecca Walker, Note. Governing physician-associated risk disclosure by adopting the ADA, "direct threat" approach: doctors, pack up your stethoscopes and get out your checkbooks, 1997 U. Ill. L. Rev. 1199 (1997).

Aaron J. Walker, Note. "No distinction would be tolerated": Thaddeus Stevens, disability, and the original intent of the Equal Protection Clause, 19 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 265 (2000).

Michael Waterstone, Constitutional and statutory voting rights for people with disabilities., 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 353 (2003).

Michael Waterstone, Symposium: Development in Disability Rights: *Constitutional and Statutory Voting Rights for People with Disabilities*, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev 353 (2003).

Michael Waterstone, Constitutional and Statutory Voting Rights for People with Disabilities, 14 Stanford Law & Policy Review 353 (2003).

Amy L. Wax, Disability, reciprocity, and "Real efficiency": unified approach., 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1421 (2003).

Mark C. Weber, Disability and the law of welfare: a post-integrationist examination, 2000 U. Ill. L. Rev. 889 (2000).

Andrew Weis, Peremptory challengers: the last barrier to jury service for people with disabilities, 33 Willamette L. Rev. 1 (1997).

Maureen C. Weston, The road best traveled: removing judicial roadblocks that prevent workers from obtaining both disability benefits and ADA civil rights protection, 26 Hofstra L. Rev. 377 (1997).

William D. Wickard, Comment. The new Americans without a disability act: the surprisingly successful plight of the non-disabled plaintiff under the ADA, 61 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 1023 (2000).

Adrien Katherine Wing, Examining the correlation between disability and poverty: a comment from a critical race feminist perspective - helping the Joneses to keep up!, 8 J. Gender Race & Just 655 (2005).

Nathaniel R. Wolf, Americans with Disabilities Act, 2000 L. Rev. Mich. St. U. Det. C.L. 543 (2000).

R. George Wright, Persons with disabilities and the meaning of constitutional equal protection, 60 Ohio S. L.J. 145 (1999).

Jason Zarin, Beyond the bright line: Consideration of externalities, the meaning of undue hardship, and the allocation of the burden of proof under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 7 S. Cal. Interdisc. L.J. 511 (1998).

Health

Symposium: "Conducting Medial Research on the Decisionally Impaired", 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 1 (1998).

Alexander Abbe, Comment. "Meaningful access" to health care and the remedies available to Medicaid managed care recipients under the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act, 147 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1161 (1999).

Mray R. Anderlik & Wendy J., The Americans with Disabilities Act and managed care, 37 Hous. L. Rev. 163 (2000).

Adrienne Asch, Disability equality and prenatal testing: contradictory or compatible?, 30 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 315 (2003).

Chad Baruch, ADA practitioners beware: a brief comment on Soignier v. American Board of Plastic Surgery, 3 Tex. F. on C.L. & C. R. 91 (1997).

Alan Bloom & William H. Ginsburg, Managed care rankings: are they rank?, 19 Whittier L. Rev. 69 (1997).

Mary Anne Bobinski, Patients and providers in the courts: fractures in the Americans with Disabilities Act, 61 Alb. L. Rev. 785 (1998).

James F. Childress, The National Bioethics Advisory Commission: bridging the gaps in human subjects research protection, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 105 (1998).

Roger Clegg, Bragdon v. Abbott, asymptomatic genetic conditions, and antidiscrimination law: a conservative perspective, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 409 (2000).

Carl H. Coleman, Conceiving harm: disability discrimination in assisted reproductive technologies., 50 UCLA L. Rev. 17 (2002).

Carl H. Coleman, Conceiving harm: disability discrimination in assisted reproductive technologies., 50 UCLA L. Rev. 17 (2002).

Mary Crossley, Becoming visible: the ADA's impact on health care for persons with disabilities, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 51 (2000).

Evan G. DeRenzo, et al., Assessment of capacity to give consent to research participation: state-of-the-art and beyond, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 66 (1998).

Janet Dolgin, The ideological context of the disability rights critique: where modernity and traditions meet., 30 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 343 (2003).

Linca C. Fentiman, Health care access for children with disabilities, 19 Pace L. Rev. 245 (1999).

Shoshanna Fishman, Note. Unnecessary institutionalization constitutes discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Law. (*Olmstead v. Zimring*, 119 S. Ct. 2176, 1999.), 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 430 (2000).

Laurie M. Flynn & Ronald S. Honberg, Achieving proper balance in research with decisionally-incapacitated subjects: NAMI's perspectives on the working group's proposal, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 174 (1998).

Richard E. Gardner, III, Comment. Mind over matter?: the historical search for meaningful parity between mental and physical health care coverage, 49 Emory L.J. 675 (2000).

Joseph N. Harden, Comment. The "gift" of life: should anencephalic infants die to serve noble goals?, 27 Cumb. L. Rev. 1279 (1997).

Diane E. Hoffman & Jack Schwartz, Proxy consent to participation of the decisionally impaired in medical research--Maryland's policy initiative, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 123 (1998).

Suzanne Holland, Selecting against difference: assisted reproduction, disability and regulation., 30 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 401 (2003).

Edward J. Larson, The meaning of human gene testing for disability rights., 70 U. Cin. L. Rev. 913 (2002).

Eugenia Liu, *Bragdon v. Abbott*: extending the Americans with Disabilities Act to asymptomatic individuals, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 382 (2000).

Edward F. McArdle, New York's Do-Not-Resuscitate law: groundbreaking protection of patient autonomy or a physician's right to make medical futility determinations?, 6 DePaul J. Health Care L. 55 (2002).

Adam A. Milani, Re-defining Disability. Legal protection for individual with HIV, genetic predispositions to disease, or asymptomatic diseases. Articles by Paul Steven Miller, David W. Webber, Lawrence O. Gostin, Laura F. Rothstein, Deborah Kaplan, Katherine A. Schneider, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 225 (2000).

Adam A. Milani, "Oh, say, can I see--and who do I sue if I can't?" wheelchair users, sightlines over standing spectators, and architect liability under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 52 Fla. L. Rev. 523 (2000).

Paul Steven Miller, Is there a pink slip in my genes? Genetic discrimination in the workplace, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 225 (2000).

Jonathan D. Moreno, Regulation of research on the decisionally impaired; history and gaps in the current regulatory system, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 1 (1998).

Paul A. Nidich, Ethics, the National Bioethics Advisory Commission's report on research involving the mentally disordered, and therapeutic jurisprudence, 3 DePaul J. Health Care L. 527 (2000).

Joan O'Sullivan & Breck G. Borcharding, Informed consent for medication in persons with mental retardation and Mental illness, 12 Health Matrix 63 (2002).

John M. Oldham, et al., Regulating research with vulnerable populations: litigation gone awry, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 154 (1998).

Phillip G. Peters, Jr., When physicians balk at futile care: implications of the disability rights laws, 91 Nw. U.L. Rev 798 (1997).

Peter V. Rabins, Issues raised by research using persons suffering from dementia who have impaired decisional capacity, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 22 (1998).

Laura F. Rothstein, Genetic discrimination: why Bragdon does not ensure protection, 3 J. HealthCare L. & Pol'y 330 (2000).

Laura F. Rothstein, Introduction. Health care and the Americans with Disabilities Act. Various authors, 37 Hous. L. Rev. 979 (2000).

Katherine A. Schneider, Adverse impact of predisposition testing on major life activities: lessons from BRCA1/2 testing, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 365 (2000).

Jill L. Schultz, Note. The impact of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act on employer-provided insurance plans: is the insurance company subject to liability?, 56 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 343 (1999).

Lois Shepherd, HIV, the ADA, and the duty to treat, 37 Hous. L. Rev. 1055 (2000).

Nathalie Smith, The right to genetic privacy? Are we unlocking the secrets of the human genome only to risk insurance and employment discrimination?, 2000 Utah L. Rev. 705 (2000).

Marah Stith, J.D., The Semblance of Autonomy: Treatment of Persons with Disabilities Under the Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act, 22 Issues in Law & Medicine Summer, 2006 39 (2006).

Clarence J. Sundram, In harm's way: research subjects who are decisionally impaired, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 36 (1998).

Joel Teitelbaum & Sara Rosenbaum, Medical Care as a Public Accommodation: Moving the Discussion to Race, 29 American Journal of Law & Medicine 381 (2003).

Bonnie Poitras Tucker, Access to health care for individuals with hearing impairments, 37 Hous. L. Rev. 1101 (2000).

Jeffrey A. Van Detta, "Typhoid Mary" meets the ADA: a case study of the "direct threat" standard under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 22 Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 849 (1999).

David W. Webber & Lawrence O. Gostin, Discrimination based on HIV/AIDS and other health conditions: "disability" as defined under federal and state law, 3 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 266 (2000).

Alison Wichman, Protecting vulnerable research subjects: practical realities of institutional review board review and approval, 1 J. Health Care L. & Pol'y 88 (1998).

Lynn M. Zuchowski, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act--paving the way for use of assisted reproductive technologies for the HIV-positive., 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 185 (2002).

Lynn M. Zuchowski, The Americans with Disabilities Act-Paving the way for use of assisted reproductive technologies for the HIV-positive., 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 185 (2002).

History

Peter Blanck & Chen Song, "Never forget what they did here": Civil War pensions for Gettysburg union army veterans and disability in nineteenth-century America., 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1029 (2003).

Ruth Colker, Homophobia, AIDS hysteria, and the Americans with Disabilities Act., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 33 (2004).

Ruth Colker, THE ADA'S JOURNEY THROUGH CONGRESS, 39 Wake Forest Law Review Spring, 2004 1 (2004).

Seam Park, Comment, *Cursing Causation: Justifying a "Motivating-Factor" Standard Under the ADA*, 32 Fla. St. U.L. Rev. 257 (2004).

Laura L. Rovner, Disability, Equality, and Identity, 55 Alabama Law Review, Summer, 2004 1043 (2004).

Housing

Article: Barriers to accessible housing: enforcement issues in "design and construction" cases under the fair housing act*, 40 U. Rich. L. Rev. 753 (2006).

Robert J. Aalberts, Suits to void discriminatory evictions of disabled tenants under the Fair Housing Amendments Act: an emerging conflict?, 33 Real Prop. Probate and Trust J. 649 (1999).

Stephen F. Befort & Tracey H. Donesky, Reassignment under the Americans with Disabilities Act: reasonable accommodation, affirmative action, or both?, 57 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 1045 (2000).

Shelley D. Cutts, Comment. The Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988: and incomplete solution to the problem of housing discrimination against families, 30 Ariz. St. L.J. 205 (1998).

Jennifer L. Dolak, Note. The FHAA's reasonable accommodation & direct threat provisions as applied to disabled individuals who become disruptive, abusive, or destructive in their housing environment., 36 Ind. L. Rev. 759 (2003).

Jennifer L. Dolak, The FHAA's Reasonable Accommodation & Direct Threat Provisions as Applied to Disabled Individuals Who Became Disruptive, Abusive, or Destructive in Their Housing Environment, 36 Indiana Law Review 759 (2003).

Susan B. Eisner, There's no place like home: housing discrimination against disabled persons and the concept of reasonable accommodation under the Fair Housing Amendment Act of 1988, 14 N.Y. L. Sch. J. Hum. Rts. 435 (1998).

Anne C. Fleming, Protecting the innocent: The future of mentally disabled tenants in federally subsidized housing after HUD v. Rucker, 40 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 1 197 (2005).

Anne C. Fleming, Note, *Protecting the Innocent: The Future of Mentally Disabled Tenants in Federally Subsidized Housing After HUD v. Rucker*, 40 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 197 (2005).

Liam Garland, Fairer Housing for People with Disabilities: Securing an In-Place Tenant's Right to Reasonable Accommodation, Clearinghouse REVIEW January-February, 2007 503 (2007).

Abram B. Gregory, Being Responsible Under the Fair Housing Amendments Act: Allowing Changes in Rent-Admission Policies to Accommodate the Disabled Renter's Economic Status, 80 Indiana Law Journal Summer, 2005 905 (2005).

John P. Herrion, Developments in housing law and reasonable accommodations for New York City residents with disabilities, 27 Fordham Urb. L.J. 1295 (2000).

Arlene Kanter, A Home of One's Own: An Analysis of the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 and Access to Housing for People with Mental Disabilities, 43 American University Law Review 925 (1994).

Joanne Karger, Note. "Don't tread on the ADA": Olmstead v. L.C. ex rel. Zimring and the future of community integration for individuals with mental disabilities, 40 B. C. L. Rev. 1221 (1999).

Paul Homes Masters, Note. Group homes in the family's backyard (City of Edmonds v. Oxford House, 115 S. Ct. 1776, 1995.), 11 BYU J. Pub. L. 141 (1997).

Brian R. Rosenau, GIMME SHELTER: DOES THE FAIR HOUSING AMENDMENTS ACT OF 1988 REQUIRE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR THE FINANCIAL CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE DISABLED?, 46 William & Mary Law Review November, 2004 787 (2004).

Rachel Rubey, Note. There's no place like home: housing for the most vulnerable individual with severe mental disabilities., 63 Ohio St. L.J. 1729 (2002).

Robert G. Schwemm & Michael Allen, For the Rest of Their Lives: Seniors and the Fair Housing Act, 90 Iowa Law Review October 2004 121 (2004).

John F. Stanton, The Fair Housing Act and insurance: an update and the question of disability discrimination., 31 Hofstra L. Rev. 141 (2002).

Frederic White , Outing the madman: fair housing for the mentally handicapped and their right to privacy versus the landlord's duty to warn and protect, 28 Fordham Urb. L.J. 783 (2001).

Frederic White, Outing the madman*: fair housing for the mentally handicapped and their right to privacy versus the landlord's duty to warn and protect, 10 J. Affordable Housing & Commun. Dev. L. 372 (2001).

Insurance

Jill Alesch, THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: AN END TO DISCRIMINATION AGAINST HIV/AIDS PATIENTS OR SIMPLY ANOTHER LOOPHOLE BYPASS?, 52 Drake Law Review Spring, 2004 523 (2004).

Mari K. Cania, From Bartell to Erickson to Mauldin: Title VII's Effect on Insurance Coverage of Contraceptives, 11 Buffalo Women's Law Journal 2002/2003 59 (2002).

Melissa Cole, In/ensuring disability., 77 Tul. L. Rev. 839 (2003).

Thomas D. Flanigan, Note. Assisted reproductive technologies and insurance under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 38 Brandeis L.J. 777 (2000).

Jennifer S. Geetter, The condition dilemma: a new approach to insurance coverage of disabilities, 37

Harv. J. on Legis. 521 (2000).

Jed Adam Gross, Getting Disability Insurance to Work: Social Security Benefits as a Means Toward Independent Living, 7 Quinnipiac Health Law 1 (2003).

Lori Bloch Izzo, Note. The ADA does not regulate the content of insurance policies, but what have cameras, Braille books or wheelchairs got to do with it? (Doe v. Mutual of Omaha, 179 F.3d 557, 7th Cir. 1999.), 7 Conn. Ins. L.J. 263 (2000).

Christopher Aaron Jones, Legislative "Subterfuge": Failing to insure persons with mental illness under the Mental Health Parity Act and The Americans with Disabilities Act, 50 Vand. L. Rev. 753 (1997).

Nancy R. Mansfield, et al., Insurance caps on AIDS-related healthcare costs: will the ADA fill the gap created by ERISA?, 14 Ga. St. U. L. Rev. 601 (1998).

Christine Nardi, Comment. When health insurers deny coverage for breast reconstructive surgery: gender meets disability, 1997 Wis. L. Rev. 777 (1997).

Robyn B. Nicoll, LONG-TERM INSURANCE AND GENETIC DISCRIMINATION--GET IT WHILE YOU'RE YOUNG AND IGNORANT: AN EXAMINATION OF CURRENT DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEMS IN LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE THROUGH THE USE OF GENETIC INFORMATION, 13 Albany Law Journal of Science & Technology Summer/Fall, 2003 751 (2003).

Sara Noel, Comment. Parity in mental health coverage: the goal of equal access to mental health treatment under the Mental Health Parity Act of 1996 and the Mental Health Equitable Treatment Act of 2001., 26 Hamline L. Rev. 377 (2003).

Catherine Olender, Note. Capping AIDS benefits: does Title III of the ADA regulate the content of insurance policies?, 28 Am. J.L. & Med. 107 (2002).

Richard C. Parks, Case note. The Seventh Circuit eviscerates the ADA's protection of people with HIV/AIDS against insurance policy discrimination. (Doe v. Mutual of Omaha, 999 F. Supp. 1188, N.D. Ill. 1998.), 10 Law & Sexuality: Rev. Lesbian Gay Bisexual & Transgender Legal Issues 277 (2001).

Elizabeth A. Pendo, The Politics of Infertility: Recognizing Coverage Exclusions as Discrimination, 11 Connecticut Insurance Law Journal 2004/2005 293 (2004).

Sarah Ritz, The Need for Parity in Health Insurance Benefits for the Mentally and Physically Disabled: Questioning Inconsistency Between Two Leading Anti-Discrimination Laws, 18 Journal of Law and Health 2003/2004 263 (2003).

James B. Roche, After Brangdon v. Abbot: why legislation is still needed to mandate infertility insurance, 11 B.U. Pub. Int. L.J. 215 (2002).

Andrea K. Short, Note. Eradicating discrimination among individuals with disabilities: parity in employer-provided, long-term disability benefit plans, 56 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 1341 (1999).

Grant P.H. Shuman, Comment. Escaping the purpose of the ADA: the "safe-harbor:" provision and disability-bases distinctions in insurance policies and programs, 36 Gonz. L. Rev. 549 (2001).

Mathew G. Simon, Not All Illnesses Are Treated Equally - Does a Disability Benefits Plan Violate the ADA by Providing Less Generous Long-Term Benefits for Mentally Disabled Employees than for

Physically Disabled Employees?, 8 University of Pennsylvania Journal of Labor & Employment Law Summer, 2006 943 (2006).

Luke A. Sobota, Comment. Does Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act regulate insurance?, 66 U. Chi. L. Rev. 243 (1999).

Cassie Springer-Sullivan, REFLECTIONS ON OUR TWENTIETH ANNIVERSARY: The Resurrection of "Female Hysteria" in Present-Day ERISA Disability Law, 20 Berkely Journal of Gender, Law & Justice 67 (2005).

Rachel Schneller Ziegler, Safe, but not sound: limiting safe harbor immunity for health and disability insurers and self-insured employers under the Americans with Disabilities Act., 101 Mich. L. Rev. 840 (2002).

International

Symposium: The Social Constructio of Disability: Historical, Contemporary, and Comparative Views. Guest Editor: Peter Blanck, 11 Transat'l L. & Contemp. Probs, i-ii 1 (2001).

Selected bibliography, Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs. 11 115 (2001).

Symposium. International human rights law and the institutional treatment of persons with mental disabilities: the case of Hungary., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 340 (2002).

An overview: International human rights and mental disability law. Moderator: Richard Matasar; Panelists: Michael L. Perlin, Eva Szeli and Krassimir Kanev., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 340 (2002).

Hungary: The social care home report. Moderator: Steve Ellmann; panelists: Gabor Gombos, Katalin Peto, Eszter Kismodi, Sara Rotkin and Jean Bliss., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 361 (2002).

Bridging the gap: America and other perspectives. Moderator: Paul Dubinsky; panelists: Hon. Ginger Lerner-Wren, Robert Dinerstein, Bruce J. Winick and Elizabeth Shaver Duquette., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 397 (2002).

Peter Blanck, Guest editor's introduction to Symposium: introduction to conceptions of disability in war and society, 11 Trasnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs. i-ii 0 (2001).

Peter Blanck & Chen Song, "With malice toward none; with charity toward all": Civil War pensios for native and foreign-born Union Army veterans, 11 Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs. 1 (2001).

Ronald C. Brown, CHINA'S EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION LAWS DURING ECONOMIC TRANSITION, 19 Columbia Journal of Asian Law Spring, 2006 361 (2006).

Sally Chaffin, CHALLENGING THE UNITED STATES POSITION ON A UNITED NATIONS CONVENTION ON DISABILITY, 15 Temple Political & Civil Rights Law Review Fall, 2005 121 (2005).

Christian Courtis, Disability rights in Latin America and international cooperation., 9 Sw. J. L. & Trade Am. 109 (2002).

Christian Courtis, DISABILITY RIGHTS IN LATIN AMERICA AND INTERNATIONAL

COOPERATION, 9 Southwester Journal of Law and Trade in the Americas 2002/2003 109 (2002).

Aaron A. Dhir, Human Rights Treaty Drafting through the Lens of Mental Disability: The Proposed International Convention on Protection and Promotion of the Rights and Dignity of Persons with Disabilities, 41 Stanford Journal of International Law Summer, 2005 181 (2005).

Elizabeth Shaver Duquette, The human rights of the mentally disabled: can European Union law help?, 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 573 (2002).

M. Christine Fotopoulos, CIVIL RIGHTS ACROSS BORDERS: EXTRATERRITORIAL APPLICATION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ACCESSIBILITY REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 508 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT, 36 Public Contract Law Journal Fall, 2006 95 (2006).

Fiona Geist, Bernd Petermann, and Volker Widhammer, THE CONCEPT OF DISABILITY: ARTICLE: GERMANY: DISABILITY LAW IN GERMANY, 24 Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal Summer, 2003 563 (2003).

David A. Gerber, Disabled veterans and public welfare policy: comparative and transnational perspectives on Western states in the twentieth century, 11 Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs. 77 (2001).

Phyllis Gordon, Harry Beatty, and Bill Holder, An Analysis of the Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2001, 17 Journal of Law and Social Policy Spring, 2002 16 (2002).

Barbara Hanson, DOG-FOCUSED LAW'S IMPACT ON DISABILITY RIGHTS: ONTARIO'S PIT BULL LEGISLATION AS A CASE IN POINT, 12 Animal Law 217 (2006).

Stanley S. Herr, Reforming disability nondiscrimination laws: a comparative perspective, 35 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 305 (2001).

Katharina C. Heyer, Student paper. The ADA on the road: disability rights in Germany., 27 Law & Soc. Inquiry 723 (2002).

Einat Hurvitz, Disability Rights and United States Foreign Assistance Policy- A New Framework, 18 American University International Law Review 1189 (2003).

Rodrigo Jimenez, The Americans with Disabilities Act and its impact on international and Latin-American law, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 419 (2000).

Krassimir Kanev, State, human rights, and mental health in Bulgaria., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. & Int'l & Comp. L. 435 (2002).

Arlene Kanter & Kristin Dadey, The right to asylum for people with disabilities, 73 Temp. L. Rev. 1117 (2000).

Arlene S. Kanter, The presumption against extraterritoriality as applied to disability discrimination laws: where does it leave students with disabilities studying abroad?, 14 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 291 (2003).

Arlene S. Kanter, The Globalization of Disability Rights Law, 30 Syracuse Journal of International Law & Commerce 243 (2003).

Arlene S. Kanter, Chris Nugent, and C. Blake Chisam, The Need for Representation of People with

Disabilities in Immigration Proceedings, 25 Mental and Physical Disability Law Reporter 511 (2002).

Jennifer Kern, Across boundaries: the emergence of an international movement of women with disabilities, 8 Hastings. Women's L.J. 233 (1997).

Marc Lim, Comment. The first step forward--the AIDS Dismissal Case and the protection against AIDS-based employment discrimination in Japan, 7 Pac. Rim L. & Pol'y J. 451 (1998).

Janet E. Lord, NGO PARTICIPATION IN HUMAN RIGHTS LAW AND PROCESS: LATEST DEVELOPMENTS IN THE EFFORT TO DEVELOP AN INTERNATIONAL TREATY ON THE RIGHTS OF PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES, 10 ILSA Journal of International & Comparative Law 311 (2004).

Gavin Mansfield, John Bowers, THE CONCEPT OF DISABILITY: ARTICLE: UNITED KINGDOM: DISABLED PERSONS UNDER THE DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION ACT 1995, 24 Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal Summer, 2003 631 (2003).

Sagit Mor, Between Charity, Welfare, and Warfare: A Disability Legal Studies Analysis of Privilege and Neglect in Israeli Disability Policy, 18 Yale Journal of Law & the Humanities Winter, 2006 63 (2006).

Glenn Patmore, THE CONCEPT OF DISABILITY: ARTICLE: AUSTRALIA: THE DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION ACT (AUSTRALIA): TIME FOR CHANGE, 24 Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal Summer, 2003 533 (2003).

Michael L. Perlin, "Chimes of freedom": international human rights and institutional mental disability law., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 423 (2002).

Brendan D. Pooran & Cara Wilkie, Failing to achieve equality: Disability rights in Australia, Canada and the United States., 20 J. L. & Soc. Pol'y 1 (2005).

Michael H. Posner, The Human Rights of Persons with Intellectual Disabilities: Different but Equal: Edited by Stanley S. Herr, Lawrence O. Gostin, and Harold Hongju Koh, 63 Maryland Law Review 203 (2004).

Michael H. Posner, The Human Rights of Persons with Intellectual Disabilities: Different but Equal, 63 Maryland Law Review 203 (2004).

Carol Daugherty Rasnic, THE ADA: A MODEL FOR EUROPE WITH "SHARPER TEETH?", 11 ILSA Journal of International & Comparative Law Fall, 2004 105 (2004).

Eric Rosenthal, with an introduction by Sydney M. Cone III., The application of international human rights law to institutional mental disability law., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 387 (2002).

Eric Rosenthal & Clarence J. Sundram, International human rights in mental health legislation., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 469 (2002).

Maya Sabatello, INTERNATIONAL LAW WEEKEND-WEST: PRESENTATION: DISABILITY, CULTURAL MINORITIES, AND INTERNATIONAL LAW: RECONSIDERING THE CASE OF THE DEAF COMMUNITY, 26 Whittier Law Review Summer, 2005 1025 (2005).

Michael Ashley Stein, War, society, and disability: some thoughts on applying under-utilized methodologies, 11 Transnat'l L. & Contemp. Probs 107 (2001).

Elizabeth Waldeck and Robert Guthrie, Disability Discrimination and Immigration in Australia, 8 International Journal of Discrimination and the Law 217 (2007).

Mark C. Weber, Opening the golden door: disability and the law of immigration., 8 J. Gender Race & Just. 153 (2004).

Bruce J. Winick, Therapeutic jurisprudence and the treatment of people with mental illness in Eastern Europe: construing international human rights law., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 537 (2002).

Learning Disabilities

Robin A. Boyle, LAW STUDENTS WITH ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER: HOW THE REACH THEM, HOW TO TEACH THEN, 39 The John Marshall Law Review Winter, 2006 349 (2006).

Jeff Brown, A learning-disabled lawyer's perspective: a response to "lowering the bar" integrity, stereotypical attitudes and reasonable accommodations, 42 S. Tex L. Rev. 129 (2000).

Susan M. Denbo, DISABILITY LESSONS IN HIGHER EDUCATION: ACCOMMODATING LEARNING-DISABLED STUDENTS AND STUDENT-ATHLETES UNDER THE REHABILITATION ACT AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 41 American Business Law Journal Fall, 2003 145 (2003).

David B. Goldstein, Ethical implications of the learning-disabled lawyer, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 111 (2000).

Sharona Hoffman, ADA obligations of employers, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 59 (2000).

J. Freedley Hunsicker, The accommodation of writing disorders in law school: a lawyer's view, 27 J.L. & Educ. 621 (1998).

Freedley Hunsicker, Learning disabilities, law schools and the lowering of the bar, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 1 (2000).

Jennifer Jolly-Ryan, Disabilities to Exceptional Abilities: Law Students with Disabilities, Nontraditional Learners, and the Law Teacher as a Learner, 6 Nevada Law Journal Fall, 2005 116 (2005).

R. Randall Kelso, Reflections on the Learning-disabled lawyer: or on the importance of being swift - a modest proposal, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 119 (2000).

Scott Lemond & David Mizgala, Identifying and accommodating the learning-disabled lawyer, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 69 (2000).

Nancy Leong, Comment, *Beyond Breimhorst: Appropriate Accommodation of Students with Learning Disabilities on the SAT*, 57 Stan. L. Rev. 2135 (2005).

Nancy Leong, *Beyond Breimhorst: Appropriate Accommodation of Students with Learning Disabilities on the SAT*, 57 Stanford Law Review May, 2005 2135 (2005).

Leah B. Lipskar, Comment. Learning disabilities and the ADA: a guide for successful learning disabled students considering a career in the law, 3 U. Pa. J. Lab. & Emp. L. 647 (2001).

Laura E. Naistadt, Understanding learning disabilities, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 97 (2000).

Donald H. Stone, What law schools are doing to accommodate students with learning disabilities, 42 S. Tex. L. Rev. 19 (2000).

Scott Weiss, Contemplating Greatness: Learning Disabilities and the Practice of Law, 6 The Scholar: St. Mary's Law Review on Minority Issues Spring, 2004 219 (2004).

W. Ray Williams, Hand-up or handout? The Americans with Disabilities Act and "unreasonable accommodation" of learning disabled bar applicants: toward a new paradigm, 34 Creighton L. Rev. 611 (2001).

Medical

Symposium. Genes and disability: defining health and the goals of medicine., 30 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 1 (2003).

Jan C. Costello, "Wayward and noncompliant" people with mental disabilities: what advocates of involuntary outpatient commitment can learn from the juvenile court experience with status offense jurisdiction., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 233 (2003).

Sara Noel, Parity in mental health coverage: The goal equal access to mental health treatment under the Mental Health Parity Act of 1996 and the Mental Health Equitable Treatment Act of 2001., 26 Hamline L.Rev. 377 (2003).

Robert F. Rich, et al., Critical legal and policy issues for people with disabilities., 6 DePaul J. Health Care L. 1 (2002).

Mental Health

Symposium on mental disability law. Articles by Michael L. Perlin, Susan Stefan, Melinda Bird, Keri K. Gould, Rowan K. Klein, Saul J. Faerstein, M.D., Jan C. Costello. James W. Ellis, James Preis, Stephen H. Behnke, Elyn R. Saks and David C. Stone, M, 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 775 (1998).

Does involuntary outpatient commitment lead to more intensive treatment? Contributions by H. Ryan Wagner, Marvin S. Swartz, Jeffrey W. Swanson and Barbara J. Burns., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 145 (2003).

An overview: International human rights and mental disability law. Moderator: Richard Matasar; panelists: Michael L. Perlin, Eva Szeli and Krassimir Kanev., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 340 (2002).

Hungary: the social care home report. Moderator: Steve Ellmann; panelists: Gabor Gombos, Katalin Peto, Eszter Kismodi, Sara Rotkin and Jean Bliss., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 361 (2002).

Bridging the gap: America and other perspectives. Moderator: Paul Dubinsky; panelists: Hon. Ginger Lerner-Wren, Robert Dinerstein, Bruce J. Winick and Elizabeth Shaver Duquette., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 397 (2002).

Kristi D. Aalberg, Note. An act concerning physical restraints of persons with disabilities: a legislative note on Connecticut's recent ban of the use of life-threatening restraints on the mentally ill, 4 Quinnipiac Health L.J. 211 (2001).

Michael Avery, Unreasonable seizures of unreasonable people: defining the totality of circumstances relevant to assessing the police use of force against emotionally disturbed people., 34 Colum. Hum.

Rts. L. Rev. 261 (2003).

Jennifer S. Bard, Article: Re-arranging deck chairs on the titanic: why the incarceration of individuals with serious mental illness violates public health, ethical and constitutional principles and therefore cannot be made right by piecemeal changes to the insanity defense., 1 (0).

Alison McChrystal Barnes, Competency, coercion, and risk of violence: legal intersects with fundamental issues of mental health, 82 Marq. L. Rev. 713 (1999).

Kelly R. Becton, Comment. The Americans with Disabilities Act should not impair the regulation of the legal profession where mental health is an issue, 49 Okla. L. Rev. 353 (1996).

Stephen Behnke & Elyn R. Saks, Therapeutic jurisprudence: informed consent as a clinical indication for the chronically suicidal patient with borderline personality disorder, 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 945 (1998).

Kristina M. Campbell, Note. Blurring the lines of the danger zone: the impact of Kendra's Law on the rights of the nonviolent mentally ill, 16 Notre Dame J.L. Ethics & Pub. Pol'y 173 (2002).

Norman L. Cantor, The Relation Between Autonomy-Based Rights and Profoundly Mentally Disabled Persons, 13 Annals of Health Law Winter, 2004 37 (2004).

Nathan Catchpole, Aaron Miller, THE DISABLED ADA: HOW A NARROWING ADA THREATENS TO EXCLUDE THE COGNITIVELY DISABLED, 2006 Brigham Young University Law Review 1333 (2006).

Steven J. Cleveland, Note. Sterilization of the mentally disabled: applying error cost analysis to the "best interest" inquiry, 86 Geo. L.J. 137 (1997).

John Kip Cornwell & Raymond Deeney, Exposing the myths surrounding preventive outpatient commitment for individuals with chronic mental illness., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 209 (2003).

Jan C. Costello, Why have hearings for kids if you're not going to listen?: a therapeutic jurisprudence approach to mental disability proceedings for minors., 71 U. Cin. L. Rev. 19 (2002).

Elaine M. Dahl, Note. Taking liberties: analysis of ... (In re Mental Health of K.G.F., 2001 MT 140, 306 Mont. 1, 29 P.3d 485.), 64 Mont. L. Rev. 295 (2003).

Joanmarie Ilaria Davoli, Still stuck in the Cuckoo's Nest: why do courts continue to rely on antiquated mental illness research?, 69 Tenn. L. Rev. 987 (2002).

Joanmarie Ilaria Davoli, No Room at the Inn: How the Federal Medicaid Program Created Inequities in Psychiatric Hospital Access for the Indigent Mentally Ill, 29 American Journal of Law & Medicine 159 (2003).

Mary Irene Dickerson, BROUGHT INTO FOCUS: THE FACTOR OF MENTAL ILLNESS IN A RURAL LEGAL SERVICES PRACTICE, 13 Georgetown Journal of Poverty Law and Policy Spring, 2006 179 (2006).

Robert T. Drapkin, Protecting the rights of the mentally disabled in administrative proceedings, 39 Cath. Law 317 (2000).

Hilary Duke, Note. The narrowing of state bar examiner inquiries into the mental health of bar applicants: bar examiner objectives are met better through attorney education, rehabilitation, and discipline, 11 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 101 (1997).

Elizabeth Shaver Duquette, The human rights of the mentally disabled: can European Union law help?, 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp.L. 573 (2002).

Tami A. Earnhart, Note. Medicated mental impairments under the ADA: diagnosing the problem, prescribing the solution, 74 Ind. L.J. 251 (1998).

Elizabeth F. Emens, The Sympathetic Discriminator: Mental Illness, Hedonic Costs, and the ADA, 94 Georgetown Law Journal January, 2006 399 (2006).

John M. Fabian, Kansas v. Hendricks, Crane and beyond: "mental abnormality," and "sexual dangerousness": volitional vs. emotional abnormality and the debate between community safety and civil liberties., 29 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 1367 (2003).

Charity Felts, DEALING WITH A DEPRESSED WORKFORCE: ARE AMERICAN EMPLOYERS DOING ENOUGH TO SUPPORT THE MENTAL HEALTH CHALLENGES AFFECTING TODAY'S EMPLOYEES?, 9 Scholar: St. Mary's Law Review on Minority Issues Fall, 2006 119 (2006).

Margaret K. Feltz, Note. Playing the lottery: HCBS lawsuits and other Medicaid litigation on behalf of the developmentally disabled. (Boulet v. Cellucci, 107 F. Supp. 2d 61, D. Mass. 2000.), 12 Health Matrix 181 (2002).

Nancy Lee Firak, Threshold barriers to Title I and Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act: discrimination against mental illness in long-term disability benefits, 12 J.L. & Health 205 (1998).

Arturo Ricardo Garcia, Comment. Termination of parental rights of the mentally ill: an analysis of Washington law., 37 Gonz. L. Rev. 489 (2001).

Thomas F. Geraghty & Louis J. Kraus, Treating the mentally-ill offender: the challenges of creating an effective, safe and just system (Reviewing Treatment of Offenders with Mental Disorders, edited by Robert M. Wettstein, J. Crim. L. & Criminology 89 393 (1998).

Michael Leonard Goff, Jr., Note. Protecting our mentally ill: a critique of the role of Indiana state courts in protecting involuntarily committed mental patients' right to refuse medication, 76 Ind. L.J. 983 (2001).

Susan G. Goldberg and Mary B. Killeen, The Disclosure Conundrum: How People With Psychiatric Disabilities Navigate Employment, 11 Psychology, Public Policy and Law September, 2005 463 (2005).

Susan G. Goldberg and Mary B. Killeen, The Disclosure Conundrum: How People With Psychiatric Disabilities Navigate Employment, 11 Psychology, Public Policy and Law September, 2005 463 (2005).

Gwen Goodman, ACCESSING MENTAL HEALTH CARE FOR CHILDREN: RELINQUISHING CUSTODY TO SAVE THE CHILD, 67 Albany Law Review 301 (2003).

David A. Green, "I'm Ok - You're Ok": educating lawyers to "maintain a normal client-lawyer relationship" with a client with a mental disability., 28 J. Legal Prof. 65 (2004).

David A. Green, "I'M OK-YOU'RE OK": EDUCATING LAWYERS TO "MAINTAIN A NORMAL CLIENT-LAWYER RELATIONSHIP" WITH A CLIENT WITH A MENTAL DISABILITY, 28 *The Journal of the Legal Profession* 2003/2004 65 (2003).

Beth Mellan Harrison, Recent development. Mental health parity, 39 *Harv. J. on Legis.* 255 (2002).

Wendy F. Hensel and Gregory Todd Jones, BRIDGING THE PHYSICAL - MENTAL GAP: AN EMPIRICAL LOOK AT THE IMPACT OF MENTAL ILLNESS STIGMA ON ADA OUTCOMES, 73 *Tennessee Law Review* Fall, 2005 47 (2005).

Virginia Aldige Hiday, Outpatient commitment: the state of empirical research on its outcomes., 9 *Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L.* 8 (2003).

Laura E. Hortas, ASYLUM PROTECTION FOR THE MENTALLY DISABLED: HOW THE EVOLUTION OF RIGHTS FOR THE MENTALLY ILL IN THE UNITED STATES CREATED A "SOCIAL GROUP", 20 *Connecticut Journal of International Law* Fall, 2004 155 (2004).

Ann Hubbard, The ADA, the workplace, and the myth of the "dangerous mentally ill", 34 *U.C. Davis L. Rev.* 849 (2001).

John V. Jacobi, Federal power, segregation, and mental disability., 39 *Hous. L. Rev.* 1231 (2003).

John V. Jacobi, Parity and Difference: The Value of Parity Legislation for the Seriously Mentally Ill, 29 *American Journal of Law & Medicine* 185 (2003).

Grace James, An unquiet mind in the workplace: mental illness and the Disability Discrimination Act 1995., 24 *Legal Stud.* 516 (2005).

Krassimir Kanev, State, human rights, and mental health in Bulgaria., 21 *N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L.* 435 (2002).

Arlene Kanter, Mimi Ajzenstadt, Uri Aviram, and M. Kajian, Involuntary Outpatient Commitment in Israel: Treatment or Control?, 24 *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry* 637 (2001).

Arlene Kanter and Uri Aviram, Israel's Involuntary Outpatient Commitment Law: Lessons from the American Experience, 29 *The Israel Law Review* 0 (1995).

Meredith Karasch, Note. Where involuntary commitment, civil liberties, and the right to mental health care collide: an overview of California's mental illness system., 54 *Hastings L.J.* 493 (2003).

Christin E. Keele, Note. Criminalization of the mentally ill: the challenging role of the defense attorney in the mental health court system., 71 *UMKC L. Rev.* 193 (2002).

Susan Kerr, The application of the Americans with Disabilities Act to the termination of the parental rights of individuals with mental disabilities, 16 *J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y* 387 (2000).

Dora W. Klein, Involuntary treatment of the mentally ill: autonomy is asking the wrong question., 27 *Vt. L. Re.* 649 (2003).

Len Klingen, The mentally ill attorney., 27 *Nova L. Rev.* 157 (2002).

John Q. La Fond, Outpatient commitment's next frontier: sexual predators., 9 *Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L.*

159 (2003).

Peggy R. Mastroianni & Carol R. Miakoff, Coverage of psychiatric disorders under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 Vill. L. Rev. 723 (1997).

Mindy McPheeters, Psychiatric disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 7 Kan. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 189 (1998).

Robert D. Miller, The continuum of coercion: constitutional and clinical considerations in the treatment of mentally disordered persons, 74 Denv. U. L. Rev. 1169 (1997).

Keith Nelson, Comment. Legislative and judicial solutions for mental health parity: S.543, reasonable accommodation, and an individualized remedy under Title I of the ADA, 51 Am. U. L. Rev. 91 (2001).

Camille A. Nelson, STARTING ANEW: THE ADA'S DISABILITY WITH RESPECT TO EPISODIC MENTAL ILLNESS, 75 Mississippi Law Journal Spring, 2006 1039 (2006).

Michelle Parikh, BURNING THE CANDLE AT BOTH ENDS, AND THERE IS NOTHING LEFT FOR PROOF: THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT'S DISSERVICE TO PERSONS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS, 89 Cornell Law Review March, 2004 721 (2004).

Michael L. Perlin, "Where the winds hit heavy on the borderline": mental disability law, theory and practice, "us" and "them.", 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 775 (1998).

Michael L. Perlin, Therapeutic jurisprudence and outpatient commitment law: Kendra's Law as case study., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 183 (2003).

Michael L. Perlin, "Chimes of Freedom": international human rights and institutional mental disability law., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 423 (2002).

James Preis, Advocacy for the mental health needs of children in California, 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 937 (1998).

Ann Marie Rakowski, Just Who Do You Think You're Talking to? The Mandate for Effective Notice to Food Stamp Recipients with Mental Disabilities, 37 Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems Summer, 2004 485 (2004).

Eric Rosenthal, with an introduction by Sydney M. Cone III., The application of international human rights law to institutional mental disability law., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 387 (2002).

Eric Rosenthal & Clarence J. Sundram, International human rights in mental health legislation., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 469 (2002).

Dennis P. Saccuzzo, Legal regulation of behavior modification for developmentally disabled and other handicapped persons, 25 Ohio N. U. L. Rev. 27 (1999).

Elyn R. Saks, Mental health law: three scholarly traditions, 74 S. Cal. L. Rev. 295 (2000).

Elyn R. Saks, Involuntary outpatient commitment., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 94 (2003).

John R. Schleppebach, Living Longer, but for What? Legal Neglect of Cognitive Treatments, 11 The Elder Law Journal 141 (2003).

Robert F. Schopp, Outpatient civil commitment: a dangerous charade or a component of a comprehensive institution of civil commitment?, 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 33 (2003).

Adam J. Shapiro, Defining the rights of law students with mental disabilities., 58 U. Miami L.Rev. 923 (2004).

Susan Stefan, The Americans with Disabilities Act and mental health law: issues for the twenty-first century, 10 J. Contemp. Legal Issues 131 (1999).

Susan Stefan, "Discredited" and "Discreditable": the search for political identity by people with psychiatric diagnoses., 44 Wm. & Mary L.Rev. 1341 (2003).

T. Howard Stone, Therapeutic implications of incarceration for persons with severe mental disorders: searching for rational health policy, 24 Am. J. Crim. L. 283 (1997).

Marvin S. Swartz, et al., Endorsement of personal benefit of outpatient commitment among persons with severe mental illness., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 70 (2003).

Winiviere Sy, Comment. The right of institutionalized disabled patients to engage in consensual sexual activity, 23 Whittier L. Rev. 545 (2001).

Illissa L. Watnik, Comment. A constitutional analysis of Kendra's law: New York's solution for treatment of the chronically mentally ill, 149 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1181 (2001).

Roederick C. White, Sr., What right to privacy? The risk to the voluntary mental health patient as a result of Louisiana's current forcible medication statute, 24 S.U. L. Rev. 1 (1996).

Maxine Aldridge White, Mental health and the law: two perspectives, 82 Marq. L. Rev. 723 (1999).

Kathleen Winchell, Student article. The need to close Kentucky's revolving door proposal for a movement towards a socially responsible approach to treatment and commitment of the mentally ill, 29 N.Ky. L. Rev. 189 (2002).

Bruce J. Winick, Coercion and mental health treatment, 74 Denv. U. L. Rev 1145 (1997).

Bruce J. Winick, Outpatient commitment: a therapeutic jurisprudence analysis., 9 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 107 (2003).

Bruce J. Winick, Therapeutic jurisprudence and the treatment of people with mental illness in Eastern Europe: construing international human rights law., 21 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Int'l & Comp. L. 537 (2002).

David A. Zaheer, Note. Expanding California's coerced treatment for the mentally ill: is the promise of caring treatment in the community a lost hope?, 10 S. Cal. Interdisc. L.J. 385 (2001).

Nursing Home/For-Profit Providers

Julie A. Braun and Elizabeth A, A medico-legal evaluation of dehydration and malnutrition among nursing home residents, 2000 Elder L.J. 239 (2000).

Kimberly L. Intagliata, Comment. Improving the quality of care in nursing homes: class action impact litigation, 73 U. Colo. L. Rev. 1013 (2002).

Elizabeth K. Schneider, The ADA--a little used tool to remedy nursing home discrimination, 28 U. Tol. L. Rev. 489 (1997).

Prisons

Emily Alexander, Note. The Americans with Disabilities Act and state prisons: a question of statutory interpretation, 66 Fordham L. Rev. 2233 (1998).

Andrew Brunsten, HEPATITS C IN PRISONS: EVOLVING TOWARD DECENCY THROUGH ADEQUATE MEDICAL CARE AND PUBLIC HEALTH REFORM, 54 UCLA Law Review December, 2006 465 (2006).

Christopher J. Burke, Note. Winning the battle, losing the war?: judicial scrutiny of prisoners' statutory claims under The American With Disabilities Act, 98 Mich. L. Rev. 482 (1999).

Ryan M. Debski, Recent decision. Civil rights--Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990--prohibition of public entity discrimination against qualified individual with a disability--application to inmates in state prisons. (Pa. Dep't of Corrections v. Yeskey, 118 S. Ct. 1952, 1998.), 37 Duq L. Rev. 659 (1999).

Paul Evans, The Americans with Disabilities Act and Inmates with Disabilities: The Extent to Which Title II of the Act Provides a Recourse, 22 Washington University Journal of Law and Policy 563 (2006).

Saul J. Faerstein, Sexually dangerous predators and post-prison commitment laws, 31 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 895 (1998).

Susan B. Hoppe, Comment. Broad statutory language is not ambiguous: the Americans with Disabilities Act applies in state prisons, 15 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 275 (1998).

Jennifer L. Lange, Note: Biting the hand that feeds them--state prisons and the ADA: responding to..(Amos v. Maryland Dep't of Pub. Safety & Correctional Servx., 126 F. 3rd 589, 4th Cir. 1997.), 1998 BYU L. Rev 875 (1998).

Brian Lester, The Americans with Disabilities Act and the exclusion of inmates from services in prisons: a proposed analytical approach regarding the appropriate level of judicial scrutiny of a prisoner's ADA claim., 79 N.D. L. Rev. 83 (2003).

Connie M. Mayer, UNIQUE MENTAL HEALTH NEEDS OF HIV-INFECTED WOMEN INMATES: WHAT SERVICES ARE REQUIRED UNDER THE CONSTITUTION AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT?, 6 William & Mary Journal of Women and the Law Fall, 1999 215 (1999).

Michael L. Perlin, "For the misdemeanor outlaw": the impact of the ADA on the institutionalization of criminal defendants with mental disabilities, 52 Ala. L. Rev. 193 (2000).

Ira P. Robbins, George Bush's America meets Dante's inferno: The Americans with Disabilities Act in prison, 15 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 49 (1996).

Resources for People with Disabilities

Heidi M. Berven & Peter David Blanck, Assistive technology patenting trends and the Americans with Disabilities Act, Behav. Sci. & L. 47 (1999).

Heidi M. Berven & Peter David Blanck, The economics of the Americans with Disabilities Act Part II-- patents and innovations in assistive technology, 12 Notre Dame J.L. Ethics & Pub. Pol'y 9 (1998).

Elizabeth McKenzie & Kathleen E. Casey, Using adaptive technology to provide access to blind, low-vision, and dyslexic patrons, 90 Law Lib. J. 157 (1998).

Sean J. Smith & Eric D. Jones, The obligation to provide assistive technology: enhancing general curriculum access, 28 J.L. 7 Educ. 247 (1999).

Social Security

Alexander Abbe, Comment. "Meaningful Access" to health care and the remedies available to medicaid managed care recipients under the ADA and the rehabilitation act, 147 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1161 (1999).

Paul Armstrong, Toward a Unified and Reciprocal Disability System, 25 Journal of The National Association of Administrative Law Judges Spring, 2005 157 (2005).

Damien Bielli, Comment. PGA v. ADA: a skins match, winner take all in the battle for equality for disabled individuals in professional golf, 36 New Eng. L. Rev. 181 (2001).

Frank S. Bloch, SOCIAL SECURITY IN TRANSITION: ARTICLE: MEDICAL PROOF, SOCIAL POLICY, AND SOCIAL SECURITY'S MEDICALLY CENTERED DEFINITION OF DISABILITY, 92 Cornell Law Review January, 2007 189 (2007).

Frank S. Bloch, Jeffrey S. Lubbers, & Paul R. Berkuil, THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION'S NEW DISABILITY ADJUDICATION RULES: A SIGNIFICANT AND PROMISING REFORM, 92 Cornell Law Review January, 2007 235 (2007).

David A. Bryant, SPECIAL ISSUES IN THE SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY CASE: NON-DISABILITY ISSUES ARISING FROM DISABILITY CLAIMS, 2 2006 ATLA Annual Convention Reference Materials 1761 (2006).

Eric L. Buchanan, COORDINATION OF BENEFITS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY CLIENTS: ERISA AND LONG-TERM DISABILITY, 2 July, 2006 ATLA Annual Convention Reference Materials 1761 (2006).

Mary Crossley, Medicaid managed care and disability discrimination issues, 65 Tenn. L. Rev. 419 (1998).

Scott B. Ekind, SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION DISABILITY ROUNDTABLE: THE DEVIL IN THE DETAILS, 2 July, 2006 ATLA Annual Convention Reference Materials 1781 (2006).

Jennifer L. Erkulwater, The judicial transformation of Social Security disability: the case of mental disorders and childhood disability., 8 Conn. Ins. L.J. 401 (2001).

April L. Forbes, Casenote. Children with disabilities challenge the at-home limitation on Medicaid-covered home health nursing services. (Skubel v. Fuoroli, 113 F.3d 330, 2nd Cir. 1997, 4 Loy. Poverty L.J. 297 (1998).

Heather Hamilton, Judicial estoppel, social security disability benefits and the ADA: the circuits diverge, 9 Depaul Bus. L.J. 127 (1996).

Kelly Huntley, Casebrief. "To review or not to review?"--That is the question: interpreting new evidence in Social Security disability claims in the Third Circuit. (Mathews v. Apfel, 231 F.3d 589, 3d Cir. 2001.), 47 Vill. L. Rev. 1089 (2002).

Christopher D. Latham, THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY INSURANCE BENEFITS AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION, 2 July, 2006 ATLA Annual Convention Reference Materials 1807 (2006).

Jorge M. Leon, Note. Two hats, one head: reconciling disability benefits and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 1997 U. Ill. L. Rev. 1139 (1997).

Ken Matheny, Social Security disability and the older worker: a proposal for reform., 10 Geo. J. on Poverty L. & Pol'y 37 (2003).

Robert E. Rains, DISABILITY AND FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS: MARRIAGE PENALTIES AND SUPPORT ANOMALIES, 22 Georgia State University Law Review 561 (2006).

Robert E. Rains, A RESPONSE TO BLOCH, LUBBERS & VERKUL'S THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION'S NEW DISABILITY ADJUDICATION RULES: A CAUSE FOR OPTIMISM...AND CONCERN, 92 Cornell Law Review January, 2007 249 (2007).

Tommy W. Rogers & Willie L. Rose, Worker's compensation and public disability benefits offset the Social Security disability benefits, 29 S.U. L. Rev. 57 (2001).

Mazin A. Sbaiti, ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT? TOWARDS A MEANINGFUL "MATERIALITY" DETERMINATION PROCESS FOR DUAL-DIAGNOSIS CLAIMANTS SEEKING DISABILITY BENEFITS UNDER TITLES II & XVI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, 35 Columbia Human Rights Law Review Spring, 2004 415 (2004).

Dean Spade, Undeserving addicts: SSI/SSD and the penalties of poverty., 5 Howard Scroll 89 (2002).

Elizabeth D. Tedrow, Social Security Privatization in Other Countries - What Lessons Can Be Learned for the United States?, 14 The Elder Law Journal 35 (2006).

Jason D. Vendel, GENERAL BIAS AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES: IS THERE A REMEDY FOR SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY CLAIMANTS?, 90 Cornell Law Review March, 2005 769 (2005).

Sports

The Casey Martin Dispute: Conflicting views of the Amicus Curiae. Briefs by Brian D. Shannon, Barry A. White, Lee N. Abrams, Guy G. Ward and Walter Driver Jr., 1 Va. J. Sports & L. 92 (1999).

Paul M. Anderson, Spoiling a good walk: does the ADA change the rules of sport?, 1 Va. J. Sports & L. 44 (1999).

Paul M. Anderson, A cart that accommodates: using case law to understand the ADA, sports, and Casey Martin, 1 Va. Sports & Ent. L.J. 211 (2002).

Doug Bakker, NCAA Initial Eligibility Requirements: The Case Law Behind The Changes, 3 DePaul Journal of Sports Law & Contemporary Problems Summer, 2006 160 (2006).

Janet Barbookles, Comment. Creating reasonable accommodations without an undue burden: the future effects the ADA will have on golf courses., 33 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. 71 (2003).

Damien Bielli, PGA v. ADA: A Skins Match, Winner Take All in the Battle for Equality for Disabled Individuals in Professional Golf, 36 New England Law Review Fall, 2001 181 (2001).

Katie M. Burroughs, Learning disabled student athletes: a sporting chance under the ADA?, 14 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol'y 57 (1997).

Katherine C. Carlson, Down in front: entertainment facilities and disabled access under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 20 Hastings Comm. & Ent. L.J. 897 (1999).

Timothy G. Church & James R. Neumeister, University control of student-athletes with disabilities under the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Rehabilitation Act, 25 J.C. & U.L. 105 (1998).

Mark A. Conrad, Wheeling through rough terrain-the legal roadblocks of disabled access in sports arenas, 8 Marq. Sports L.J. 263 (1998).

Jeffrey Michael Cromer, Creating an Uncomfortable Fit in Applying the ADA to Professional Sports, 36 Indiana Law Review 149 (2003).

W. Kent Davis, Why is the PGA teed off at Casey Martin? An example of how the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has changed sports law, 9 Marq. Sports L.J. 1 (1998).

Erik Ekblad, Comment. A slice into the sand trap: why the PGA was unwise in its decision to push its dispute with Casey Martin to the Supreme Court. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 32 Sw.U. L. Rev. 151 (2003).

Erik Ekblad, A SLICE INTO THE SAND TRAP: WHY THE PGA WAS UNWISE IN ITS DECISION TO PUSH ITS DISPUTE WITH CASEY MARTIN TO THE SUPREME COURT, 32 Southwestern University Law Review 151 (2003).

John P. Encarnacion, Casenote. When a handicap may be an advantage: McPherson v. Michigan High School Athletic Association evaluates the relationship of the Rehabilitation Act and ADA to athletic association maximum semester rules. (McPherson v. Michigan High School Athletic Associations, Inc., 119 F.3rd 453, 6th Cir. 1997), 5 Vill. Sports & Ent. L.J. 327 (1998).

Colleen M. Evale, Note. The Sixth Circuit sets up age restrictions as insurmountable hurdles for learning-disabled high school student-athletes (Sandison v. Michigan High Sch. Athletic Ass'n, 64 F.3d 1026, 6th Cir. 1995.), 5 Sports Law. J. 109 (1998).

Mark R. Freitas, Applying the Rehabilitation Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act to student-athletes, 5 Sports Law. J. 139 (1998).

Roy R. Galewski, Case note. The Casey Martin and Ford Olinger cases: the Supreme Court takes a swing at ADA uncertainty. (Martin v. PGA Tour Inc., 994 F. Supp. 1242. D Or. 1998, aff'd, 204 F.3d 994, 9th Cir. 2000, cert. granted, 69 U.S.L.W. 3023, U.S. Sept. 26, 2000, No. 00-24; and Olinger v. U.S. Golf Ass'n, 55 F. Supp. 2d 926, N.D. Ind. 1999, aff'd, 205 F.3d 1001, 7th Cir. 2000, petition for cert. filed, U.S. Sept. 20, 2000, No. 00-434.), 21 Pace L. Rev. 411 (2001).

John Grady, Damon Andrew, Legal Implications of the Americans with Disabilities Act on Recreation Services: Changing Guidelines, Structures, and Attitudes in Accommodating Guests with Disabilities, 13 Journal of Legal Aspects of Sport Fall, 2003 231 (2003).

John Grady & Jane Boyd Ohlin, The Application of Title III of the ADA to Sport Web Sites, 14 Journal of Legal Aspects of Sport Summer, 2004 145 (2004).

Gregory C. Graffman, Comment. *Playing with an asterisk: Casey Martin shoots a hole-in-one at the United States Supreme Court. (PGA Tour, Inc., v Martin, 352 U.S. 661, 121 S. Ct. 1879, 2001.), 41 Washburn L.J. 325 (2002).

Henry T. Greely, Conference article: Disabilities, enhancements, and the meaning of sports, 15 Stan. L. & Pol'y Rev. 99 (2004).

Henry T. Greely, Disabilities, Enhancements, and the Meanings of Sports, 15 Stanford Law & Policy Review 99 (2004).

Joanne Haase, Casenote. Supreme Court tells PGA tour to take a hike (and lets Casey Martin ride a cart): the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) reasonable modification rule for a professional athlete drives a new course for independent contractors at public accommodations. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Casey Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 47 S.D. L. Rev. 583 (2002).

George Haines, Note. Civil Rights - Athlete eligibility-high school athletic association's eight-semester eligibility requirement is neutral and does not violate the Americans with Disabilities Act or Rehabilitative Act - McPherson v. Michigan High School Athletic Ass'n, Inc., 119 F. 3d 453 (6th Cir. 1997), 9 Seton Hall J. Sport L. 625 (1999).

Eldon L. Ham, Disabled athletes: a last vestige of court tolerated discrimination?, 8 Seton Hall J. Sport L. 741 (1998).

Casey N. Harding, NICKEL AND DIMED: NORTH CAROLINA COURT BLOCKS CAROLINA PANTHERS' ATTEMPT TO AVOID PAYMENT OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS TO INJURED ATHLETES, 28 North Carolina Law Journal Spring, 2006 241 (2006).

Todd A. Hentges, Driving in the fairway incurs no penalty: Martin v PGA Tour, Inc. and discriminatory boundaries in the Americans with Disabilities Act, 18 Law & Ineq. 131 (2000).

Steven A. Holzbaur, Note. Driving into the rough: conflicting decisions on the rights of disabled golfers in..(Martin v. PGA Tour, Inc., 204 F.3d 994, 9th Cir., cert. granted, 69 U.S.L.W. 3223, U.S. Sept. 26, 2000, No. 00-24; and Olinger v. United States Golf Ass'n, 205 F.3d 1001, 7th Cir. 2000.), 46 Vill. L. Rev. 171 (2001).

Christopher James Hudson, Casenote. Reasonable modifications under the ADA FOR the disabled. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001, 53 Mercer L. Rev. 1717 (2002).

Amy M. Kearney, NOT LIKE IT WAS IN THE OLD DAYS: IS THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT CHANGING THE FACE OF SPORTS AS WE KNOW IT?, 10 Villanova Sports and Entertainment Law Journal 153 (2003).

Matthew Kensky, Casey Martin v PGA Tour, Inc.: introducing handicaps to professional golf by widening the scope of the ADA, 9 Geo. Mason. U. Civ. Rts. L.J. 151 (1998).

Byron L. Koepke, Comment. The Americans with Disabilities Act and professional golf-breaking par for the sake of equality. (Martin v. PGA Tour Inc., 994 F. Supp. 1242, D. Or. 1998.), 38 Washburn L. J. 699 (1999).

Jason Kroll, SECOND CLASS ATHLETES: THE USOC'S TREATMENT OF ITS PARALYMPIANS, 23

Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal 307 (2005).

Philippe Langlois, Casenote. Casey Martin tees off with the help of the Americans with Disabilities Act. (Casey Martin v. PGA ROut, Inc., 204F. 3d 996, 9th Cir. 2000, 3 Loy. J. Pub. Int. L. 220 (2002).

Kristine Larochelle, Casenote. Maintaining athletics as an important part of a high school education: the Seventh Circuit gives hope to disabled student-athletes in (Washington v. Indiana High School Athletic Ass'n Inc., 181 F. 3d 840, 7th Cir. 1999.), 7 Vill. Sports & Ent. L.J. 301 (2000).

Stephen J. Lautz, A good walk spoiled: the ADA's intrusion into professional athletics, 10 Kan. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 238 (2000).

Gary Lawson, Casey at the Court, 17 Const. Comment 161 (2000).

David Legg & Daniel S. Mason, Autonomic dysreflexia in wheelchair sport: a new game in the legal arena?, 8 Marq. Sports L.J. 225 (1998).

Christopher W. Lewis, Comment, Athletic eligibility--too high a hurdle for the learning disabled, 15 T.M. Cooley L. Rev. 75 (1998).

Darryl J. Liguori, Note. Fore! The Supreme Court tees off a standard to apply the Americans with Disabilities Act to professional sports in ... (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 12 Widener L.J. 185 (2003).

Timothy J. Lowry, Casenote. Fourteenth Amendment--Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990--public accommodations ... (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 121 S. Ct. 1879, 2001.), 12 Seton Hall Const. L.J. 647 (2002).

Laurie M. Lynn & Ronald S. Hon, Symposium: Disability Issues in Sport. Articles by Matthew J. Mitten, David Legg, Daniel S. Mason and Mark A. Conrad, 8 Marq. Sports L. Rev. 189 (1999).

Annette Bulger Mathis, Note. Fatal flaw: failure to consider the personal circumstances of a person with a disability. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 22 Miss. C.L. Rev. 71 (2002).

Foy Meyer III, Recent developments. Leveling the playing field for disabled athletes under the Americans with Disabilities Act. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 76 Tul. L. Rev. 1159 (2002).

Matthew J. Mitten, Enhanced risk of harm to one's self as a justification for exclusion from athletics, 8 Marq. Sports L.J. 189 (1998).

David A. Monaghan, Recent decision. Title III of the ADA allows a qualified disabled entrant to use a motorized cart on the Professional Golf Tour. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 121 S. Ct. 1879, 2001, 40 Duq. L. Rev. 403 (2002).

Charles A. Omage, Comment. Caught in the rough of the PGA Tour and USGA Rules: Casey Martin and Ford Olinger's fight for the use of a golf cart under the Americans with Disabilities Act. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 121 S. Ct. 1879, 2001.), 29 Hofstra L. Rev. 1401 (2001).

Christopher M. Parent, Casey Martin's four-year struggle with the PGA tour., 9 Sports Law. J. 57 (2002).

Julie A. Ranieri, Case comment. Constitutional law--Americans with Disabilities Act swings in a new direction: Title III's coverage is extended. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 36 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 297 (2002).

Kelly M. Trainor, THE NCAA'S INITIAL ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS AND THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT IN THE POST-PGA TOUR V. MARTIN ERA: AND ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFERENCE TO THE NCAA, 46 Boston College Law Review March, 2005 423 (2005).

Tracy Elizabeth Walsh, Civil Rights - Americans with Disabilities Act - the PGA is subject to the ADA because it is not a private club and its tournaments are places of public accommodation Martin v PGA Tour Inc., 994 F. Supp. 1242 (D. Or. 1998), 9 Seton Hall J. Sport L. 599 (1999).

Martha Lee Walters & Suzanne Bradley Chati, When the only way to equal is to acknowledge difference: PGA Tour, Inc. v Martin, 40 Brandeis L.J. 727 (2002).

Martha Lee Walters & Suzanne Bradley Chanti, When the Only Way to Equal Is to Acknowledge Difference: PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin , 40 Brandeis Law Journal University of Louisville 727 (2002).

Andrew I. Warden, Comment. Driving the green: the impact of PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin on disabled athletes and the future of competitive sports. (PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 121 S. Ct. 1879, 2001.), 80 N.C. L. Rev. 643 (2002).

Michael Waterstone, Let's be reasonable here: why the ADA will not ruin professional sports, 00 BYU L. Rev. 1489 (2000).

Scott A. Weinberg, Casenote. Analysis of Martin v. Professional Golfers' ass'n Tour, Inc.--Applying the ADA to the PGA is a hole in one for disabled golfer. (Martin v. PGA TOUR, 204 F.3d 994, 9th Cir. 2000, cert. granted, 69 U.S.L.W. 3023, 3191, U.S. Sept. 26, 2000.), 38 Brandeis L.J. 757 (2000).

Maureen A. Weston, Academic standards or discriminatory hoops? Learning-disabled student-athletes and the NCAA initial academic eligibility requirements, 66 Tenn. L. Rev. 1049 (1999).

James B. York, Note. And the winner is ... trial lawyers: when does an accommodation under Title III of the ADA represent a fundamental alteration of competitive sports? (PGA tour v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 2001.), 67 Mo. L. Rev. 685 (2002).

Carlos A. Zumpano, Student article. Inter-collegiate athletics, disability and the Rehabilitation Act: where does the issue lie?, 10 U. Miami Bus. L. Rev. 627 (2002).

State Laws

Sande L. Buhai, In the meantime: state protection of disability civil rights., 37 Loy. L.A. Int'l & Comp. L.Rev. 1065 (2004).

Sande L. Buhai, SOCIAL JUSTICE IN THE 21ST CENTURY: IN THE MEANTIME: STATE PROTECTION OF DISABILITY CIVIL RIGHTS, 37 Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review Spring, 2004 1065 (2004).

Sande L. Buhai, SOCIAL JUSTICE IN THE 21ST CENTURY: IN THE MEANTIME: STATE PROTECTION OF DISABILITY CIVIL RIGHTS, 37 Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review Spring, 2004 1065 (2004).

Luther A. Grandquist, RECENT DECISIONS OF THE MINNESOTA SUPREME COURT: ARTICLE:

UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION OR A NECESSITY FOR A FAIR TRIAL?: EXCLUSION OF A LAW CLERK WITH A DISABILITY FROM THE COURTROOM DURING JURY TRIAL OF PERSONAL INJURY CASE, 30 William Mitchell Law Review 455 (2003).

Erik Van Hagen, THE NOT-SO-SECRET BALLOT: HOW WASHINGTON FAILS TO PROVIDE A SECRET VOTE FOR IMPAIRED VOTERS AS REQUIRED BY THE WASHINGTON STATE CONSTITUTION, 80 Washington Law Review August, 2005 787 (2005).

Amy P. Hauser, Child Custody for Disabled Adults: What Kentucky Families Need, 91 Kentucky Law Journal Spring 2002/2003 667 (2002).

Megan Kirshbaum, Ph.D., Daniel O. Taube, J.D., Ph.d. and Rosalinda Lashian Baker, Issue facing family courts: Parents with disabilities: Problems in family court practice, 4 J. Center for Fam. Child.& Ct[s]. 27 (2003).

Natalie Koss, THE CALIFORNIA FAMILY TEMPORARY DISABILITY INSURANCE PROGRAM, 11 American University Journal of Gender, Social Policy & the Law 1079 (2003).

Brandy L. Kurelich, WORKER'S COMPENSATION-- Total and Permanent Disability-- For Purposes of Determining Total and Permanent Disability Benefits, an Injury Must Be Evaluated in Its Corrected State Versus Its Uncorrected State. Cain v. Waste Management, Inc., 638, 81 University of Detroit Mercy Law Review Winter, 2004 251 (2004).

Alex Long, State anti-discrimination law as a model for amending the Americans with Disabilities Act., 65 U.Pitt. L.Rev. 597 (2004).

Alex Long, STATE ANTI-DISCRIMINATION LAW AS A MODEL FOR AMENDING THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, 65 University of Pittsburgh Law Review Spring, 2004 597 (2004).

Tammy L. McCabe, Comment: *California Disability Anti-Discrimination Law: Lighthouse in the Storm, or Hunt for Buried Treasure?*, 36 McGeorge L. Rev. 661 (2005).

Tammy L. McCabe, California Disability Ant-Discrimination Law: Lighthouse in the Storm, or Hunt for Buried Treasure?, 661 (2005).

James F. McKethan, Ed.D. and David H. Phillips, J.D., State Statutes to Protect Children with Diabetes: Noble Intentions But the Wrong Approach, 35 Journal of Law & Education October, 2006 501 (2006).

Sabine Schoenbach, Making the Most of Moorpark: Delineating Protections Against Discrimination for Injured Workers after the Prudence K. Poppink Act, 24 Berkely Journal of Employment and Labor Law 439 (2003).

Nina Wasow, PLANNED FAILURE: CALIFORNIA'S DENIAL OF REUNIFICATION SERVICES TO PARENTS WITH MENTAL DISABILITIES, 31 New York University Review of Law & Social Change 183 (2006).

Right to Die/Physician-Assisted Suicide

Samuel R. Bagenstos, JUDGING THE SCHIAVO CASE, 22 Constitutional Commentary Winter, 2005 457 (2005).

Samuel R. Bagenstos, DISABILITY, LIFE, DEATH, AND CHOICE, 29 Harvard Journal of Law &

Gender Summer, 2006 425 (2006).

Clarke D. Forsythe, PROTECTING UNCONSCIOUS, MEDICALLY-DEPENDENT PERSONS AFTER WENDLAND & SCHIAVO, 22 Constitutional Commentary Winter, 2005 475 (2005).

Alicia Ouellette, Disability and the End of Life, 85 Oregon Law Review 123 (2006).

Michael Stokes Paulsen, KILLING TERRI SHIAVO, 22 Constitutional Commentary Winter, 2005 585 (2005).

Lois Shepherd, IN RESPECT OF PEOPLE LIVING IN A PERMANENT VEGETATIVE STATE--AND ALLOWING THEM TO DIE, 16 Health Matrix: Journal of Law-Medicine Summer, 2006 631 (2006).

Kam C. Wong, WHOSE LIFE IS IT ANYWAY?, 5 Cardozo Public Law, Policy and Ethics Journal Fall, 2006 317 (2006).